REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

by

The University of Texas System, acting through The University of Texas System Supply Chain Alliance,

for

selection of a

PREFERRED SUPPLIER of CONTRACT COMPLIANCE REVIEW AND ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AUDIT RECOVERY SERVICES

RFP No. UTS/A47

Submittal Deadline: April 9, 2015 @ 3:00 PM, CST

Issued: March 4, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION	DNx				
SECTION 2: NOTICE TO PROPOSERx					
SECTION 3: SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALx					
SECTION 4: GENERAL TE	RMS AND CONDITIONSxx				
SECTION 5: SPECIFICATION	ONS, ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS AND SCOPE OF WORKxx				
SECTION 6: PRICING SCH	EDULE AND AFFIRMATIONxx				
	<u>ATTACHMENTS</u>				
APPENDIX ONE	PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTSxx				
APPENDIX TWO	UT SYSTEM POLICY ON UTILIZATION OF HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSESxx				
APPENDIX THREE	SAMPLE PREFERRED SUPPLIER AGREEMENTxx				
APPENDIX THREE – 300	SAMPLE INSTITUTIONAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENTxx				
APPENDIX THREE – 400	SUPPLIER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT xx				
APPENDIX FOUR	ACCESS BY INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIESxx				
APPENDIX FIVE	ELECTRONIC AND INFORMATION RESOURCES ENVIRONMENT SPECIFICATIONSxx				
APPENDIX SIX	SECURITY CHARACTERISTICS AND FUNCTIONALITY OF PROPOSER'S INFORMATION RESOURCES				

ATTACHMENT A

ATTACHMENT B

INITIAL LIST OF CONTRACTS FOR COMPLIANCE REVIEW.....xx

DATA FOR INITIAL A/P AUDIT RECOVERY SERVICES......xx

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Description of The University of Texas System

The University of Texas System is comprised of System Administration and 15 institutions of higher education with campuses across the State of Texas whose missions are devoted to world class healthcare, teaching, research, and public service (collectively, "**UT System**"). UT System currently has six health institutions and nine academic facilities, making it one of the larger education systems in the United States. With an operating budget of \$14.6 billion, UT System has a current student enrollment exceeding 216,000. UT System employs more than 87,000 faculty and staff, making UT System one of the largest employers in the State of Texas.

UT System is comprised of the following institutions:

- The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (UTSW)
- The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston (UTMB)
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (UTHSCH)
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio (UTHSCSA)
- The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (UTMDACC)
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler (UTHSCT)
- The University of Texas at Arlington (UTA)
- The University of Texas at Austin (UT Austin)
- The University of Texas at Brownsville (UTB)
- The University of Texas at Dallas (UTD)
- The University of Texas at El Paso (UTEP)
- The University of Texas Pan American (UTPA)
- The University of Texas of the Permian Basin (UTPB)
- The University of Texas at San Antonio (UTSA)
- The University of Texas at Tyler (UTT)
- The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley (UTRGV)

UT System has established the University of Texas System Supply Chain Alliance (the "Alliance") to conduct and coordinate strategic purchasing initiatives across UT System. The Alliance is also affiliated with various Texas institutions of higher education. Through collaborative relationships, the Alliance seeks to combine supply chain and contracting activities and obtain best value goods and services while reducing total acquisition costs. The Alliance has created a team of supply chain professionals (the "Strategic Services Group") that has been tasked with executing Alliance purchasing initiatives. The Strategic Services Group assembles a team of subject matter experts ("SMEs") from participating institutions to assist in the development of each sourcing event and the evaluation of suppliers during the procurement process. SMEs are involved from the sourcing event's inception and work with the Alliance and UT System to select the best value supplier(s). Any agreement resulting from this Request for Proposal, UTS/A47 (this "RFP") will be extended and marketed to all UT System institutions. Various non-UT System institutions that are affiliated with the Alliance may participate, too, in any agreement resulting from this RFP.

By participating in this RFP, proposer(s) (collectively, "**Proposer**") agrees to extend all goods, services and pricing to any Alliance member or affiliate (collectively, "**Institutional Participant**") that wishes to participate in any contract entered into with Proposer.

1.2 Objective of this Request for Proposal

UT System, acting through the Alliance, is soliciting proposals in response to this RFP from qualified suppliers, for the supply of contract compliance review and accounts payable audit recovery services more specifically described in **Section 5.4** ("**Scope of Work**") of this RFP (collectively, the "**Services**"). The successful Proposer(s) to whom business may be awarded is referred to in this RFP as the "**Preferred Supplier**."

UT System is seeking to identify a Preferred Supplier that will provide the most practical and cost-effective business model to serve the needs of Institutional Participants. The goal of this RFP is to work toward solutions that will minimize cost, while maintaining or improving current service levels for all participating UT System institutions. Proposer should provide solutions involving HUB suppliers, where possible (ref. **Section 2.5** of this RFP).

Proposer is invited to submit a proposal to establish a strategic business alliance with UT System that will maximize the resources of both organizations to most effectively meet the requirements specified in this RFP. Specifically this RFP process should:

- Provide a comprehensive and guaranteed pricing structure for the Services;
- Leverage the aggregate purchasing volumes of Institutional Participants;
- Achieve cost savings for Institutional Participants;
- Improve overall customer satisfaction; and
- Enhance relationships between Preferred Supplier and Institutional Participants.

UT System is seeking to reduce the total acquisition cost of the Services while creating synergies between Institutional Participants and Preferred Supplier. UT System hopes to conclude an agreement that will provide Institutional Participants with access to the Services at discounted prices and permit all parties to reduce procurement and transaction costs and improve business processes.

Proposer should propose charges for the Services that will be guaranteed to be discounted as compared to the then-current market rates for comparable services available from other reputable service providers. UT System will work through the Alliance to team with the Preferred Supplier to develop business processes that will foster a strong working relationship and produce a win-win for all parties.

The Preferred Supplier will be enrolled in the Alliance's Supplier Relationship Management Program ("SRM") to monitor Preferred Supplier's performance and pricing. UT System expects Preferred Supplier to work closely with the Alliance and each Institutional Participant and produce benefits for all parties involved in the relationship.

Proposer should realize that what is written in their final proposal submitted to UT System may become part of the successful Proposer's final contract.

UT System may ask Proposer(s) to provide a formal presentation, prior to contract award, with additional information to SMEs or the Strategic Services Group. This presentation will allow the

Alliance to clarify any technical, quality, or price-based questions that may arise from Proposer's response.

1.3 Background and Scope of Opportunity

Attachment A is a listing of the current and relevant UT System contracts, along with annual spend, initially intended to be covered by the contract compliance review services that are the subject of this RFP.

Attachment B is a listing of data for Alliance members presently interested in the accounts payable audit recovery services that are the subject of this RFP. The Attachment includes for each member its annual accounts payable volume, number of transactions, number of suppliers, and its ERP system.

THE FIGURES CONTAINED IN THE ATTACHMENTS REFERENCED ABOVE ARE ESTIMATES ONLY. VOLUMES PURCHASED UNDER ANY AGREEMENT RESULTING FROM THIS RFP MAY INVOLVE MORE OR LESS THAN THE ESTIMATES PROVIDED. UT SYSTEM DOES NOT REPRESENT, WARRANT OR GUARANTY THAT UT SYSTEM OR INSTITUTIONAL PARTICIPANTS WILL PURCHASE ANY PARTICULAR DOLLAR VALUE OR ANY PARTICULAR QUANTITY, AND UT SYSTEM SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ANY SUCH REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES AND GUARANTIES.

SECTION 2 NOTICE TO PROPOSER

2.1 Submittal Deadline

UT System will accept proposals submitted in response to this RFP until **3:00 PM**, Central Standard Time, on **April 9, 2015** (the "**Submittal Deadline**").

2.2 UT System Contact Person

Proposers will direct all questions or concerns regarding this RFP to the following UT System contact person (the "UT System Contact"):

Kyle Barton Manager, Contracts UT System Supply Chain Alliance Phone: (713) 745-8339

Email: kdbarton@mdanderson.org

UT System specifically instructs all interested parties to restrict all contact and questions regarding this RFP to written communications forwarded to the UT System Contact. The UT System Contact must receive all questions or concerns no later than **5:00 PM**, Central Standard Time, on **March 23, 2015**. UT System will use a reasonable amount of time to respond to questions or concerns. It is UT System's intent to respond to all appropriate questions and concerns; however, UT System reserves the right to decline to respond to any question or concern.

2.3 Criteria for Selection

Successful Proposer, if any, selected by UT System in accordance with the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP, will be the Proposer that submits a proposal in response to this RFP, on or before the Submittal Deadline, that is most advantageous to UT System.

Proposer is encouraged to propose terms and conditions offering the maximum benefit to UT System in terms of (1) products and services to be provided and (2) total overall cost to participating institutions. Proposers should describe all educational, state and local government discounts, as well as any other applicable discounts that may be available.

An evaluation team from UT System will evaluate proposals. The evaluation of proposals and the selection of Preferred Supplier will be based on the information provided by Proposer in its proposal. UT System may give consideration to additional information if UT System deems such information relevant.

The criteria to be considered by UT System in evaluating proposals and selecting Preferred Supplier, will be those factors listed below:

2.3.1 Threshold Criteria Not Scored

2.3.1.1	Ability	of	UT	System	to	comply	with	laws	regarding	Historica	lly
	Under	utilize	ed Bu	ısinesses	; an	ıd					
0 0 4 0	A 1 '11'4		~								

2.3.1.2 Ability of UT System to comply with laws regarding purchases from persons with disabilities.

2.3.2 Scored Criteria

2.3.2.1	cost of the goods and services;
2.3.2.2	reputation of Proposer and of Proposer's goods or services;
2.3.2.3	quality of Proposer's goods or services;
2.3.2.4	extent to which the goods or services meet UT System's needs;
2.3.2.5	Proposer's past relationship with UT System;
2.3.2.6	the total long-term cost of acquiring Proposer's goods or services; and
2.3.2.7	Proposer's exceptions to the terms and conditions set forth in Section 4 of this RFP.

2.4 Key Events Schedule

Issuance of RFP	March 4, 2015
Pre-Proposal Conference (ref. Section 2.6 of this RFP)	March 19, 2015 2:00 PM, Central Standard Time
Deadline for Questions/Concerns (ref. Section 2.2 of this RFP)	5:00 PM, Central Standard Time on March 23, 2015
Submittal Deadline (ref. Section 2.1 of this RFP)	3:00 PM, Central Standard Time on April 9, 2015

Selection of Finalists May 2015

Finalists Interviews May 2015

Anticipated Contract Award(s)

June 2015

<u>IMPORTANT NOTICE:</u> The Key Events Schedule represents many sourcing and contracting activities occurring within a short period of time. Proposer is asked in advance to make the following resources available to expedite the selection and contracting process:

- 1. If selected as a finalist, Proposer may be required to attend an interview session that includes a face-to-face meeting with an advance notice of no more than one week. The anticipated location of this activity is Houston, Texas.
- 2. If selected for contract award, Proposer should have its chief legal and business officers available for commencement of contract negotiations with 72 hours of notice of award. Such negotiations may take place face-to-face in order to expedite the contracting phase. The anticipated location of this activity is Houston, Texas. Proposer is requested to reference **Section 4.1** of this RFP and provide any exceptions as part of Proposer's RFP response.

Proposer should not underestimate the necessity of complying with the Key Events Schedule and critical activities listed above. UT System reserves the right to revise the Key Events Schedule at any time.

2.5 Historically Underutilized Businesses

- All agencies of the State of Texas are required to make a good faith effort to assist historically underutilized businesses (each a "HUB") in receiving contract awards. The goal of the HUB program is to promote full and equal business opportunity for all businesses in contracting with state agencies. Pursuant to the HUB program, if under the terms of any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP, Preferred Supplier subcontracts any of its performance hereunder, Preferred Supplier must make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs certified by the Texas Procurement and Support Services Division of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts or any successor Proposals that fail to comply with the requirements contained in this agency. Section 2.5 will constitute a material failure to comply with advertised specifications and will be rejected by UT System as non-responsive. Additionally, compliance with good faith effort guidelines is a condition precedent to awarding any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP. Proposer acknowledges that, if selected by UT System, its obligation to make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs when subcontracting hereunder will continue throughout the term of all agreements and contractual arrangements resulting from this RFP. Furthermore, any subcontracting hereunder by Proposer is subject to review by UT System to ensure compliance with the HUB program.
- 2.5.2 UT System has reviewed this RFP in accordance with Title 34, *Texas Administrative Code*, Section 20.13 (a), and has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable under this RFP.

2.5.3 A HUB Subcontracting Plan ("**HSP**") is required as part of Proposer's proposal. The HSP will be developed and administered in accordance with UT System's Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses attached as **APPENDIX TWO** and incorporated herein for all purposes.

Each Proposer must complete and return the HSP in accordance with the terms and conditions of this RFP, including **APPENDIX TWO**. Proposals that fail to do so will be considered non-responsive to this RFP in accordance with Section 2161.252, Texas Government Code.

Preferred Supplier will not be permitted to change its HSP unless: (1) Preferred Supplier completes a newly modified version of the HSP in accordance with the terms of **APPENDIX TWO** that sets forth all changes requested by Preferred Supplier, (2) Preferred Supplier provides UT System with such modified version of the HSP, (3) UT System approves the modified HSP in writing, and (4) all agreements or contractual arrangements resulting from this RFP are amended in writing by UT System and Preferred Supplier to conform to the modified HSP.

2.5.4 Proposer must submit one (1) signed copy of the HSP to UT System at the same time as it submits its proposal to UT System (ref. **Section 3.1** of this RFP). The signed copy of the HSP (the "**HSP Packet**") must be submitted electronically utilizing the SciQuest esourcing tool as more particularly described in **Section 3.1** of this RFP. Proposer must ensure that the HSP Packet is submitted according to the electronic instructions provided in this RFP.

Any proposal submitted in response to this RFP that is not accompanied by an HSP Packet meeting the above requirements will be rejected by UT System and remain unopened, as that proposal will be considered non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications. Furthermore, UT System will open a Proposer's HSP Packet prior to opening the proposal submitted by Proposer, in order to ensure that Proposer has submitted a signed copy of the Proposer's HSP Packet as required by this RFP. A Proposer's failure to submit a signed copy of the completed HSP Packet as required by this RFP will result in UT System's rejection of the proposal submitted by that Proposer as non-responsive, due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications; such a proposal will remain unopened and will be disqualified and not reviewed by UT System (ref. **Section 1.5** of **APPENDIX ONE** to this RFP).

