<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Welcome and Introductions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ARRA Reporting - Alan Werchan and Anthony Turrietta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5% State Appropriation Reductions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tuition and Fee Proposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Merit Plans for FY 2010-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cost of Attendance and Tuition Set-Aside – Disclosure and Reporting Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Consistency in methodology and reporting of tuition set-asides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>State Reporting Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>LAR Process Improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Open Discussion, as Time Permits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ARRA Fund Reporting and Proportionality

Alan Werchan and Anthony Turrietta
Article XII ARRA Appropriations

- Funding is State Fiscal Stabilization Funds
  - Government Services Fund
- Awards are still being processed by THECB
- THECB Issuing separate NOGAS for each element of funding (formula, incentive, Sec. 25 special items)
- THECB allowing pre-award costs back to October 1, 2009
### Article XII ARRA Appropriations - Proportionality

- **Formula-related**
  - Proportional benefits paid.
  - Include ARRA funds along with GR in Section I of APS 11
  - Benefits included in Section II and proportionality calculation applied

- **Section 25 Special Items**
  - No proportionality – excluded from APS 11
  - All benefits funded by Sec. 25 appropriation
Article XII ARRA Appropriations – Proportionality (continued)

- Incentive Funding
  - Treated like GR Incentive Funds
  - “The original appropriation for incentive funding should be treated in the benefits proportional calculation the same as it would have been treated had there not been a swap from GR to ARRA funds. The underlying assumption for the appropriation for incentive funding is that salary costs are an allowable and intended use of the appropriation. A draw on additional appropriations for employee benefits should be based on actual salary costs paid from incentive funding. To that end, the incentive funding will not be shown as a funding source on Schedule I but the actual costs for employee benefits may be shown as exclusions on Schedule II of the Benefits Proportional Calculation.” (email from Darrell Edge, Texas State Comptroller’s Office 1/28/2010)
Because of the nature of the SFSF program, there are no specific Federal time and effort requirements that apply to individuals whose salaries may be supported with SFSF funds. Thus, the entities must maintain documentation to support the time and effort of these individuals in the same manner that it supports the time and effort of individuals performing similar duties who are paid with State or local funds. (US Dept of Ed 12/24/2009)
GSF - Uses

- No state- or local-level supplanting prohibition

- Support “broad array of activities” including general expenditures, operating expenditures, salaries and government services

- OMB Circular A-21 and A-87 Cost Principles do not apply but expenditures must be “reasonable and necessary” and consistent with applicable state requirements

(US Dept of Ed 12/24/2009)
Jobs Created/Jobs Retained – All ARRA Funds

- Updated Guidance Issued by OMB on 12/18/2009 and US Dept of Ed. on 12/24/2009
- Job created = new position created and filled, or an existing unfilled position that is filled, and funded by ARRA
- Job retained = existing position that is now funded by ARRA
- Simplifies job estimate calculations
- Requires total number of hours worked by employees in the most recent quarter (the quarter being reported)
Accounting for ARRA Funds

- TASSCUBO Accounting Principles Committee agreed on methodology

- Article XII Funds – Include in E&G Funds
  - Funds are appropriated by legislature
  - Likely will be reported in the LAR
  - Activities are typically budgeted in E&G

- Other ARRA Funds – Include in Restricted Funds
ARRA Reporting

- State Comptroller – Weekly Reports

- THECB Reports – Monthly on 5th once Notice of Grant Award is received/executed

- Federal 1512 Reports - Quarterly on the 10th (January, April, July, October)

- LBB/Article XII Section 5 Reports – Quarterly (4/12, 7/12, 10/11, Jan 2011, Apr 2011, Jul 2011, Oct 2011)
Federal Filing - September 30

- Best Description-Mass Confusion
- Overall guidance from Federal agencies was vague, incomplete, and inconsistent
  - Much of the required information on the templates was not provided by Granting agencies
  - Agencies were providing conflicting guidance
Federal Filing - September 30

- UT-EI Paso submitted 14 templates
- 4 of these were flagged for corrections that I consider minor (wrong award date, incorrect file names)
- The report for Student Work-study had a major error that went undetected until December
Driven by GAO reviews of September 30th submissions

Established Guidelines for Federal Agencies
  ◦ Set requirements on Federal agencies to provide “key” award information to recipients
  ◦ Outlined procedures for Federal agencies to review recipient submissions and assess patterns of non-compliance

Established Guidelines for Recipient Agencies
  ◦ Simplified jobs calculation
  ◦ Made template information quarterly as opposed to cumulative
Results:

- 1512 templates at Federalreporting.gov were made more user friendly
- There was a flurry of guidance issued by Federal agencies between the last week of December and January 15th
- Submission deadline was pushed to January 15th (although Texas entities were “encouraged” to meet the January 10th deadline)
- Federal agencies appeared to take proactive steps to ensure deadline was met
December 31, 2010 Filing