<u>Note</u>: The requirement that Proposer provide a signed and completed HSP Packet under this **Section 2.5.4** is separate from and does not affect Proposer's obligation to provide UT System with its proposal as specified in **Section 3.1** of this RFP.

2.6 Pre-Proposal Conference

UT System will hold a pre-proposal conference at **2:00 PM**, Central Standard Time, on **March 19, 2015**. Proposers may attend the conference in one of the following two formats:

in person attendance located in the One Mid-Campus Building at 7007 Bertner Ave. Suite 11.2339, TX 77030 (located in the Texas Medical Center); or

webinar broadcast via the Internet utilizing the "Go-to-Meeting" webinar conference service.

The Pre-Proposal Conference will allow all Proposers an opportunity to ask the Alliance, the Strategic Services Group, and UT System HUB representatives relevant questions and clarify provisions of this RFP. Proposer should notify the UT System Contact **by no later than March 17, 2015**, whether it will attend the Pre-Proposal Conference, by emailing the UT System Contact at njmartinez@mdanderson.org. Proposer must clearly state in which format it will attend. If the Proposer elects to attend the Pre-Proposal Conference in the webinar format, UT System will provide complete details and instructions (including personal computer requirements). If Proposer elects to attend the Pre-Proposal Conference in person, there will be a strict limit of two (2) individuals per Proposer.

SECTION 3 SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL

3.1 Electronic Submission Notice

Submittal of proposals in response to this RFP will be conducted entirely electronically, utilizing the SciQuest e-sourcing tool. To register for participation in this RFP, please email or call the UT System Contact for further instructions. An original signature by an authorized officer of Proposer must appear on the Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**) and electronically uploaded as instructed. Proposals must be completed and received by UT System on or before the Submittal Deadline (ref. **Section 2.1** of this RFP).

3.2 Proposal Validity Period

Each proposal must state that it will remain valid for UT System's acceptance for a minimum of one hundred eighty (180) days after the Submittal Deadline, to allow time for evaluation, selection, and any unforeseen delays.

3.3 Terms and Conditions

- 3.3.1 Proposer must comply with the requirements and specifications contained in this RFP, the General Terms and Conditions (ref. Section 4 of this RFP), the Notice to Proposer (ref. Section 2 of this RFP), Proposal Requirements (ref. APPENDIX ONE) and the Specifications, Additional Questions and Scope of Work (ref. Section 5 of this RFP). If there is a conflict among the provisions in this RFP, the provision requiring Proposer to supply the better quality or greater quantity of goods and services will prevail, or if such conflict does not involve quality or quantity, then interpretation will be in the following order of precedence:
 - 3.3.1.1 <u>Specifications, Additional Questions and Scope of Work</u> (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP):
 - 3.3.1.2 General Terms and Conditions (ref. **Section 4** of this RFP);
 - 3.3.1.3. Proposal Requirements (ref. APPENDIX ONE); and

3.3.1.4 Notice to Proposer (ref. **Section 2** of this RFP).

3.4 Submittal Checklist

Proposer is instructed to complete, sign, and upload into the SciQuest e-Sourcing tool, the following documents as a part of its proposal. If Proposer fails to return each of the following items with its proposal, UT System may reject the proposal:

- 3.4.1 Signed and Completed Execution of Offer (ref. Section 2 of APPENDIX ONE).
- 3.4.2 Responses to questions and requests for information in the <u>Specifications</u>, <u>Additional Questions and Scope of Work Section</u> (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP).
- 3.4.3 Signed and Completed <u>Pricing Affirmation</u> (ref. **Section 6** of this RFP).
- 3.4.4 Signed and completed copy of the <u>HUB Subcontracting Plan</u> or other applicable documents (ref. **Section 2.5** of this RFP and **APPENDIX TWO**).
- 3.4.5 Responses to Proposer's Survey (ref. **Section 5.5** of this RFP).
- 3.4.6 Proposer's Price Schedule (ref. Section 6 and Attachment C of this RFP).

SECTION 4 GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

4.1 General Information regarding Structure of Transaction and Terms and Conditions

The structure of the transaction UT System intends to enter into as a result of this RFP will be substantially similar to the following: (1) one Preferred Supplier Agreement ("PSA") between UT System and Preferred Supplier; and (2) several Institutional Participation Agreements (each an "IPA") signed by participating Alliance members and affiliates (collectively, the "Agreement").

The terms and conditions contained in the attached Sample Preferred Supplier Agreement (ref. **APPENDIX THREE**) or, in the sole discretion of UT System, terms and conditions substantially similar to those contained in **APPENDIX THREE**, will constitute and govern any agreement that results from this RFP. If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions set forth in the Preferred Supplier Agreement, Proposer must submit a list of the exceptions as part of its proposal in accordance with **Section 5.3** of this RFP. Proposer's exceptions will be reviewed by UT System and may result in disqualification of Proposer's proposal as non-responsive to this RFP. If Proposer's exceptions do not result in disqualification of Proposer's proposal, UT System may consider Proposer's exceptions when UT System evaluates the Proposer's proposal.

SECTION 5 SPECIFICATIONS, ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS AND SCOPE OF WORK

5.1 General

The requirements and specifications for the Services, as well as certain requests for information to be provided by Proposer as part of its proposal, are set forth below.

5.2 Minimum Requirements

Each proposal must include information clearly indicating that Proposer meets each of the following minimum qualification requirements:

- 5.2.1 Proposer must pay to the Alliance a quarterly administrative fee of 2% of the Total Net Sales made under the Agreement (ref. **Section 6.2** of this RFP).
- 5.2.2 Preferred Supplier must be able to provide the Services to all Institutional Participants.
- 5.2.3 The Institutional Participants utilize a variety of ERP Systems, document storage, software, ordering methods, tools, technologies and formats (e.g. PeopleSoft, SciQuest, EDI, XML, cXML, ImageNow). Preferred Supplier must be capable of utilizing data from these systems, as applicable, to perform the Services.
- 5.2.4 When applicable, Preferred Supplier must be capable of conducting the services in accordance with generally accepted accounting practices and established performance standards that are customary in the industry, e.g., Government Auditing Standards (Yellow Book). If these standards are in conflict with a specific engagement, Preferred Supplier must be capable of adhering to the fiduciary standards and responsibilities that are customary in the industry.
- 5.2.5 Preferred Supplier will furnish all material, labor, computers, software, equipment and supplies necessary to perform the Services.
- 5.2.6 Preferred Supplier will be responsible for all travel expenses.
- 5.2.7 Preferred Supplier will make available all work papers, programs and time control records associated with each Engagement (defined below) during the performance of the work and/or at the completion of the work for a quality control review and for verification of key personnel performing the work.
- 5.2.8 Preferred Supplier must inform the Alliance and any affected Institutional Participant regarding any indication of irregularities or illegal acts that may come to Preferred Supplier's attention in connection with any Engagement.
- 5.2.9 Preferred Supplier must obtain written approval of the Alliance and any affected Institutional Participant before commencing collection activities with suppliers.

5.3 Additional Questions Specific to this RFP and Scope of Work

Proposer must submit the following information as part of Proposer's proposal:

- 5.3.1 In its proposal, Proposer must indicate whether it will consent to include in the Agreement the "Access by Individuals with Disabilities" language that is set forth in **APPENDIX FOUR**, Access by Individuals with Disabilities. If Proposer objects to the inclusion of the "Access by Individuals with Disabilities" language in the Agreement, Proposer must, as part of its proposal, specifically identify and describe in detail all of the reasons for Proposer's objection. NOTE THAT A GENERAL OBJECTION IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE RESPONSE TO THIS QUESTION.
- 5.3.2 If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions set forth in **Section 4** of this RFP, Proposer must submit a list of the exceptions.
- 5.3.3 Proposers will provide answers to the questions listed in the Proposer's Survey ("Proposer's Survey") (ref. Section 5.5 of this RFP) to the best of Proposer's knowledge, as responses may be incorporated into the Agreement. The questions in the Proposer's Survey will provide UT System with additional information about Proposer and various efficiencies and economies of scale that Proposer may provide to participating institutions.
- 5.3.4 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in APPENDIX FIVE, Electronic and Information Resources ("EIR") Environment Specifications. APPENDIX FIVE will establish specifications, representations, warranties and agreements related to the EIR that Proposer is offering to provide. Responses to APPENDIX FIVE will be incorporated into the Agreement and will be binding on Proposer.
- 5.3.5 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in APPENDIX SIX, Security Characteristics and Functionality of Contractor's Information Resources. APPENDIX SIX will establish specifications, representations, warranties and agreements related to the EIR that Proposer is offering to provide. Responses to APPENDIX SIX will be incorporated into the Agreement and will be binding on Proposer.

5.4 Scope of Work

The details noted below will form the basis for the Scope of Work to be included in the Agreement concluded between UT System and Preferred Supplier. The following outlines the essential requirements for the provision of Services. The Proposer acknowledges and understands that this RFP provides a general description of the work to be performed and is not intended to be all inclusive. Proposer must be familiar with the requirements and general conditions that are essential to provide the Services consistent with industry best practices and in accordance with all licensing, regulations, and professional standards.

UT System intends to identify one or more Preferred Supplier(s) to provide contract compliance review and accounts payable audit recovery services, and create related financial and operational efficiencies for Institutional Participants.

Note: Proposers are not required to have the capability of reviewing all types of contracts and conducting accounts payable audits in order to receive an award. UT System recognizes that some proposers may specialize in accounts payable audits and others in contract compliance reviews (for certain industries or all types of industries).

Services within the scope of this RFP include, but are not limited to, the following:

5.4.1 Accounts Payable Audit Recovery Services

UT System seeks an experienced and reputable firm(s) to provide a fresh and objective assessment of each Institutional Participant's accounts payable error detection, recovery and prevention operations. UT System expects proposals to be made on a contingency fee basis.

Accounts payable audit recovery services should include a detailed assessment and take into account prevention methods or tools to minimize payment errors from the lack of pricing compliance, overpayments, duplicate payments, fraud, and escheatment. Preferred Supplier will also be expected to recover amounts due to lapses in the account payable process and recommend/provide tools for prevention.

The goal of each engagement with an Institutional Participant is to identify quantifiable opportunities for sustainable accounts payable error prevention and recovery. Relevant areas for assessment may include but are not limited to:

- Vendor Master
- Invoice Verification
- Returns
- Pricing and Discounts
- Sales Tax Payments and Refunds
- Escheats
- Prevention and Best Practices

It is critical that the Preferred Supplier's report enable Institutional Participant to:

- Identify and prioritize opportunities to improve the accounts payable process and reduce costs
- Effect a sustainable improvement in accounts payable processes
- Estimate the annual financial impact of each recommendation
- Adopt/implement best practice processes for utilization and standardization initiatives
- Establish processes and meaningful benchmark measurements to track success prior to, during, and post Engagement (defined below)
- Transfer the knowledge and skills of engaged experts to Institutional Participant's management and staff wherever possible
- Provide a work plan with identified action steps, responsible parties and estimated time of completion

The types of payments to be included in the audit primarily involve supplier payments (purchase order payments and non-purchase order payments). Other types of payments, including patient refunds, student payments, and payroll related payments (i.e., insurance) will <u>not</u> be included.

5.4.2 Contract Compliance Review

UT System is requesting proposals from experienced and reputable firm(s) to perform compliance reviews of Alliance master contracts, and possibly contracts issued by Institutional Participants individually. The term "contract compliance review" referred to in this RFP will consist of contract reviews, contract analyses, contract performance reviews, cost recovery, cost analyses and cost reviews.

There are two primary focuses of a contract compliance review. One will be to validate that suppliers under contract with UT System and/or an Institutional Participant are charging the correct prices agreed to under the terms and conditions of the contract. Under the terms and conditions of Alliance master contracts, suppliers are required to remit an administrative fee payable to UT System, and in most cases, other types of incentives and rebates payable to UT System or directly to Institutional Participants. The second primary focus of a contract compliance review will be to validate that suppliers under contract are accurately reporting the amount of contract sales and remitting the proper administrative fee and incentive and rebate payments.

- 5.4.2.1 Preferred Supplier will inform UT System and/or Institutional Participant, as applicable, in writing of all opening and exit conferences between itself and the supplier under review, as well as all significant conferences concerning contract exceptions, accounting issues, internal control findings or scope limitation. An exit conference with the supplier under review is required to notify suppliers of potential findings including pricing errors, overpayments, etc.
- 5.4.2.2 Preferred Supplier will define each specific overpayment scenario it uncovers in writing and the complete process for identifying the incorrect payment scenario.

5.4.3 Engagement

UT System and/or Institutional Participant will request from Preferred Supplier a scope of services document for each contract compliance review or accounts payable audit recovery it wants to conduct, herein referred to as an "**Engagement**". The scope of services document will contain, at a minimum, the following:

- Type of Engagement: contract compliance review or accounts payable audit recovery
- Period of time the review/audit is examining
- Estimated time and milestones to complete the Engagement
- Types of records (electronic and hard-copy) and resources needed from UT System and/or Institutional Participant to conduct the Engagement
- Type, volume and timeframe of all reports that will be submitted to UT System and/or Institutional Participant
- Contracted contingency fee being charged to conduct the Engagement, plus any other charges that are contemplated

UT System and/or Institutional Participant will then review the scope of services document. If approved, UT System and/or Institutional Participant will issue a written "Notice to Proceed" to Preferred Supplier.

Within ten (10) business days after issuance of a Notice to Proceed, Preferred Supplier will coordinate a pre-engagement planning meeting between UT System and/or Institutional Participant and Preferred Supplier's key staff (those who will be directly involved or oversee the Engagement). The purpose of this meeting is to review the scope of the Engagement and optimally coordinate the work to follow.

Preferred Supplier will document and electronically maintain all related correspondence, account details and supporting documents in a database. Preferred Supplier will provide UT System and/or Institutional Participant with unlimited access to its database to review potential compliance/payment errors during the term of the contract at no additional cost; however, this period will not be less than one (1) year from the Notice to Proceed.

Preferred Supplier will recover from suppliers all overpayments or other funds identified as approved by UT System and/or Institutional Participant. Preferred Supplier will assist UT System and Institutional Participants in reaching settlement agreements, negotiating overcharge payments, conducting legal proceedings, formal hearings, appeals, judicial action and/or any other proceedings and taking other actions surrounding recovery and collection activities. Preferred Supplier will be required to provide testimony and/or supply documentation to assist in any recovery and collection activities.

5.4.4 <u>Preferred Supplier-Alliance Account Support Team</u>

Preferred Supplier will provide a Senior Management Account Representative with the authority and responsibility for the overall success of the Agreement within the Preferred Supplier's organization. The Preferred Supplier will also designate a Program Manager assigned to the Alliance account responsible for: (i) receiving and providing ongoing communications by and between the Preferred Supplier and UT System; (ii) monitoring all Engagements with UT System and/or Institutional Participants and providing updates to the UT System Contract Administrator; (iii) identifying and fostering process improvements; (iv) serving as the liaison to engage resources with the Preferred Supplier's organization to troubleshoot and resolve problems; (v) organizing Quarterly Business Reviews (QBR); monitoring Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and providing early warning notices to Preferred Supplier's management team and the UT System Contract Administrator.

UT System will designate the individual who will serve as the UT System Contract Administrator.

5.4.5 Invoicing, Payment and Recovered Fees

Preferred Supplier will invoice UT System for Engagements requested and initiated by the Alliance. Preferred Supplier will invoice Institutional Participant for engagements requested and initiated by the Institutional Participant.

Each of UT System and Institutional Participant will be solely responsible for the payment of its own Engagement(s). Neither UT System nor any other Institutional Participant will have any liability whatsoever relating to an Engagement entered into by another Institutional Participant.

UT System or Institutional Participant will remit payments of invoices issued under the Agreement on a Net 30 Days basis, subject to requirements of the Texas Prompt Payment Act.

Preferred Supplier will resolve all order and invoice discrepancies within five (5) business days after written notification or, if because of their nature, the discrepancies cannot be resolved within that time frame, Preferred Supplier will take all of the steps the Institutional Participant's purchasing department deems necessary.

Fees recovered for Engagements entered into by UT System will be paid directly to UT System. Fees recovered for Engagements entered into by Institutional Participants will be paid directly to the relevant Institutional Participant. Once a recovered fee has been received by UT System or Institutional Participant, the Preferred Supplier will issue an invoice to UT System or Institutional Participant in accordance with the fees listed in the Pricing Schedule.

5.4.7 <u>Preferred Supplier Relationship Management</u>

Preferred Supplier and the UT System Contract Administrator will meet once each quarter to conduct a QBR, as further described in **APPENDIX THREE – 400**.

5.4.8 Pricing Schedule

Pricing established in Proposer's response to this RFP (ref. Section 7 of the Proposers Survey) will remain firm and fixed through at least December 31, 2016. Thereafter, for each subsequent calendar year, Preferred Supplier and the UT System Contract Administrator will agree in writing on the pricing for such year in advance.

- Match of Other State Pricing. If Preferred Supplier agrees in any other contract it concludes with the State of Texas after the effective date of the Agreement, to provide pricing for contract compliance review and accounts payable audit recovery services that is lower than that contained in the Agreement, Preferred Supplier immediately will extend the lower pricing to UT System and Institutional Participants. Further, at the time of annual review, these lower prices will be incorporated into and documented by a written, signed amendment
- 5.4.8.3 All prices quoted will be inclusive of all fees and charges due and payable to Preferred Supplier.
- 5.4.8.4 Preferred Supplier and the UT System Contract Administrator will review all price structures for the Services on a quarterly basis during each QBR. Preferred Supplier's initial and subsequent pricing will be benchmarked by the Strategic Services Group for market competitiveness. Preferred Supplier agrees to negotiate in good faith to adjust pricing if necessary to remain competitive. Should pricing or configuration for products listed in the Agreement change during such quarterly reviews, such changes will be documented in a written, signed amendment to the Agreement agreed to by Preferred Supplier and the UT System Contract Administrator.

5.4.9 Campus Requirements

- 5.4.9.1 Each Institutional Participant will have its own unique set of rules and regulations for conducting business on its campuses. Preferred Supplier will be responsible for compliance with each Institutional Participant's rules and regulations, including any and all requirements for background checks, badging/credentialing, and security.
- 5.4.9.2 Preferred Supplier will establish with each Institutional Participant campusspecific service delivery methods, delivery schedules, and delivery locations.
- 5.4.9.3 Preferred Supplier will cause its representatives, agents, employees and permitted subcontractors (if any) to become aware of, fully informed about, and in full compliance with all applicable UT System's and Institutional Participants' rules and policies, including, without limitation, those relative to personal health, security, environmental quality, safety, fire prevention, noise, smoking, and access restrictions; consideration for students, patients and their families as well as employees; parking; and security.
- 5.4.10 <u>Initial Contract Term and Extension</u>. It is anticipated that the term of the Agreement will be five (5) years, consisting of an initial term of three years, with two one-year renewal option by UT System.

5.5 Proposer's Survey

Proposer must complete the Proposer's Survey.

The Proposer's Survey contains a list of additional questions the Proposer will answer when responding to this RFP. If Proposer needs to submit additional supporting information, refer to the supporting information in responses to the Proposer's Survey and attach supporting materials in a logical and clear manner. Any supporting information must be included in electronic form via the SciQuest e-Sourcing tool and must follow the following naming convention: (<Proposer Name> - <Question Number> - Response - <File Name>).

Finally, Proposer is encouraged to specify any special certifications, awards, or other industry recognizable achievements that might set it apart from its competitors.

SECTION 6 PRICING SCHEDULE AND AFFIRMATION

6.1 Pricing Schedule

Proposer must submit its rate structure in **Section 7 of the Proposer's Survey**, as part of its proposal, for the Services described in **Section 5.4** (Scope of Work) of this RFP. The prices must include all charges associated with providing the full scope of work.

6.2 Pricing Affirmation

THE FOLLOWING FORM MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND SUBMITTED WITH THE PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO DO SO WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR PROPOSAL.

Proposal of:
Proposal of:(Proposer Company Name)
To: The University of Texas System Ref.: Contract Compliance Review and Accounts Payable Audit Recovery Services RFP No.: UTS/A47
Ladies and Gentlemen:
Having carefully examined all the specifications and requirements of this RFP and any attachments thereto, the undersigned proposes to furnish the subject Services upon the pricing terms quoted below.
The prices included in this response to the RFP will be Proposer's guaranteed pricing.
Proposer agrees that if Proposer is awarded an agreement under this RFP, it will provide to UT System a quarterly administrative fee of 2% of the Total Net Sales made by Preferred Supplier under the Agreement. [Note to Proposer: this will be addressed in the Agreement's Scope of Work.] "Total Net Sales" means the total dollar amount of all sales of the subject Services that are made by Preferred Supplier under Engagements by UT System and Institutional Participants, less credits, returns, taxes, and unpaid invoices. The administrative fee will be used by UT System to provide support for implementation, administration, monitoring, and management of the Agreement.
Subject to the requirements of the Texas Prompt Payment Act (Chapter 2251, <i>Texas Government Code</i>), UT System's standard payment terms are "Net 30 days." Proposer will provide the following prompt payment discount:
Prompt Payment Discount:%days/net 30 days.
Proposer certifies and agrees that all prices proposed in Proposer's proposal have been reviewed and approved by Proposer's executive management.
Respectfully submitted,
Proposer:
By:(Authorized Signature for Proposer) Name: Title: Date:

APPENDIX ONE

PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

SECTION 1 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Purpose

UT System is soliciting competitive sealed proposals from Proposers having suitable qualifications and experience providing goods and services in accordance with the terms, conditions and requirements set forth in this RFP. This RFP provides sufficient information for interested parties to prepare and submit proposals for consideration by UT System.

By submitting a proposal, Proposer certifies that it understands this RFP and has full knowledge of the scope, nature, quality, and quantity of the goods and services to be performed, the detailed requirements of the goods and services to be provided, and the conditions under which such goods and services are to be performed. Proposer also certifies that it understands that all costs relating to preparing a response to this RFP will be the sole responsibility of Proposer.

PROPOSER IS CAUTIONED TO READ THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS RFP CAREFULLY AND TO SUBMIT A COMPLETE RESPONSE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS AND QUESTIONS AS DIRECTED.

1.2 Inquiries and Interpretations

UT System may in its sole discretion respond in writing to written inquiries concerning this RFP and post its response as an Addendum to all parties recorded by UT System as participating in this RFP. Only UT System's responses that are made by formal written Addenda will be binding on UT System. Any verbal responses, written interpretations or clarifications other than Addenda to this RFP will be without legal effect. All Addenda issued by UT System prior to the Submittal Deadline will be and are hereby incorporated as a part of this RFP for all purposes.

Proposers are required to acknowledge receipt of each Addendum by selecting "acknowledge" in the Addendum section of the RFP in SciQuest. Each Addendum must be acknowledged by Proposer prior to the Submittal Deadline and should accompany Proposer's proposal.

1.3 Public Information

Proposer is hereby notified that UT System strictly adheres to all statutes, court decisions and the opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of public information.

UT System may seek to protect from disclosure all information submitted in response to this RFP until such time as a final agreement is executed.

Upon execution of a final agreement, UT System will consider all information, documentation, and other materials requested to be submitted in response to this RFP, to be of a non-confidential and non-proprietary nature and, therefore, subject to public disclosure under the *Texas Public Information Act* (*Government Code*, Chapter 552.001, et seq.). Proposer will be

advised of a request for public information that implicates their materials and will have the opportunity to raise any objections to disclosure to the Texas Attorney General. Certain information may be protected from release under Sections 552.101, 552.110, 552.113, and 552.131, *Government Code*.

1.4 Type of Agreement

Preferred Supplier, if any, will be required to enter into an agreement with UT System in a form that (i) includes terms and conditions substantially similar to those set forth in **Section 4** of this RFP, and (ii) is otherwise acceptable to UT System in all respects.

1.5 Proposal Evaluation Process

UT System will select Preferred Supplier by using the competitive sealed proposal process described in this Section. UT System will open the HSP Packet submitted by a Proposer prior to opening Proposer's proposal in order to ensure that Proposer has submitted the completed and signed HUB Subcontracting Plan (also called the HSP) that is required by this RFP (ref. **Section 2.5.4** of the RFP). All proposals submitted by the Submittal Deadline accompanied by the completed and signed HSP required by this RFP will be opened. Any proposals that are not submitted by the Submittal Date or that are not accompanied by the completed and signed HSP required by this RFP will be rejected by UT System as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications. After the opening of the proposals and upon completion of the initial review and evaluation of the proposals, UT System may invite one or more selected Proposers to participate in oral presentations. UT System will use commercially reasonable efforts to avoid public disclosure of the contents of a proposal prior to selection of Preferred Supplier.

UT System may make the selection of Preferred Supplier on the basis of the proposals initially submitted, without discussion, clarification or modification. In the alternative, UT System may make the selection of Preferred Supplier on the basis of negotiation with any of Proposers. In conducting such negotiations, UT System will avoid disclosing the contents of competing proposals.

At UT System's sole option and discretion, UT System may discuss and negotiate all elements of the proposals submitted by selected Proposers within a specified competitive range. For purposes of negotiation, UT System may establish, after an initial review of the proposals, a competitive range of acceptable or potentially acceptable proposals composed of the highest rated proposal(s). In that event, UT System will defer further action on proposals not included within the competitive range pending the selection of Preferred Supplier; provided, however, UT System reserves the right to include additional proposals in the competitive range if deemed to be in the best interests of UT System.

After submission of a proposal but before final selection of Preferred Supplier is made, UT System may permit a Proposer to revise its proposal in order to obtain Proposer's best and final offer. In that event, representations made by Proposer in its revised proposal, including price and fee quotes, will be binding on Proposer. UT System will provide each Proposer within the competitive range with an equal opportunity for discussion and revision of its proposal. UT System is not obligated to select Proposer offering the most attractive economic terms if that Proposer is not the most advantageous to UT System overall, as determined by UT System.

UT System reserves the right to (a) enter into an agreement for all or any portion of the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP with one or more Proposers, (b) reject any and all proposals and re-solicit proposals, or (c) reject any and all proposals and temporarily or permanently abandon this selection process, if deemed to be in the best interests of UT System. Proposer is hereby notified that UT System will maintain in its files concerning this RFP a written record of the basis upon which a selection, if any, is made by UT System.

1.6 Proposer's Acceptance of Evaluation Methodology

By submitting a proposal, Proposer acknowledges (1) Proposer's acceptance of [a] the Proposal Evaluation Process (ref. **Section 1.5** of **APPENDIX ONE**), [b] the Criteria for Selection (ref. **2.3** of this RFP), [c] the Specifications, Additional Questions and Scope of Work (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP), [d] the terms and conditions set forth in **Section 4** of this RFP, and [e] all other requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP; and (2) Proposer's recognition that some subjective judgments must be made by UT System during this RFP process.

1.7 Solicitation for Proposal and Proposal Preparation Costs

Proposer understands and agrees that (1) this RFP is a solicitation for proposals and UT System has made no representation written or oral that one or more agreements with UT System will be awarded under this RFP; (2) UT System issues this RFP predicated on UT System's anticipated requirements for the related goods and services, and UT System has made no representation, written or oral, that any particular goods or services will actually be required by UT System; and (3) Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost that arises from Proposer's preparation of a proposal in response to this RFP.

1.8 Proposal Requirements and General Instructions

- 1.8.1 Proposer should carefully read the information contained herein and submit a complete proposal in response to all requirements and questions as directed.
- 1.8.2 Proposals and any other information submitted by Proposer in response to this RFP will become the property of UT System.
- 1.8.3 UT System will not provide compensation to Proposer for any expenses incurred by Proposer for proposal preparation or for demonstrations or oral presentations that may be made by Proposer, unless otherwise expressly agreed in writing. Proposer submits its proposal at its own risk and expense.
- 1.8.4 Proposals that (i) are qualified with conditional clauses; (ii) alter, modify, or revise this RFP in any way; or (iii) contain irregularities of any kind, are subject to disqualification by UT System, at UT System's sole discretion.
- 1.8.5 Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward, concise description of Proposer's ability to meet the requirements and specifications of this RFP. Emphasis should be on completeness, clarity of content, and responsiveness to the requirements and specifications of this RFP.
- 1.8.6 UT System makes no warranty or guarantee that an award will be made as a result of this RFP. UT System reserves the right to accept or reject any or all proposals, waive

any formalities, procedural requirements, or minor technical inconsistencies, and delete any requirement or specification from this RFP when deemed to be in UT System's best interest. UT System reserves the right to seek clarification from any Proposer concerning any item contained in its proposal prior to final selection. Such clarification may be provided by telephone conference or personal meeting with or writing to UT System, at UT System's sole discretion. Representations made by Proposer within its proposal will be binding on Proposer.