- **Much better guidance from Federal Agencies**
  - Key information was easily found on agency websites
  - Key information was e-mailed to PI’s by some agencies

- **UT-El Paso submitted 17 templates:**
  - 3 had significant vendor requirements
  - I included sub-recipient data
  - 4 did not yet have expenditure activity, but we included quarterly summaries to be safe
December 31, 2010 Filing

- Observations
  - 1512 templates were sensitive to certain configurations for upload
  - Field for quarterly summary was limited to 2,000 characters which required editing of most summaries submitted by our PI’s
  - We submitted by January 9th, yet still received reminders by Federal agencies
  - We received two inquiries by the Governor’s Office during the week of January 11th
  - Submission are currently in a Quality Assurance period, February 2 through March 15th, for the last submission
Outlook

- There is still no clear guidance on correcting templates from September 30th with errors undetected after initial review period
- Data will be updated on recovery.gov every other Wednesday beginning February 10th
- The final subset of data information for the December 31st period will be posted at recovery.gov on March 17th
- Many of the awards we receive as Higher Education Institutions are unique-they are not geared towards job creation
- We should expect continued inquiries from State oversight agencies
Outlook

- Information entered into Federalreporting.gov may not transfer properly to recovery.gov
- Reminder: information in recovery.gov is available to any interested party
Outlook

Recommendations:

- Stay proactive with PI’s and Sponsored Research
- Review Federal information often
  - Recovery.gov
  - Federalreporting.gov
  - GAO website
  - Specific agency websites have sections dedicated to ARRA grants
- Be leery of “ARRA Vendors”
- Be prepared to answer inquires quickly
- Share information with other Institutions of Higher Education
Contact Information

Anthony Turrietta
UT-El Paso
915-747-7808
aturrietta@utep.edu

Alan Werchan
UT System Administration
512-499-4275
awerchan@utsystem.edu
ARRA Websites

- THECB ARRA site [http://www.thecb.state.tx.us/arra](http://www.thecb.state.tx.us/arra)
- State Comptroller ARRA Sites
  - FMX Site [https://fmx.cpa.state.tx.us/fmx/recovery/index.php](https://fmx.cpa.state.tx.us/fmx/recovery/index.php)
  - Public Site [http://www.window.state.tx.us/recovery/](http://www.window.state.tx.us/recovery/)
- OMB 12/18/09 Guidance on ARRA Data Quality, Non-Reporting Recipients and Reporting of Job Estimates [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/assets/memoranda_2010/m10-08.pdf](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/assets/memoranda_2010/m10-08.pdf)
5% Budget Reduction Plans
5% General Revenue Reduction Plans

January 15, 2010 Letter from Governor, Lieutenant Governor, and Speaker of the House

Prepare Plan in Priority Increments totaling 5% of General Revenue:

- Includes Higher Education Group Insurance
- Excludes Most General Revenue – Dedicated for Higher Education (Fund 704 and 770)
- Excludes Tuition Revenue Bonds
- Excludes Article XII ARRA appropriations

Submit to the LBB via ABEST by **February 15, 2010**
5% Reduction Plan Possibilities

• Hiring Freeze – Not filling vacancies
• Salary Freeze
• Strategic Budget Reductions by College and Vice President with goal of maintaining core missions
• Across-the-Board Budget Reductions by College and Vice President
• Contributions from Auxiliaries to Cover One-time Needs
• Increased Revenues
• Move Expenditures to other Appropriate Funding Sources
• Reductions in Travel Expenditures
• Delaying Capital Expenditures or Projects
• Commitment to Austerity Throughout Institution
• Retirement Incentives
Tuition and Fee Proposals
Make every effort to ensure that the total academic costs, including tuition, mandatory academic fees, and any other academic-related general fees and college course fees, charged to a resident undergraduate student for the 2010-2011, 2011-2012, or 2012-2013 academic year do not exceed the greater of 3.95% or $280.
# Tuition and Fee Proposals

**UT Austin – Total Academic Cost**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fall 2009</th>
<th>Tuition Increase</th>
<th>SAC Fee</th>
<th>Fall 2010</th>
<th>Tuition Increase</th>
<th>Fall 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undergrad Amount</td>
<td>$4,468</td>
<td>$176</td>
<td>$65</td>
<td>$4,709</td>
<td>$183</td>
<td>$4,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergrad %</td>
<td>3.95%</td>
<td>1.45%</td>
<td>5.40%</td>
<td>3.95%</td>
<td>3.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Plans at other Institutions?**
Merit Plans for FY 2010-11

UT Austin
FY 09-10 – No staff Merit Increases, some targeted Faculty salary increases

FY 10-11 – Pending. Possible 2% merit policy funded by units, no central funding provided.