1.8.7 Any proposal that fails to comply with the requirements contained in this RFP may be rejected by UT System, in UT System's sole discretion.

1.9 Preparation and Submittal Instructions

1.9.1 Specifications and Additional Questions

Proposals must include responses to the questions referenced in <u>Specifications</u>, <u>Additional Questions and Scope of Work</u> (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP).

1.9.2 Execution of Offer

Proposer must complete, sign and return the attached <u>Execution of Offer</u> (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**) as part of its proposal. The Execution of Offer must be signed by a representative of Proposer duly authorized to bind Proposer to its proposal. Any proposal received without a completed and signed Execution of Offer may be rejected by UT System, in its sole discretion.

1.9.3 Pricing Affirmation

Proposer must complete and return the <u>Pricing Affirmation</u> (ref. **Section 6** of this RFP), as part of its proposal.

UT System will not recognize or accept any charges or fees that are not specifically stated in the <u>Pricing Affirmation</u>.

1.9.4 Submission

Proposer should submit all proposal materials via the SciQuest e-sourcing tool. Proposer should ensure that all documents are submitted electronically in accordance with the instructions in **Section 3.1** of this RFP.

Proposer must also submit the HUB Subcontracting Plan (also called the HSP) as required by this RFP (ref. **Section 2.5** of the RFP.)

UT System will not, under any circumstances, consider a proposal that is received after the Submittal Deadline or which is not accompanied by the completed and signed HSP that is required by this RFP.

UT System will not accept proposals submitted by telephone, proposals submitted by Facsimile ("**FAX**") transmission, or proposals submitted by hard copy (i.e., paper form) in response to this RFP.

Except as otherwise provided in this RFP, no proposal may be changed, amended, or modified after it has been submitted to UT System. However, a proposal may be withdrawn and resubmitted at any time prior to the Submittal Deadline. No proposal may be withdrawn after the Submittal Deadline without UT System's consent, which will be based on Proposer's submittal of a written explanation and documentation evidencing a reason acceptable to UT System, in UT System's sole discretion.

By signing the Execution of Offer (ref. Section 2 of APPENDIX ONE) and submitting a proposal, Proposer certifies that any terms, conditions, or documents attached to or referenced in its proposal are applicable to this procurement only to the extent that they (a) do not conflict with the laws of the State of Texas or this RFP and (b) do not place any requirements on UT System that are not set forth in this RFP or in the Appendices to this RFP. Proposer further certifies that the submission of a proposal is Proposer's good faith intent to enter into the Agreement with UT System as specified herein and that such intent is not contingent upon UT System's acceptance or execution of any terms, conditions, or other documents attached to or referenced in Proposer's proposal.

SECTION 2 EXECUTION OF OFFER

THIS <u>EXECUTION OF OFFER</u> MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER WITH PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF THE PROPOSAL.

- **2.1** By signature hereon, Proposer represents and warrants the following:
 - 2.1.1 Proposer acknowledges and agrees that (1) this RFP is a solicitation for a proposal and is not a contract or an offer to contract; (2) the submission of a proposal by Proposer in response to this RFP will not create a contract between UT System and Proposer; (3) UT System has made no representation or warranty, written or oral, that one or more contracts with UT System will be awarded under this RFP; and (4) Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost arising from Proposer's preparation of a response to this RFP.
 - 2.1.2 Proposer is a reputable company that is lawfully and regularly engaged in providing the subject goods and services.
 - 2.1.3 Proposer has the necessary experience, knowledge, abilities, skills, and resources to perform under the Agreement.
 - 2.1.4 Proposer is aware of, is fully informed about, and is in full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and ordinances.
 - 2.1.5 Proposer understands (i) the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP and (ii) the terms and conditions set forth in Section 4 of this RFP, under which Proposer will be required to operate.

- 2.1.6 If selected by UT System, Proposer will not delegate any of its duties or responsibilities under this RFP or the Agreement to any sub-contractor, except as expressly provided in the Agreement.
- 2.1.7 If selected by UT System, Proposer will maintain any insurance coverage as required by the Agreement during the term thereof.
- 2.1.8 All statements, information and representations prepared and submitted in response to this RFP are current, complete, true and accurate. Proposer acknowledges that UT System will rely on such statements, information and representations in selecting Preferred Supplier. If selected by UT System, Proposer will notify UT System immediately of any material change in any matters with regard to which Proposer has made a statement or representation or provided information.
- 2.1.9 PROPOSER WILL DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UT SYSTEM, INDEMNIFY, AND HOLD HARMLESS UT SYSTEM, THE STATE OF TEXAS, AND ALL OF THEIR REGENTS, OFFICERS, AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES, FROM AND AGAINST ALL ACTIONS, SUITS, DEMANDS, COSTS, DAMAGES, LIABILITIES AND OTHER CLAIMS OF ANY NATURE, KIND OR DESCRIPTION, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES INCURRED IN INVESTIGATING, DEFENDING OR SETTLING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, ARISING OUT OF, CONNECTED WITH, OR RESULTING FROM ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS OR OMISSIONS OR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OF PROPOSER OR ANY AGENT, EMPLOYEE, SUBCONTRACTOR, OR SUPPLIER OF PROPOSER IN THE EXECUTION OR PERFORMANCE OF ANY CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT RESULTING FROM THIS RFP.
- 2.1.10 Pursuant to Sections 2107.008 and 2252.903, *Government Code*, any payments owing to Proposer under any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be applied directly to any debt or delinquency that Proposer owes the State of Texas or any agency of the State of Texas regardless of when it arises, until such debt or delinquency is paid in full.
- **2.2** By signature hereon, Proposer offers and agrees to comply with all terms, conditions, requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP.
- 2.3 By signature hereon, Proposer affirms that it has not given or offered to give, nor does Proposer intend to give at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to a public servant in connection with its submitted proposal. Failure to sign this Execution of Offer, or signing with a false statement, may void the submitted proposal or any resulting contracts, and Proposer may be removed from all proposal lists at UT System.
- 2.4 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies that it is not currently delinquent in the payment of any taxes due under Chapter 171, *Tax Code*, or that Proposer is exempt from the payment of those taxes, or that Proposer is an out-of-state taxable entity that is not subject to those taxes, whichever is applicable. A false certification will be deemed a material breach of any resulting contract or agreement and, at UT System's option, may result in termination of any resulting contract or agreement.
- 2.5 By signature hereon, Proposer hereby certifies that neither Proposer nor any firm, corporation, partnership or institution represented by Proposer, or anyone acting for such firm, corporation or institution, has violated the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, codified in Section 15.01, et

- seq., Business and Commerce Code, or the Federal antitrust laws, nor communicated directly or indirectly the proposal made to any competitor or any other person engaged in such line of business.
- 2.6 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies that the individual signing this document and the documents made a part of this RFP, is authorized to sign such documents on behalf of Proposer and to bind Proposer under any agreements and other contractual arrangements that may result from the submission of Proposer's proposal.
- **2.7** By signature hereon, Proposer certifies as follows:
 - "Under Section 231.006, Family Code, relating to child support, Proposer certifies that the individual or business entity named in Proposer's proposal is not ineligible to receive the specified contract award and acknowledges that any agreements or other contractual arrangements resulting from this RFP may be terminated if this certification is inaccurate."
- 2.8 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies that (i) no relationship, whether by blood, marriage, business association, capital funding agreement or by any other such kinship or connection exists between the owner of any Proposer that is a sole proprietorship, the officers or directors of any Proposer that is a corporation, the partners of any Proposer that is a partnership, the joint venturers of any Proposer that is a joint venture or the members or managers of any Proposer that is a limited liability company, on one hand, and any member of the Board of Regents of the University of Texas System or an employee of any component of The University of Texas System, on the other hand, other than the relationships which have been previously disclosed to UT System in writing: (ii) Proposer has not been an employee of any component institution of The University of Texas System within the immediate twelve (12) months prior to the Submittal Deadline; and (iii) no person who, in the past four (4) years served as an executive of a state agency was involved with or has any interest in Proposer's proposal or any contract resulting from this RFP (ref. Section 669.003, Government Code). All disclosures by Proposer in connection with this certification will be subject to administrative review and approval before UT System enters into a contract or agreement with Proposer.
- 2.9 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies that in accordance with Section 2155.004, *Government Code*, no compensation has been received for its participation in the preparation of the requirements or specifications for this RFP. In addition, Proposer certifies that an award of a contract to Proposer will not violate Section 2155.006, *Government Code*, prohibiting UT System from entering into a contract that involves financial participation by a person who, during the previous five years, has been convicted of violating federal law or assessed a penalty in a federal civil or administrative enforcement action in connection with a contract awarded by the federal government for relief, recovery, or reconstruction efforts as a result of Hurricane Rita, Hurricane Katrina, or any other disaster occurring after September 24, 2005. Pursuant to Sections 2155.004 and 2155.006, *Government Code*, Proposer certifies that Proposer is not ineligible to receive the award of or payments under the Agreement and acknowledges that the Agreement may be terminated and payment withheld if these certifications are inaccurate.
- 2.10 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies its compliance with all federal laws and regulations pertaining to Equal Employment Opportunities and Affirmative Action.
- 2.11 By signature hereon, Proposer represents and warrants that all products and services offered to UT System in response to this RFP meet or exceed the safety standards established and

promulgated under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Law (Public Law 91-596) and the *Texas Hazard Communication Act*, Chapter 502, *Health and Safety Code*, and all related regulations in effect or proposed as of the date of this RFP.

- **2.12** Proposer will and has disclosed, as part of its proposal, any exceptions to the certifications stated in this <u>Execution of Offer</u>. All such disclosures will be subject to administrative review and approval prior to the time UT System makes an award or enters into any contract or agreement with Proposer.
- 2.13 If Proposer will sell or lease computer equipment to UT System under any agreements or other contractual arrangements that may result from the submission of Proposer's proposal then, pursuant to Section 361.965(c), Health & Safety Code, Proposer certifies that it is in compliance with the Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act set forth in Chapter 361, Subchapter Y, Health & Safety Code and the rules adopted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality under that Act as set forth in Title 30, Chapter 328, Subchapter I, Texas Administrative Code. Section 361.952(2), Health & Safety Code states that, for purposes of the Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act, the term "computer equipment" means a desktop or notebook computer and includes a computer monitor or other display device that does not contain a tuner.

2.14	Proposer should complete the following information:	
	If Proposer is a Corporation, then State of Incorporation:	
	If Proposer is a Corporation then Proposer's Corporate Charter Number:	
	RFP No.: UTS/A	
INFORIUNDEI REVIEV HAVE THAT I THIS PROF	CE: WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED ON REQUEST TO BE INFORMED AMATION THAT GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS COLLECT ABOUT SUCH IN RESECTIONS 552.021 AND 552.023, GOVERNMENT CODE, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO RESEW SUCH INFORMATION. UNDER SECTION 559.004, GOVERNMENT CODE, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO RESECUTION OF THE STATE OF TEXAS CORRECT INFORMATION ABOUT SUCH IN ISINCORRECT. EXECUTION OF OFFER MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURN POSER'S PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS EXECTED WITH PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF THE PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF THE PROPOSED AND CONTRACT OF THE PROPOSED AND	IDIVIDUALS. ECEIVE AND NTITLED TO NDIVIDUALS ED WITH UTION OF
(Propo	poser Institution's Name)	
(Signa	ature of Duly Authorized Representative)	
(Printe	red Name/Title)	

(D. 1. O.)	
(Date Signed)	
(Duan a a a w/a Ctua at A alabasas)	
(Proposer's Street Address)	
(City, State, Zip Code)	
(Oity, Otate, Zip Odde)	
(Telephone Number)	
,	
	
(FAX Number)	

APPENDIX TWO

UT SYSTEM POLICY ON UTILIZATION OF HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSES

[Note: the Alliance should include the most recent edition, obtained from the UT System HUB Office, of the System's Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses.]

APPENDIX THREE

SAMPLE PREFERRED SUPPLIER AGREEMENT

for

CONTRACT COMPLIANCE REVIEW AND ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AUDIT RECOVERY SERVICES

between

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM

				and				
	Univ	versity of	Гехаs Ag	reement Num	ber:			
by and betw	een The Uni	versity of	Texas Sy		ystem"),	a state	Effective Date") agency and ins	
•					Federal	-	Identification located	Numbei at

This Agreement specifies the terms and conditions applicable to the supply by Preferred Supplier of certain athletic and fitness equipment (excluding apparel items and excluding any equipment provided under a sponsorship arrangement) and related services to institutional participants, all as further described below.

Now, therefore, the parties, intending to be legally bound, agree as follows:

SECTION 1 – Definitions

"Alliance" means The University of Texas System Supply Chain Alliance, a group purchasing organization established by UT System to conduct and coordinate strategic purchasing initiatives across UT System. UT System health and academic institutions are members of the Alliance. The Alliance is also affiliated with other institutions of higher education that have executed an Alliance affiliate agreement.

"Institutional Participant" means an Alliance member or affiliated institution of higher education, as designated by the Alliance, that has executed an Institutional Participation Agreement in connection with this Agreement.

"Institutional Participation Agreement" or "IPA" means the Institutional Participation Agreement attached to this Agreement as Rider 300 and incorporated for all purposes, to be executed by each Institutional Participant.

"Services" means the supply by Preferred Supplier of certain contract compliance review and accounts payable audit recovery services, as described in **Rider 100**, Scope of Work.

"UT Party" means, as applicable, UT System and/or the Institutional Participants.

"UT System Contract Administrator" means the Director of the Alliance, who will be the initial contact for all contractual concerns related to this Agreement.

SECTION 2 – Term:

The term of this Agreement will begin on the Effective Date and expire ______ [initial fixed term of three years], unless earlier terminated in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement. UT System will have the option to extend the term of this Agreement for two additional one-year periods, upon written notice given to Preferred Supplier at least 90 days in advance of the renewal term.

The Parties acknowledge that, prior to any scheduled expiration of this Agreement, UT System may conduct a competitive procurement for the purchase of products and services comparable to the Services, for the period following expiration. If Preferred Supplier is not selected as the source for the succeeding period, Institutional Participants may need to transition over a period of time to purchasing the products and services primarily from the new source, rather than from Preferred Supplier. In such event, in order to allow for an orderly transition, Institutional Participants may wish to continue purchasing from Preferred Supplier for a limited period of time after the anticipated expiration of this Agreement. As a result, Preferred Supplier agrees that, notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement:

- Preferred Supplier will make the Services available for purchase by Institutional Participants after _______, 2018 (or the anticipated expiration date under any extended term of this Agreement), for a transitional period of six months (the "Transition Period"), on the same terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement.
- The Administrative Fee provided for in Rider 100 (Scope of Work) will apply to all Services purchased hereunder during the Transition Period, and all related obligations of Preferred Supplier under this Agreement (such as to report sales volumes to UT System) will continue during such period.
- The Administrative Fee will apply to all future payments made by Institutional Participants for purchases of Services initiated during this Agreement, including the Transition Period, even if such payments are made following expiration of this Agreement.
- All incentive / rebate trigger amounts that may be established in this Agreement for any calendar year will be pro-rated automatically on a straight-line basis, to account for partial calendar years during which this Agreement exists, including the Transition Period.

SECTION 3 – Amendment:

No change, modification, alteration, or waiver of this Agreement will be effective unless it is set forth in a written agreement that is signed by UT System and Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 4 – Performance by Preferred Supplier:

Preferred Supplier will perform its obligations under this Agreement to the satisfaction of UT Party. Time is of the essence in connection with this Agreement. UT Party will not have any obligation to accept late performance or waive timely performance by Preferred Supplier. Preferred Supplier will obtain, at its own cost, any and all approvals, licenses, filings, registrations and permits required by federal, state or local laws, regulations or ordinances, for its performance hereunder.

SECTION 5 – Family Code Child Support Certification:

Pursuant to Section 231.006, Family Code, Preferred Supplier certifies that it is not ineligible to receive the award of or payments under this Agreement and acknowledges that this Agreement may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate.

SECTION 6 – Eligibility Certifications:

Pursuant to Sections 2155.004 and 2155.006, *Texas Government Code*, Preferred Supplier certifies that it has not received compensation for participation in the preparation of the Request for Proposal related to this Agreement and is not ineligible to receive the award of or payments under this Agreement; and acknowledges that this Agreement may be terminated and payment withheld if these certifications are inaccurate.

Pursuant to Section 361.965, Texas Health and Safety Code, Preferred Supplier also certifies that it is in full compliance with the State of Texas Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act set forth in Chapter 361, Subchapter Y, Texas Health and Safety Code, and the rules adopted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality under that Act as set forth in Title 30, Chapter 328, Subchapter I, Texas Administrative Code. Preferred Supplier acknowledges that this Agreement may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate.

SECTION 7 – Tax Certification:

If Preferred Supplier is a taxable entity as defined by Chapter 171, Texas Tax Code ("Chapter 171"), then Preferred Supplier certifies that it is not currently delinquent in the payment of any taxes due under Chapter 171, or that Preferred Supplier is exempt from the payment of those taxes, or that Preferred Supplier is an out-of-state taxable entity that is not subject to those taxes, whichever is applicable.

SECTION 8 – Payment of Debt or Delinquency to the State:

Pursuant to Sections 2107.008 and 2252.903, *Texas Government Code*, Preferred Supplier agrees that any payments owing to Preferred Supplier under this Agreement may be applied directly toward any debt or delinquency that Preferred Supplier owes the State of Texas or any agency of the State of Texas regardless of when it arises, until such debt or delinquency is paid in full.

SECTION 9 – Loss of Funding:

Performance by UT Party under this Agreement may be dependent upon the appropriation and allotment of funds by the Texas State Legislature (the "Legislature") and/or allocation of funds by the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System (the "Board"). If the Legislature fails to appropriate or allot the necessary funds, or the Board fails to allocate the necessary funds, then UT Party will issue written notice to Preferred Supplier and UT Party may terminate this Agreement without further duty or obligation hereunder, other than payment for goods and services already delivered or provided to Institutional Participant. Preferred Supplier acknowledges that appropriation, allotment, and allocation of funds are beyond the control of UT Party.

SECTION 10 – Force Majeure:

None of the parties to this Agreement will be liable or responsible to another for any loss or damage or for any delays or failure to perform due to causes beyond its reasonable control including acts of God, strikes, epidemics, war, riots, flood, fire, sabotage, or any other circumstances of like character ("force majeure occurrence"). Provided, however, in the event of a force majeure occurrence, Preferred Supplier agrees to use its best efforts to mitigate the impact of the occurrence so that UT Party may continue to provide healthcare services during the occurrence.

SECTION 11 – Notices:

Except as otherwise provided in this Section, all notices, consents, approvals, demands, requests or other communications provided for or permitted to be given under any of the provisions of this Agreement will be in writing and will be sent via registered or certified mail, overnight courier, confirmed facsimile transmission (to the extent a facsimile number is set forth below), or email (to the extent an email address is set forth below), and notice will be deemed given (i) if mailed, when deposited, postage prepaid, in the United States mail, (ii) if sent by overnight courier, one business day after delivery to the courier, (iii) if sent by facsimile (to the extent a facsimile number is set forth below), when transmitted, and (iv) if sent by email (to the extent an email address is set forth below), when received:

If to UT System:	Office of Business Affairs The University of Texas System 201 W. 7th Street Attn: Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs Austin, Texas 78701-2982 Fax: 512-499-4289 Email: Lloyd@utsystem.edu
with copy to:	The University of Texas System Supply Chain Alliance Mid Campus Building 7007 Bertner Ave., Suite 11.2339 Houston, TX 77030 Attention: Director Fax: 713-792-8084 Email:jfjoshua@mdanderson.org
If to Preferred Supplier:	

Attn:			
Fax:			
Email:			

If to an Institutional Participant: The contact information for Institutional Participant as set forth in its IPA.

with copy to: Office of Business Affairs

The University of Texas System

201 W. 7th Street

Attn: Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs

Austin, Texas 78701-2982

Fax: 512-499-4289

Email: LegalNotices@utsystem.edu

and

The University of Texas System Supply Chain Alliance

Mid Campus Building

7007 Bertner Ave., Suite 11.2339

Houston, TX 77030 Attention: Director Fax: 713-792-8084

Email:jfjoshua@mdanderson.org

or such other person or address as may be given in writing by either party to the other in accordance with the aforesaid.

SECTION 12 - Preferred Supplier's Obligations.

- **12.1** Preferred Supplier represents that it has the knowledge, ability, skills, and resources to perform its obligations hereunder.
- **12.2** Preferred Supplier will maintain a staff of properly trained and experienced personnel to ensure satisfactory performance hereunder. Preferred Supplier will cause all persons connected with the Preferred Supplier directly in charge of performance hereunder to be duly registered and/or licensed under all applicable federal, state and municipal, laws, regulations, codes, ordinances and orders, including the rules, regulations and procedures promulgated by the Board or Institutional Participants, and those of any other body or authority having jurisdiction (collectively, "**Applicable Law**").
- 12.3 Preferred Supplier represents, warrants and agrees that (a) it will use commercially reasonable efforts to perform hereunder, in a good and workmanlike manner and in accordance with commercially reasonable standards of Preferred Supplier's profession or business, and (b) all good and services provided hereunder will be of the quality that prevails among similar businesses engaged in providing similar products and services in major United States urban areas under the same or similar circumstances.
- 12.4 Preferred Supplier warrants and agrees that all Services supplied under this Agreement will be accurate and free from any material defects. Preferred Supplier's performance hereunder will at no time be in any way diminished by reason of any approval by UT Party nor will Preferred Supplier be released from any liability by reason of any approval by UT Party, it being agreed that UT Party at all times is relying upon Preferred Supplier's skill and knowledge in performing hereunder. Preferred

Supplier will, at its own cost, correct all material defects in Services supplied under this Agreement, as soon as practical after Preferred Supplier becomes aware of the defects. If Preferred Supplier fails to correct such material defects within a reasonable time, then UT Party may correct the defect at Preferred Supplier's expense. This remedy is in addition to, and not in substitution for, any other remedy for the defect that UT Party may have at law or in equity.

- **12.5** Preferred Supplier will call to the attention of UT Party, in writing, all information in any materials supplied to Preferred Supplier (by UT Party or any other party) that Preferred Supplier regards as unsuitable, improper or inaccurate in connection with the purposes for which the material is furnished.
- 12.6 Preferred Supplier represents that if (i) it is a corporation or limited liability company, then it is a corporation duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Texas, or a foreign corporation or limited liability company duly authorized and in good standing to conduct business in the State of Texas, that it has all necessary corporate power and has received all necessary corporate approvals to execute and deliver this Agreement, and the individual executing this Agreement on behalf of Preferred Supplier has been duly authorized to act for and bind Preferred Supplier; or (ii) if it is a partnership, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, or limited liability company then it has all necessary power and has secured all necessary approvals to execute and deliver this Agreement and perform all its obligations hereunder, and the individual executing this Agreement on behalf of Preferred Supplier has been duly authorized to act for and bind Preferred Supplier.
- **12.7** Preferred Supplier will provide the warranties more particularly described in Section ____ of **Rider 100,** Scope of Work.
- **12.8** Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement by Preferred Supplier nor Preferred Supplier's performance hereunder will (a) result in the violation of any provision [i] if a corporation, of Preferred Supplier's articles of incorporation or by-laws, [ii] if a limited liability company, of its articles of organization or regulations, or [iii] if a partnership, of any partnership agreement by which Preferred Supplier is bound; (b) result in the violation of any provision of any agreement by which Preferred Supplier is bound; or (c) to the best of Preferred Supplier's knowledge and belief, conflict with any order or decree of any court or other body or authority having jurisdiction.

SECTION 13 – State Auditor's Office:

Preferred Supplier understands that acceptance of funds under this Agreement constitutes acceptance of the authority of the Texas State Auditor's Office, or any successor agency (collectively, "**Auditor**"), to conduct an audit or investigation in connection with those funds pursuant to Sections 51.9335(c), 73.115(c) and 74.008(c), Education Code. Preferred Supplier agrees to cooperate with the Auditor in the conduct of the audit or investigation, including without limitation providing all records requested. Preferred Supplier will include this provision in all contracts with permitted subcontractors.

SECTION 14 – Governing Law:

Travis County, Texas, will be the proper place of venue for suit on or in respect of this Agreement. This Agreement and all of the rights and obligations of the parties thereto and all of the terms and conditions thereof will be construed, interpreted and applied in accordance with and governed by and enforced under the internal laws of the State of Texas.

SECTION 15 – Breach of Contract Claims:

- **15.1** To the extent that Chapter 2260, Texas Government Code, as it may be amended from time to time ("**Chapter 2260**"), is applicable to this Agreement and is not preempted by other Applicable Law, the dispute resolution process provided for in Chapter 2260 will be used, as further described herein, by UT Party and Preferred Supplier to attempt to resolve any claim for breach of contract made by Preferred Supplier:
 - **15.1.1** Preferred Supplier's claims for breach of this Agreement that the parties cannot resolve pursuant to other provisions of this Agreement or in the ordinary course of business will be submitted to the negotiation process provided in subchapter B of Chapter 2260. To initiate the process, Preferred Supplier will submit written notice, as required by subchapter B of Chapter 2260, to UT Party in accordance with the notice provisions in this Agreement. Preferred Supplier's notice will specifically state that the provisions of subchapter B of Chapter 2260 are being invoked, the date and nature of the event giving rise to the claim, the specific contract provision that UT Party allegedly breached, the amount of damages Preferred Supplier seeks, and the method used to calculate the damages. Compliance by Preferred Supplier with subchapter B of Chapter 2260 is a required prerequisite to Preferred Supplier's filing of a contested case proceeding under subchapter C of Chapter 2260. The UT Party's chief business officer, or another officer of UT Party as may be designated from time to time by UT Party by written notice thereof to Preferred Supplier in accordance with the notice provisions in this Agreement, will examine Preferred Supplier's claim and any counterclaim and negotiate with Preferred Supplier in an effort to resolve the claims.
 - **15.1.2** If the parties are unable to resolve their disputes under Section 4.11.1.1, the contested case process provided in subchapter C of Chapter 2260 is Preferred Supplier's sole and exclusive process for seeking a remedy for any and all of Preferred Supplier's claims for breach of this Agreement by UT Party.
 - **15.1.3** Compliance with the contested case process provided in subchapter C of Chapter 2260 is a required prerequisite to seeking consent to sue from the Legislature under Chapter 107, Civil Practices and Remedies Code. The parties hereto specifically agree that (i) neither the execution of this Agreement by UT Party nor any other conduct, action or inaction of any representative of UT Party relating to this Agreement constitutes or is intended to constitute a waiver of UT Party's or the state's sovereign immunity to suit and (ii) UT Party has not waived its right to seek redress in the courts.
- **15.2** The submission, processing and resolution of Preferred Supplier's claim is governed by the published rules adopted by the Texas Attorney General pursuant to Chapter 2260, as currently effective, thereafter enacted or subsequently amended.
- **15.3** UT Party and Preferred Supplier agree that any periods set forth in this Agreement for notice and cure of defaults are not waived.

SECTION 16 – Compliance with Law:

Preferred Supplier will perform hereunder in compliance with all Applicable Law. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that neither Preferred Supplier nor any firm, corporation or institution represented by Preferred Supplier, nor anyone acting for such firm, corporation or institution, (1) has violated the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, Chapter 15, *Texas Business and Commerce Code*, or

federal antitrust laws, or (2) has communicated directly or indirectly the content of Preferred Supplier's response to UT System's procurement solicitation to any competitor or any other person engaged in a similar line of business during the procurement process.

SECTION 17 – UT Party's Right to Audit:

At any time during the term of this Agreement and for a period of four (4) years thereafter UT System or a duly authorized audit representative of UT System, or the State of Texas, at its expense and at reasonable times, reserves the right to audit Preferred Supplier's records and books directly related to charges paid for all products and services provided under this Agreement. The right will not extend to any fixed fee component of the charges or to any services performed more than one year prior to the date of request for review. In the event such an audit by UT System reveals any errors or overpayments by UT System which error or overpayment is confirmed by Preferred Supplier, Preferred Supplier will refund UT System the full amount of such overpayments within thirty (30) days of such audit findings, or UT System, at its option, reserves the right to deduct such amounts owing to UT System from any payments due Preferred Supplier

SECTION 18 – Access to Documents:

To the extent applicable to this Agreement, in accordance with Section 1861(v)(I)(i) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x) as amended, and the provisions of 42 CFR Section 420.300, et seq., Preferred Supplier agrees to allow, during and for a period of not less than four (4) years after this Agreement term, access to this Agreement and its books, documents, and records; and contracts between Preferred Supplier and its subcontractors or related organizations, including books, documents and records relating to same, by the Comptroller General of the United States, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and their duly authorized representatives.