Plans at other Institutions?
Cost of Attendance and Tuition Set-Aside–Disclosure and Reporting Requirements
SB 1764 – Standards for Reporting Cost of Attendance

The board shall prescribe uniform standards intended to ensure that information regarding the cost of attendance at institutions of higher education is available to the public in a manner that is consumer-friendly and readily understandable to prospective students and their families.
THECB – Adopted Rules for SB 1764

CHAPTER 21.
Student Services Subchapter PP.

Provisions for Uniform Standards for Publication of Cost of Attendance Information

(a) Prominently display on website the cost of attendance for a first-time entering full-time student and provide link to Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) website.

(b) Conform to standards in any electronic or printed materials intended to provide information regarding the cost of attendance to prospective undergraduate students.

(c) Consider standards when providing information regarding the cost of attendance for nonresident students, graduate students, or students enrolled in professional programs.

(d) Institutions shall provide THECB, upon request (at least annually), any information necessary for to calculate the net cost of attendance for a first-time entering full-time student.

(e) Comply not later than April 1, 2010.
THECB – Standards for Displaying Cost Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Resident on-campus</th>
<th>Resident off-campus</th>
<th>Resident at home with Parents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuition &amp; Fees</td>
<td>6557</td>
<td>6557</td>
<td>6557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books &amp; Supplies</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room and Board</td>
<td>7827</td>
<td>7827</td>
<td>7827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>1857</td>
<td>1857</td>
<td>1857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal and Misc.</td>
<td>2043</td>
<td>2043</td>
<td>2043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>19424</strong></td>
<td><strong>19424</strong></td>
<td><strong>19424</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Definitions of each category must be included.
SB 1304 – Notice to Students of Required Set-Aside (Section 56.014)

(a) Provide to each student specific amount of designated tuition to be set aside

(b) Must include notice with one of following:
   (1) student’s tuition bill
   (2) student’s tuition receipt
   (3) prominent statement in e-mail

(c) Comply not later than spring semester 2010.
THECB – Adopted Rules for SB 1304

CHAPTER 21.
Student Services Subchapter QQ

Provisions for Notice to Students Regarding Tuition Set-Aside For Financial Assistance
Notice:
Set-aside amount is based on the number of hours in which student originally enrolls and must be reported to the student.*

Calculation:
Number of hours Enrolled TIMES Designated Tuition Rate MINUS $46, TIMES Percentage set aside for financial aid (including Texas B-on-time Loan Program)

*Note: When THECB met with the TASSCUBO Student Business Services committee in December, they said 20th class day enrollment was allowable. THECB adopted rules have not yet been amended to reflect that change.
## Update on Previous Agenda Items

1. Consistency of Methodology and Reporting of Tuition Set-Aside
2. State Reporting Requirements
3. LAR Process Improvements
Consistency in Methodology and Reporting of Tuition Set-Asides
FINDINGS - Improvements needed to the Calculations and Institutions Should Develop Detailed Documentation of Policies and Procedures

TPEG GRANTS
Chapter 1-B, Page 14 of audit report
Texas Public Educational Grants (TPEG)

Sec. 56.033. SOURCE OF PROGRAM FUNDING.

15% Resident / 3% Non-Resident

90 % for Grants and not more than 10 % for emergency loans
Designated Tuition Set Asides

Sec. 56.011. RESIDENT UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANCE.
20% (in excess of $46/SCH designated tuition) for resident undergraduate

Sec. 56.012. RESIDENT GRADUATE STUDENT ASSISTANCE.
15% (in excess of $46/SCH designated tuition) for resident graduate students
TEXAS B-On-Time Loan Program

Sec. 56.465. TUITION SET ASIDE FOR PROGRAM.

5% (in excess of $46/SCH designated tuition) for resident undergraduate
Doctoral (PhD) Set Aside

Sec. 56.095.
$2 for each semester credit hour for which the student is enrolled.

Law School Tuition Set-Aside

Sec. 61.9731
1% of resident Law students tuition
State Reporting Requirements and LAR Process
In Response to Governor’s Executive Order on Comprehensive Review of Higher Education Costs

(1) Ease Reporting Requirements –

Collect survey data at the time each report is submitted. A very brief, standardized and OPTIONAL survey form could be included as part of all reporting processes. The form would cite the legislation that created the required report and give the submitter an opportunity to justify a request for elimination or consolidation of existing reports. Need to develop a process to analyze the surveys, and to modify legislation.
TASSCUBO Budget Committee Submission to Cost Efficiency Committee

In Response to Governor’s Executive Order on Comprehensive Review of Higher Education Costs

(2) Streamline the Legislative Appropriation (LAR) Process –

The TASSCUBO-Budget committee has discussed recommendations to streamline the LAR process and printed document requirements. The TASSCUBO-Budget committee would like to coordinate closely with the Legislative Budget Board and the Governor’s Office on these possible efficiencies.

Also recommended several other Cost Efficiencies – some of which were already in process at some of our campuses.
Open Discussion
Contact Information

Mary Knight
UT-Austin
Budget Office
512-471-3727
bd.knightme@austin.utexas.edu