SECTION 19 – Insurance:

- **19.1** Preferred Supplier, consistent with its status as an independent contractor, will carry and will cause its subcontractors to carry, at least the following insurance, with companies authorized to do insurance business in the State of Texas or eligible surplus lines insurers operating in accordance with the Texas Insurance Code, having an A.M. Best Rating of A-:VII or better, and in amounts not less than the following minimum limits of coverage:
 - **19.1.1** Workers' Compensation Insurance with statutory limits, and Employer's Liability Insurance with limits of not less than \$1,000,000:

Employers Liability - Each Accident	\$1,000,000
Employers Liability - Each Employee	\$1,000,000
Employers Liability - Policy Limit	\$1,000,000

Workers' Compensation policy must include under Item 3.A. on the information page of the workers' compensation policy the state in which services are to be performed for Institutional Participant.

19.1.2 Commercial General Liability Insurance with limits of not less than:

Each Occurrence Limit \$1,000,000 Damage to Rented Premises \$ 300,000 Personal & Advertising Injury \$1,000,000 General Aggregate \$2,000,000 Products - Completed Operations Aggregate \$2,000,000

The required Commercial General Liability policy will be issued on a form that insures Preferred Supplier's and subcontractor's liability for bodily injury (including death), property damage, personal and advertising injury assumed under the terms of this Agreement.

- **19.1.3** Business Auto Liability Insurance covering all owned, non-owned or hired automobiles, with limits of not less than \$1,000,000 single limit of liability per accident for Bodily Injury and Property Damage. Contractors transporting hazardous materials must provide the MCS-90 endorsement and CA9948 Broadened Pollution Liability endorsement on the Business Auto Liability policy. Policy limits must be in line with Federal requirements.
- 19.1.4 Umbrella/Excess Liability Insurance with limits of not less than \$2,000,000 per occurrence and aggregate with a deductible of no more than \$10,000, and will be excess over and at least as broad as the underlying coverage as required under Sections 19.1.1 Employer's Liability; 19.1.2 Commercial General Liability; and 19.1.3 Business Auto Liability. Inception and expiration dates will be the same as the underlying policies. Drop-down coverage will be provided for reduction or exhaustion of underlying aggregate limits and will provide a duty to defend for any insured.
- **19.1.5** Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance with limits of not less than \$1,000,000 per claim. The coverage will be continuous for the duration of this Agreement and for not less than twenty-four (24) months following the expiration or termination of this Agreement.
- **19.2** Preferred Supplier will deliver to Institutional Participant:
 - 19.2.1 Evidence of insurance on a Texas Department of Insurance approved certificate form verifying the existence and actual limits of all required insurance policies after the execution and delivery of this Agreement and prior to the performance by Preferred Supplier under this Agreement. Additional evidence of insurance will be provided verifying the continued existence of all required insurance no later than thirty (30) days after each annual insurance policy renewal.
 - 19.2.2 <u>All insurance policies</u> (with the exception of workers' compensation, employer's liability and professional liability) will be endorsed and name The Board of Regents of The University of Texas System, The University of Texas System, and Institutional Participant as Additional Insureds for liability caused in whole or in part by Preferred Supplier's acts or omissions with respect to its on-going and completed operations up to the actual liability limits of the required insurance policies maintained by Preferred Supplier. The Commercial General Liability Additional Insured endorsement including on-going and completed operations coverage will be submitted with the Certificates of Insurance. Commercial General Liability and Business Auto Liability will be endorsed to provide primary and non-contributory coverage.
 - **19.2.3** Preferred Supplier hereby waives all rights of subrogation against The Board of Regents of The University of Texas System, The University of Texas System and Institutional Participant. *All insurance policies* will be endorsed to provide a waiver of subrogation

in favor of The Board of Regents of The University of Texas System, The University of Texas System and Institutional Participant. No policy will be canceled until after thirty (30) days' unconditional written notice to Institutional Participant. *All insurance policies* will be endorsed to require the insurance carrier providing coverage to send notice to Institutional Participant thirty (30) days prior to any cancellation, material change, or non-renewal relating to any insurance policy required in this **Section 19**.

- **19.2.4** Preferred Supplier will pay any deductible or self-insured retention for any loss. Any self-insured retention must be declared to and approved by Institutional Participant prior to the performance by Preferred Supplier under this Agreement. All deductibles and self-insured retentions will be shown on the Certificates of Insurance.
- **19.2.5** Certificates of Insurance and Additional Insured Endorsements as required by this Agreement will be mailed, faxed, or emailed to the Institutional Participant contact identified in the Institutional Participation Agreement.
- **19.3** Preferred Supplier's or subcontractor's insurance will be primary to any insurance carried or self-insurance program established by Institutional Participant or The University of Texas System. Preferred Supplier's or subcontractor's insurance will be kept in force until all obligations under this Agreement have been fully performed and accepted by Institutional Participant in writing, except as provided in this **Section 19.3**.
 - **19.3.1** Directors and Officers Liability insurance coverage written on a claims-made basis requires Preferred Supplier to purchase an Extended Reporting Period Endorsement, effective for 24 months after the expiration or cancellation of this policy.

SECTION 20 – Indemnification:

20.1 TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, PREFERRED SUPPLIER WILL AND DOES HEREBY AGREE TO INDEMNIFY, PROTECT, DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UT PARTY, AND HOLD HARMLESS UT PARTY AND ITS AFFILIATED ENTERPRISES. REGENTS. OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, ATTORNEYS, EMPLOYEES, REPRESENTATIVES AND AGENTS (COLLECTIVELY "INDEMNITEES") FROM AND AGAINST ALL DAMAGES, LOSSES, LIENS, CAUSES OF ACTION, SUITS, JUDGMENTS, EXPENSES, AND OTHER CLAIMS OF ANY NATURE, KIND, OR DESCRIPTION, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES INCURRED IN INVESTIGATING, DEFENDING OR SETTLING ANY OF THE FOREGOING (COLLECTIVELY "CLAIMS") BY ANY PERSON OR ENTITY, ARISING OUT OF, CAUSED BY, OR RESULTING FROM PREFERRED SUPPLIER'S PERFORMANCE UNDER OR BREACH OF THIS AGREEMENT. AND THAT ARE CAUSED IN WHOLE OR IN PART BY ANY NEGLIGENT ACT, NEGLIGENT OMISSION OR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OF PREFERRED SUPPLIER, ANYONE DIRECTLY EMPLOYED BY PREFERRED SUPPLIER OR ANYONE FOR WHOSE ACTS PREFERRED SUPPLIER MAY BE LIABLE. THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION WILL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO ELIMINATE OR REDUCE ANY OTHER INDEMNIFICATION OR RIGHT WHICH ANY INDEMNITEE HAS BY LAW OR EQUITY. ALL PARTIES WILL BE ENTITLED TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL AT THEIR OWN EXPENSE.

20.2 IN ADDITION, PREFERRED SUPPLIER WILL AND DOES HEREBY AGREE TO INDEMNIFY, PROTECT, DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UT PARTY, AND HOLD HARMLESS INDEMNITEES FROM AND AGAINST ALL CLAIMS ARISING FROM INFRINGEMENT OR ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF ANY PATENT, COPYRIGHT, TRADEMARK OR OTHER PROPRIETARY

INTEREST ARISING BY OR OUT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF SERVICES OR THE PROVISION OF GOODS BY PREFERRED SUPPLIER, OR THE USE BY INDEMNITEES, AT THE DIRECTION OF PREFERRED SUPPLIER, OF ANY ARTICLE OR MATERIAL; PROVIDED, THAT, UPON BECOMING AWARE OF A SUIT OR THREAT OF SUIT FOR INFRINGEMENT, UT PARTIES WILL PROMPTLY NOTIFY PREFERRED SUPPLIER AND PREFERRED SUPPLIER WILL BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO NEGOTIATE A SETTLEMENT. IN THE EVENT OF LITIGATION, UT PARTIES AGREE TO REASONABLY COOPERATE WITH PREFERRED SUPPLIER. ALL PARTIES WILL BE ENTITLED TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL AT THEIR OWN EXPENSE.

<u>SECTION 21 – Ethics Matters; No Financial Interest:</u>

Preferred Supplier and its employees, agents, representatives and subcontractors have read and understand UT System's Conflicts of Interest Policy available at http://www.utsystem.edu/policy/policies/int160.html, UT System's Standards of Conduct Guide available at http://www.utsystem.edu/system.compliance/, and applicable state ethics laws and rules available at www.utsystem.edu/ogc/ethics. Neither Preferred Supplier nor its employees, agents, representatives or subcontractors will assist or cause UT Party's employees to violate UT System's Conflicts of Interest Policy, provisions described by UT System's Standards of Conduct Guide, or applicable state ethics laws or rules. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that no member of the Board has a direct or indirect financial interest in the transaction that is the subject of this Agreement.

SECTION 22 – Assignment of Overcharge Claims:

Preferred Supplier hereby assigns to UT Party any and all claims for overcharges associated with this Agreement arising under the antitrust laws of the United States, 15 U.S.C.A., Sec. 1 et seq., or arising under the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, Business and Commerce Code, Sec. 15.01, et seq.

SECTION 23 – Assignment and Subcontracting:

Except as specifically provided in any Historically Underutilized Business Subcontracting Plan ("HSP") attached as Rider 500 and incorporated for all purposes, neither Preferred Supplier's interest in this Agreement, its duties and obligations under this Agreement nor fees due to Preferred Supplier under this Agreement may be subcontracted, assigned, delegated or otherwise transferred to a third party, in whole or in part, and any attempt to do so will (1) not be binding on UT Party; and (2) be a breach of this Agreement for which Preferred Supplier will be subject to any remedial actions provided by Texas law, including Chapter 2161, Texas Government Code, and 34 Texas Administrative Code ("TAC") Section 20.14. UT Party may report nonperformance under this Agreement to the Texas Procurement and Support Services Division of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts or any successor agency (collectively, "TPSS") in accordance with 34 TAC Chapter 20, Subchapter F, Vendor Performance and Debarment Program. The benefits and burdens of this Agreement are, however, assignable by UT Party.

SECTION 24 – Historically Underutilized Business Subcontracting Plan:

24.1 If an HSP is attached to this Agreement, Preferred Supplier agrees to use good faith efforts to subcontract the scope of work in accordance with the HSP. Preferred Supplier agrees to maintain business records documenting its compliance with the HSP and to submit a monthly compliance report to UT Party in the format required by the TPSS. Submission of compliance reports will be required as a condition for payment under this Agreement. If UT Party determines that Preferred Supplier has failed to subcontract as set out in the HSP, UT Party will notify Preferred Supplier of any deficiencies and give

Preferred Supplier an opportunity to submit documentation and explain why the failure to comply with the HSP should not be attributed to a lack of good faith effort by Preferred Supplier. If UT Party determines that Preferred Supplier failed to implement the HSP in good faith, UT Party, in addition to any other remedies, may report nonperformance to the TPSS in accordance with 34 TAC Chapter 20, Subchapter F, Vendor Performance and Debarment Program. UT Party may also revoke this Agreement for breach and make a claim against the Preferred Supplier.

- **24.2** If at any time during the term of this Agreement, Preferred Supplier desires to change the HSP, before the proposed changes become effective (1) Preferred Supplier must comply with 34 TAC Section 20.14; (2) the changes must be reviewed and approved by UT Party; and (3) if UT Party approves changes to the HSP, this Agreement must be amended in accordance with Section 2.5.3 to replace the HSP with the revised subcontracting plan.
- 24.3 If UT Party expands the scope of this Agreement through a change order or any other amendment, UT Party will determine if the additional scope of work contains probable subcontracting opportunities not identified in the initial solicitation for the scope of work. If UT Party determines additional probable subcontracting opportunities exist, Preferred Supplier will submit an amended subcontracting plan covering those opportunities. The amended subcontracting plan must comply with the provisions of 34 TAC Section 20.14 before (1) this Agreement may be amended to include the additional scope of work; or (2) Preferred Supplier may perform the additional scope of work. If Preferred Supplier subcontracts any of the additional subcontracting opportunities identified by UT Party without prior authorization and without complying with 34 TAC Section 20.14, Preferred Supplier will be deemed to be in breach of this Agreement under Section 4.19 and will be subject to any remedial actions provided by Texas law including Chapter 2161, Texas Government Code, and 34 TAC Section 20.14. UT Party may report nonperformance under this Agreement to the TPSS in accordance with 34 TAC Chapter 20, Subchapter F, Vendor Performance and Debarment Program.

SECTION 25 – Payment and Invoicing:

Institutional Participant agrees to pay fees due under this Agreement in accordance with the Texas Prompt Payment Act ("Act"), Chapter 2251, *Texas Government Code*. Pursuant to the Act, payment will be deemed late on the 31st day after the later of: 1) the date the performance is completed, or 2) the date Institutional Participant receives an invoice for the related goods or services. Institutional Participant will be responsible for interest on overdue payments equal to the sum of: 1) one percent, plus 2) the prime rate as published in the Wall Street Journal on the first day of July of the preceding fiscal year (Institutional Participant's fiscal year begins September 1) that does not fall on a Saturday or Sunday. Institutional Participant will have the right to verify the details set forth in Preferred Supplier's invoices and supporting documentation, either before or after payment, by (a) inspecting the books and records of Preferred Supplier at mutually convenient times; (b) examining any reports with respect to the related goods or services; and (c) other reasonable action.

Section 51.012, *Texas Education Code*, authorizes UT Party to make any payment through electronic funds transfer methods. Preferred Supplier agrees to receive payments from UT Party through electronic funds transfer methods, including the automated clearing house system (also known as ACH). Prior to the first payment under this Agreement, UT Party will confirm Preferred Supplier's banking information. Any changes to Preferred Supplier's banking information will be communicated by Preferred Supplier to UT Party in writing at least thirty (30) days in advance of the effective date of the change.

SECTION 26 – Limitations:

The parties to this Agreement are aware that there are constitutional and statutory limitations on the authority of UT Party (a state agency) to enter into certain terms and conditions of this Agreement, including, but not limited to, those terms and conditions relating to disclaimers and limitations of warranties; disclaimers and limitations of liability for damages; waivers, disclaimers and limitations of legal rights, remedies, requirements and processes; limitations of periods to bring legal action; granting control of litigation or settlement to another party; liability for acts or omissions of third parties; payment of attorneys' fees; dispute resolution; indemnities; and confidentiality (collectively, the "Limitations"), and terms and conditions related to the Limitations will not be binding on UT Party except to the extent authorized by the laws and Constitution of the State of Texas.

SECTION 27 – Affirmative Action:

Preferred Supplier agrees that either a written copy of Preferred Supplier's Civil Rights "Affirmative Action Compliance Program" or, if Preferred Supplier is not required to have such a written program, the reason Preferred Supplier is not subject to such requirement, is attached to this Agreement as **Rider 600** and incorporated for all purposes.

SECTION 28 – OSHA Compliance:

Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that all products and services furnished under this Agreement meet or exceed the safety standards established and promulgated under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Law (Public Law 91-598) and its regulations in effect or proposed as of the date of this Agreement.

<u>SECTION 29 - Certifications of Nonsegregated Facilities and Equal Employment Opportunities Compliance:</u>

Preferred Supplier certifies that, except for restrooms and wash rooms and one (1) or more lactation rooms each of which is segregated on the basis of sex: (1) it does not maintain or provide for its employees any segregated facilities at any of its establishments and that it does not permit its employees to perform their services at any location under its control where segregated facilities are maintained; (2) it will not maintain or provide for its employees any segregated facilities at any of its establishments; and (3) it will not permit its employees to perform their services at any location under its control where segregated facilities are maintained. Preferred Supplier agrees that a breach of this certification is a violation of the Equal Opportunity clause in this Agreement. The term "segregated facilities" means any waiting rooms, work area, rest rooms and wash rooms, entertainment areas, transportation, or housing facilities provided for employees which are segregated by explicit directive or are in fact segregated on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, because of habit, local custom, or otherwise. Preferred Supplier further agrees that, except where it has contracts prior to the award with subcontractors exceeding \$10,000.00 which are not exempt from the provisions of the Equal Opportunity clause, Preferred Supplier will retain such certifications for each one of its subcontractors in Preferred Supplier's' files, and that it will forward the following notice to all proposed subcontractors (except where the proposed subcontractors have submitted identical certifications for specific time periods):

NOTICE TO PROSPECTIVE SUBCONTRACTORS OF REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATIONS OF NONSEGREGATED FACILITIES - A Certification on Nonsegregated Facilities must be submitted prior to the award of any subcontract exceeding \$10,000.00 which

is not exempt from the provisions of the Equal Opportunity clause. The certification may be submitted either for each subcontract or for all subcontracts during a period (i.e. quarterly, semiannually, or annually).

Preferred Supplier understands that the penalty for making false statements regarding the subject matters of this Section is prescribed in 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SECTION 30 – Premises Rules:

If this Agreement requires Preferred Supplier's presence on UT Party's premises or in UT Party's facilities, Preferred Supplier agrees to cause its representatives, agents, employees and permitted subcontractors (if any) to become aware of, fully informed about, and in full compliance with all applicable UT Party rules and policies, including, without limitation, those relative to personal health, security, environmental quality, safety, fire prevention, noise, smoking, and access restrictions; consideration for students, patients and their families as well as employees; parking; and security.

SECTION 31 – Debarment:

Preferred Supplier confirms that neither Preferred Supplier nor its Principals are suspended, debarred, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the award of contracts from United States ("U.S.") federal government procurement or nonprocurement programs, or are listed in the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement or Nonprocurement Programs issued by the U.S. General Services Administration. "Principals" means officers, directors, owners, partners, and persons having primary management or supervisory responsibilities within a business entity (e.g. general manager, plant manager, head of a subsidiary, division or business segment, and similar positions). Preferred Supplier will provide immediate written notification to UT Party if, at any time prior to award, Preferred Supplier learns that this certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when UT Party executes this Agreement. If it is later determined that Preferred Supplier knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to the other remedies available to UT Party, UT Party may terminate this Agreement for default by Preferred Supplier.

<u>SECTION 32 – Office of Inspector General Certification:</u>

Preferred Supplier acknowledges that UT Party is prohibited by federal regulations from allowing any employee, subcontractor, or agent of Preferred Supplier to work on site at UT Party premises or facilities if that individual is not eligible to work on federal healthcare programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, or other similar federal programs. Therefore, Preferred Supplier will not assign any employee, subcontractor or agent that appears on the List of Excluded Individuals issued by the United States Office of the Inspector General ("OIG") to work on site at UT Party premises or facilities. Preferred Supplier will perform an OIG sanctions check quarterly on each of its employees, subcontractors and agents during the time such employees, subcontractors and agents are assigned to work on site at UT Party premises or facilities. Preferred Supplier acknowledges that UT Party will require immediate removal of any employee, subcontractor or agent of Preferred Supplier assigned to work at UT Party premises or facilities if such employee, subcontractor or agent is found to be on the OIG's List of Excluded Individuals. The OIG's List of Excluded Individuals may be accessed through the following Internet website: http://www.dhhs.gov/progorg/oig/cumsan/index.htm.

SECTION 33 – Termination:

- **33.1** In the event of a material failure by either party to perform in accordance with the terms of this Agreement ("**default**"), the other, non-defaulting party may terminate this Agreement upon thirty (30) days' written notice of termination setting forth the nature of the material failure. The termination will not be effective if the material failure is fully cured prior to the end of the 30-day period. No such termination will relieve the defaulting party from liability for the underlying default or breach of this Agreement or any other act or omission.
- 33.2 UT System may terminate this Agreement, without cause, upon written notice to Preferred Supplier; provided, however, this Agreement will not terminate until the later of (1) 90 days after receipt of notice of termination, or (2) the date that performance is complete under all purchase orders issued by Institutional Participant to Preferred Supplier prior to receipt of notice of termination. Institutional Participant may not issue any purchase orders after receipt of notice of termination. Termination of this Agreement will not relieve any party from liability for its default under or breach of this Agreement or any other act or omission of that party. In the event that this Agreement is terminated, then within thirty (30) days after termination, Preferred Supplier will reimburse UT Party for all fees paid by UT Party to Preferred Supplier that were (a) not earned by Preferred Supplier prior to termination, or (b) for goods or services that UT Party did not receive from Preferred Supplier prior to termination.
- **33.3** UT System or Institutional Participant may terminate an IPA, without cause, upon written notice to Preferred Supplier; provided, however, the IPA will not terminate until the later of (1) thirty (30) days after receipt of notice of termination, or (2) the date that performance is complete under all purchase orders issued by Institutional Participant to Preferred Supplier prior to receipt of notice of termination. Institutional Participant may not issue any purchase orders after receipt of notice of termination. Termination of an IPA will not relieve any party from liability for its default under or breach of the IPA or any other act or omission of that party. In the event that an IPA is terminated, then within thirty (30) days after termination, Preferred Supplier will reimburse Institutional Participant for all fees paid by Institutional Participant to Preferred Supplier that were (a) not earned by Preferred Supplier prior to termination, or (b) for goods or services that Institutional Participant did not receive from Preferred Supplier prior to termination.

SECTION 34 – Authority:

The individuals executing this Agreement on behalf of each party have been duly authorized to act for and bind the party they represent.

SECTION 35 – Survival of Provisions:

Expiration or termination of this Agreement will not relieve either party of any obligations under this Agreement that by their nature survive such expiration or termination.

SECTION 36 – Confidentiality; Press Releases; Public Information:

36.1 Confidentiality and Safeguarding of UT Party Records. Under this Agreement, Preferred Supplier may (1) create, (2) receive from or on behalf of UT Party, or (3) have access to, UT Party's records or record systems (collectively, "**UT Party Records**"). However, it is expressly agreed that UT Party will not provide to Preferred Supplier, and Preferred Supplier will never seek to access, any UT Party Records that contain personally identifiable information regarding any individual that is not available to any requestor under the *Texas Public Information Act*, Chapter 552, Texas Government

Code, including "directory information" of any student who has opted to prohibit the release of their "directory information" as that term is defined under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, 20 U.S.C. §1232g ("FERPA") and its implementing regulations. Preferred Supplier represents, warrants, and agrees that it will: (1) hold UT Party Records in strict confidence and will not use or disclose UT Party Records except as (a) permitted or required by this Agreement, (b) required by Applicable Laws, or (c) otherwise authorized by UT Party in writing; (2) safeguard UT Party Records according to reasonable administrative, physical and technical standards (such as standards established by the National Institute of Standards and Technology and the Center for Internet Security, as well as Payment Card Industry Data Security Standards) that are no less rigorous than the standards by which Preferred Supplier protects its own confidential information; (3) continually monitor its operations and take any action necessary to assure that UT Party Records are safeguarded and the confidentiality of UT Party Records is maintained in accordance with all Applicable Laws and the terms of this Agreement; and (4) comply with UT Party Rules regarding access to and use of UT Party's computer systems, including UTS 165 at http://www.utsystem.edu/bor/procedures/policy/policies/uts165.html. At the request of UT Party, Preferred Supplier agrees to provide UT Party with a written summary of the procedures Preferred Supplier uses to safeguard and maintain the confidentiality of UT Party Records.

- **36.2 Notice of Impermissible Use.** If an impermissible use or disclosure of any UT Party Records occurs, Preferred Supplier will provide written notice to UT Party within one (1) business day after Preferred Supplier's discovery of that use or disclosure. Preferred Supplier will promptly provide UT Party with all information requested by UT Party regarding the impermissible use or disclosure.
- **36.3 Return of UT Party Records.** Preferred Supplier agrees that within thirty (30) days after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, for any reason, all UT Party Records created or received from or on behalf of UT Party will be (1) returned to UT Party, with no copies retained by Preferred Supplier; or (2) if return is not feasible, destroyed. Twenty (20) days before destruction of any UT Party Records, Preferred Supplier will provide UT Party with written notice of Preferred Supplier's intent to destroy UT Party Records. Within five (5) days after destruction, Preferred Supplier will confirm to UT Party in writing the destruction of UT Party Records.
- **36.3 Disclosure.** If Preferred Supplier discloses any UT Party Records to a subcontractor or agent, Preferred Supplier will require the subcontractor or agent to comply with the same restrictions and obligations as are imposed on Preferred Supplier by this **Section 36**.
- **36.4 Press Releases.** Preferred Supplier will not make any press releases, public statements, or advertisement referring to this Agreement, or release any information relative to this Agreement for publication, advertisement or any other purpose, without the prior written approval of UT Party.
- **36.5 Public Information.** UT Party strictly adheres to all statutes, court decisions and the opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of public information under the *Texas Public Information Act* ("**TPIA**"), Chapter 552, *Texas Government Code*. In accordance with Section 552.002 of TPIA and Section 2252.907, *Texas Government Code*, and at no additional charge to UT Party, Preferred Supplier will make any information created or exchanged with UT Party pursuant to this Agreement (and not otherwise exempt from disclosure under TPIA) available in a format reasonably requested by UT Party that is accessible by the public.
- **36.6 Termination.** In addition to any other termination rights set forth in this Agreement, and any other rights at law or equity, if UT Party reasonably determines that Preferred Supplier has breached any of the restrictions or obligations set forth in this Section, UT Party may immediately terminate this Agreement without notice or opportunity to cure.

36.7 Duration. The restrictions and obligations under this Section will survive expiration or termination of this Agreement for any reason.

SECTION 37 – Tax Exemption

UT Party may be an agency of the State of Texas or other non-profit entity and may be exempt from certain state taxes under various exemption statutes, including Texas Sales & Use Tax in accordance with Section 151.309, *Tax Code*, and Title 34 *Texas Administrative Code* ("**TAC**") Section 3.322. Notwithstanding its exemption from certain state taxes, UT Party will be responsible for any taxes (except corporate income taxes, franchise taxes, and taxes on Preferred Supplier's personnel, including personal income tax and social security taxes) from which UT Party is not exempt. Preferred Supplier will provide reasonable cooperation and assistance to UT Party in obtaining any tax exemptions to which UT Party is entitled.

SECTION 38 – Undocumented Workers:

The Immigration and Nationality Act (8 United States Code 1324a) ("Immigration Act") makes it unlawful for an employer to hire or continue employment of undocumented workers. The United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement Service has established the Form I-9 Employment Eligibility Verification Form ("I-9 Form") as the document to be used for employment eligibility verification (8 Code of Federal Regulations 274a). Among other things, Preferred Supplier is required to: (1) have all employees complete and sign the I-9 Form certifying that they are eligible for employment; (2) examine verification documents required by the I-9 Form to be presented by the employee and ensure the documents appear to be genuine and related to the individual; (3) record information about the documents on the I-9 Form, and complete the certification portion of the I-9 Form; and (4) retain the I-9 Form as required by law. It is illegal to discriminate against any individual (other than a citizen of another country who is not authorized to work in the United States) in hiring, discharging, or recruiting because of that individual's national origin or citizenship status. If Preferred Supplier employs unauthorized workers during performance of this Agreement in violation of the Immigration Act then, in addition to other remedies or penalties prescribed by law, UT Party may terminate this Agreement in accordance with Section 4.31. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that it is in compliance with and agrees that it will remain in compliance with the provisions of the Immigration Act.

SECTION 39 - Non-Exclusivity; No Required Quantities or Minimum Amounts:

Preferred Supplier understands that this Agreement is non-exclusive and does not obligate UT Party to purchase from Preferred Supplier any or all of its requirements for services that are the same as or similar to the Services provided hereunder. This Agreement does not establish any minimum quantity or minimum dollar amount of goods or services that UT Party must purchase from Preferred Supplier during the term of this Agreement.

SECTION 40 – Access by Individuals with Disabilities:

Preferred Supplier represents and warrants ("EIR Accessibility Warranty") that the electronic and information resources and all associated information, documentation, and support that it provides under this Agreement (collectively, the "EIRs") comply with the applicable requirements set forth in Title 1, Chapter 213, *Texas Administrative Code*, and Title 1, Chapter 206, Rule §206.70, *Texas Administrative Code* (as authorized by Chapter 2054, Subchapter M, *Government Code*). To the extent Preferred Supplier becomes aware that the EIRs, or any portion thereof, do not comply with the EIR Accessibility

Warranty, then Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that it will, at no cost to UT Party, either (1) perform all necessary remediation to make the EIRs satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty or (2) replace the EIRs with new EIRs that satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty. In the event Preferred Supplier fails or is unable to do so, UT Party may terminate this Agreement, and Preferred Supplier will refund to UT Party all amounts UT Party has paid under this Agreement within thirty (30) days after the termination date.

SECTION 41 – Background Checks:

Preferred Supplier will not knowingly assign any individual to provide services on a UT Party's campus if the individual has a history of criminal conduct unacceptable for a university campus or healthcare center, including violent or sexual offenses. If requested by any UT Party to comply with its policy, Preferred Supplier will perform appropriate criminal background checks on each individual who will provide such services on the UT Party's campus.

SECTION 42 – Business Associate Agreements:

Preferred Supplier acknowledges that the Institutional Participants may be subject to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, Public 104-191 ("HIPAA") as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health, Title XII of Division A and Title IV of Division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5) ("HITECH Act"). UT System and the respective Institutional Participants are separate entities for purposes of HIPAA. Therefore, Preferred Supplier will enter into a separate HIPAA Business Associate Agreement ("BAA") with each Institutional Participant, as applicable, prior to accessing any Protected Health Information, as that term is defined by HIPAA, as part of the Services to be provided under this Agreement.

SECTION 43 – Entire Agreement; Modifications:

This Agreement supersedes all prior agreements, written or oral, between Preferred Supplier and UT System and will constitute the entire agreement and understanding between the parties with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement. This Agreement and each of its provisions will be binding upon the parties and may not be waived, modified, amended or altered except by a writing signed by UT System and Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 44 – Captions:

The captions of sections and subsections in this Agreement are for convenience only and will not be considered or referred to in resolving questions of interpretation or construction.

SECTION 45 – Waivers:

No delay or omission in exercising any right accruing upon a default in performance of this Agreement will impair any right or be construed to be a waiver of any right. A waiver of any default under this Agreement will not be construed to be a waiver of any subsequent default under this Agreement.

SECTION 46 – Binding Effect:

This Agreement will be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto and their respective permitted assigns and successors.

SECTION 47 – Limitations of Liability:

Except for UT Party's obligation (if any) to pay Preferred Supplier certain fees and expenses, UT Party will have no liability to Preferred Supplier or to anyone claiming through or under Preferred Supplier by reason of the execution or performance of this Agreement. Notwithstanding any duty or obligation of UT Party to Preferred Supplier or to anyone claiming through or under Preferred Supplier, no present or future affiliated enterprise, subcontractor, agent, officer, director, employee, representative, attorney or regent of UT Party, or anyone claiming under UT Party has or will have any personal liability to Preferred Supplier or to anyone claiming through or under Preferred Supplier by reason of the execution or performance of this Agreement.

SECTION 48 – Relationship of the Parties:

For all purposes of this Agreement and notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, Preferred Supplier is an independent contractor and is not a state employee, partner, joint venturer, or agent of UT Party. Preferred Supplier will not bind nor attempt to bind UT Party to any agreement or contract. As an independent contractor, Preferred Supplier is solely responsible for all taxes, withholdings, and other statutory or contractual obligations of any sort, including workers' compensation insurance.

SECTION 49 – Severability:

In case any provision of this Agreement will, for any reason, be held invalid or unenforceable in any respect, the invalidity or unenforceability will not affect any other provision of this Agreement, and this Agreement will be construed as if the invalid or unenforceable provision had not been included.

SECTION 50 – External Terms:

This Agreement completely supplants, replaces, and overrides all other terms and conditions or agreements, written or oral ("External Terms"), concerning Preferred Supplier's performance under this Agreement. Such External Terms are null and void and will have no effect under this Agreement, regardless of whether UT Party or any of its employees, contractors, or agents consents or agrees to External Terms. External Terms include any shrinkwrap, clickwrap, browsewrap, web-based terms and conditions of use, and any other terms and conditions displayed in any format that UT Party, or its employees, contractors, or agents are required to accept or agree to before or in the course of accessing or using any goods or services provided solely by Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 51 – Conflicts:

In the event of a conflict between the terms and conditions of this Agreement and those of an IPA, the terms of this Agreement will control and govern.

SECTION 52 – Attachments:

The Riders listed below are attached to and fully incorporated into this Agreement as substantive parts of this Agreement:

Rider 100 - Scope of Work

Rider 200 - Pricing Schedule

Rider 300 – Institutional Participation Agreement Form

Rider 400 - Supplier Relationship Management

Rider 500 - HUB Subcontracting Plan

Rider 600 - Affirmative Action Compliance Program

Having agreed to the foregoing terms, and with the intention of being legally bound, the parties have executed this Agreement on the dates shown below.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM	[PREFERRED SUPPLIER]		
Signed: William H. McRaven, Chancellor	Signed:		
Signed: Scott C. Kelley, Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs	Title:		
Date:	Date:		

APPENDIX THREE-300

INSTITUTIONAL PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT

By entering into this Institutional Participation Agreement ("Institutio	nal Participation Agreement"),
the undersigned institution ("Institutional Participant") agrees to the	e terms and conditions set forth in
the Preferred Supplier Agreement between The University of Texas S	System and,
Agreement Number UTSSCA, dated effective	, 20 (the " Preferred
Supplier Agreement" or "PSA"). All of the terms and conditions of t	he PSA are incorporated into this
Institutional Participation Agreement for all purposes. Unless otherwi	se specified in this Institutional
Participation Agreement, all defined terms used in this Institutional Participation	articipation Agreement have the
same meaning as assigned to those terms in the PSA.	

By entering into this Institutional Participation Agreement, Institutional Participant is authorized to take full advantage of all of the benefits and provisions set forth in the PSA including, but not limited to, the benefits listed below, which are specified in detail in the PSA:

Benefits from Preferred Supplier Agreement:

To obtain a broad range of office products and services at discounted prices.

Institutional Participant's Responsibilities

To the extent authorized by applicable law and relevant rules and regulations of UT System and Institutional Participant, Institutional Participant will use commercially reasonable efforts to perform the following responsibilities:

- Identify Preferred Supplier as the "preferred supplier" of certain contract compliance review and accounts payable audit recovery services.
- Organize and share benefits of the PSA at one or more "kick-off" events.
- Facilitate and promote at least one (1) Preferred Supplier products show per year, involving the services available for purchase under the PSA.
- Assist in the organization of technical presentations by Preferred Supplier.
- Permit Preferred Supplier, at its sole cost, to create and distribute sales and technical materials involving products and services available for purchase under the PSA and that may include updates on: pricing, new services information, technical developments, and special promotions. All such communications will be subject to prior approval by Institutional Participant.
- Periodically provide information to Preferred Supplier on current and projected projects by Institutional Participant that might provide opportunities for supply of Preferred Supplier's services under the PSA.
- On an ongoing basis, make Institutional Participant's end-users aware of the business relationship with Preferred Supplier and value-generation opportunities.
- Conduct quarterly business reviews to review reports and commitments.
- Facilitate resolution of customer/supplier conflicts.

Institutional Participant's notice address and contact information is:
The University of Texas at Street Address: Fax: Email: Attention:
Institutional Participant designates the following contacts who will be responsible for facilitating this Institutional Participation Agreement: INSTITUTIONAL PARTICIPANT: Primary Contact:
Name: Title: Telephone: Fax: Email:
INSTITUTIONAL PARTICIPANT: HUB Contact:
Name: Title: Telephone: Fax: Email:
Preferred Supplier designates the following contact who will be responsible for facilitating this Institutional Participation Agreement:
PREFERRED SUPPLIER Primary Contact:
Name: Title: Telephone: Fax: Email:
Insurance Paperwork . The insurance provisions of this Agreement require certain certificates and endorsements to be mailed, faxed, or emailed to Institutional Participant. Contact information for the Institutional Participant's representative authorized to receive such certificates and endorsements is as follows:
Name: Title:

Address:									
Fax:			-						
Email:			-						
Institutional Participant	agrees to	the term	s of this	Institutio	onal Pa	articipa	ation A	greemen	ıt:
The University of Texas _									
Ву:									
Printed Name and Title:						_			
Signature:									
Street:									
City:									
Date:									

Upon activation of this Institutional Participation Agreement, Institutional Participant's Primary Contact will receive notification of activation via email. Please return signed completed form to the UT System Supply Chain Alliance Strategic Services Group at utsscainfo@mdanderson.org.

APPENDIX THREE - 400

SUPPLIER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT

1.0 Supplier Relationship Management ("SRM") Program Requirements

Quarterly Business Reviews ("QBRs") of Preferred Supplier's performance under this Agreement will be conducted by the UT System Contract Administrator on behalf of UT System beginning six (6) months after the Effective Date of this Agreement and then every three (3) months thereafter. Institutional Participants may elect to establish a local level SRM program by separate agreement with Preferred Supplier.

2.0 Quarterly Business Reviews

- 2.1 QBRs will consist of two major components:
 - (a) Key Performance Indicators: Preferred Supplier's performance will be determined as measured against the Service Level for each Performance Measure set forth in **Table 1** below.
 - (b) Business Relationship Indicators: The Business Relationship Indicators (defined and set forth in **Table 2** below) are designed to confirm that the objectives and goals of the relationship between Preferred Supplier and the Alliance remain aligned and moving in a mutually beneficial direction.

Table 1: Key Performance Indicators

Performance Measure	Service Level	Variance from Service Level	Maximum Score	Definition and Measurement
Adherence to Schedule	90%	>1%- <u><</u> 5%	25	Preferred Supplier will meet the milestones and deliverables
		>5%- <u><</u> 10%	15	established by Preferred Supplier
			>10%- <u><1</u> 5%	10
		>15%	0	deliverables met aggregated across all Institutional Participants, compared to the total number of milestones and deliverables not met in terms of business days.

Invoice	98%	<u><</u> 1%	20	Proportion of invoices reflecting
Accuracy		>1%- <u><</u> 5%	5	accurate rates, as confirmed by Alliance audit
		>5%	0	
Administrative Fees & Incentive	Not more than 5	Y	20	Paid accurately and on time within contract schedules
Payments	days late	N	0	
Customer	90% of	<u><</u> 3%	35	Preferred Supplier will attain
Satisfaction	metric	>3%- <u><</u> 8%	25	customer satisfaction score of 90% or greater. Survey content and
		>8%- <u><</u> 15%	15	distribution to be agreed with Preferred Supplier to ensure
		>15%	0	appropriate measures recorded.

Table 2: Business Relationship Indicators

Performance Measure	Goal	Definition
Campus Outreach	Number and type of communications and events as defined in the Strategic Action Plan	Implement targeted communications and educational programs for end-users and purchasing personnel at each Institutional Participant to: a) foster cooperation and collaboration, b) increase understanding of the value of this Agreement, and c) create greater awareness of savings and savings opportunities.
Savings	TBD	Total savings actually achieved to savings target
Process Changes, Improvements and Innovation	TBD	Report on any operating changes, improvements and impact to Institutional Participants

2.2 Business Relationship Indicators

Within sixty (60) days after the Effective Date of this Agreement, Preferred Supplier will submit for approval to the UT System Contractor Administrator a written Strategic Action Plan to achieve goals 1 through 6 in **Table 2**, above. At a minimum the Strategic Action Plan will define

the specific strategies, tasks, responsibilities, reports, and timelines to be executed to achieve each goal.

2.3 QBR Meeting Reports and Metrics

Preferred Supplier will prepare and deliver to the UT System Contract Administrator for review at each QBR a report of the Key Performance Indicators and Business Relationship Indicators. The Key Performance Indicator report will be provided both in the aggregate, reflecting the total score for all Institutional Participants, and also will be reported separately for each Institutional Participant.

An advance copy of the Key Performance Indicator Report will be sent to the UT System Contract Administrator no less than ten (10) days prior to the scheduled QBR meeting date.

All report requirements may be modified by Institutional Participants within Preferred Supplier's reasonable capabilities to meet local requirements and service levels. Metrics may be revised upon mutual agreement between Preferred Supplier and the UT System Contract Administrator or the applicable Institutional Participant.

3.0 Preferred Supplier Evaluation and Rating

No less than once each quarter the UT System Contract Administrator will prepare and present to Preferred Supplier a scorecard of Preferred Supplier's performance based on their measured results under each of the KPIs for the preceding quarter. The scorecard will be presented and reviewed by Preferred Supplier and the UT System Contract Administrator during each QBR.

After the initial Agreement implementation period of six (6) months starting on the Effective Date, Preferred Supplier must obtain a minimum composite score of 65 from UT System for each quarter during the remaining term of this Agreement.

4.0 Corrective Action Plan

The UT System Contract Administrator will notify Preferred Supplier during a QBR if Preferred Supplier receives a composite score of less than 65 during the previous quarter or a score of Zero (0) for any KPI.

Within fifteen (15) calendar days after receipt of such notice, Preferred Supplier will provide the UT System Contract Administrator with a written corrective action plan ("CAP") acceptable to the UT System Contract Administrator to address such unacceptable scores. At a minimum, the CAP will address Preferred Supplier's performance issues resulting in unacceptable score(s) and contain a root cause analysis of the problems causing such performance issue, proposed solutions to those problems, proposed process modifications to prevent recurrence of such problems, a time frame for Preferred Supplier's implementation of the proposed solutions and process modifications, and the person(s) who will be responsible for Preferred Supplier's implementation of the CAP. The CAP will be presented to the UT System Contract Administrator for concurrence prior to implementation. Concurrence with the CAP by the UT System Contract Administrator will not be unreasonably withheld or delayed. Concurrence with the CAP will not constitute a waiver by UT System of any rights regarding remedies.

5.0 Liquidated Damages

If Preferred Supplier's implementation of the CAP does not result in a minimum composite score of 65 or greater with implementation activities (or a score 50 or greater without implementation activities), or if two (2) or more KPIs remain with a score of zero (0), measured over a period of 90 days following the implementation of the CAP, Preferred Supplier will pay UT System \$10,000 per quarter until such time as the composite score is 65 or greater and no more than one KPI has a score of Zero ("0"). This \$10,000 charge will not be construed as a penalty but as liquidated damages designed to cover the additional administrative and management cost incurred by UT System and its institutions to monitor the Preferred Supplier's performance during the period of correction.

6.0 Corrective Action and Remedies

If Preferred Supplier's implementation of the CAP does not result in a minimum composite score of 65 or greater or if two (2) or more KPI's remain with a score of Zero ("0") during each subsequent calendar quarter, UT System may, at its sole discretion:

- Permit Preferred Supplier to resubmit a further Corrective Action Plan, or
- Exercise other remedies available under this Agreement or applicable law.

APPENDIX FOUR

ACCESS BY INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

Accessibility Warranty") that the electronic and information resources and all associated information, documentation, and support that it provides under this Agreement (collectively, the "EIRs") comply with the applicable requirements set forth in Title 1, Chapter 213, Texas Administrative Code, and Title 1, Chapter 206, Rule §206.70, Texas Administrative Code (as authorized by Chapter 2054, Subchapter M, Government Code). To the extent Preferred Supplier becomes aware that the EIRs, or any portion thereof, do not comply with the EIR Accessibility Warranty, then Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that it will, at no cost to UT Party, either (1) perform all necessary remediation to make the EIRs satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty or (2) replace the EIRs with new EIRs that satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty. In the event Preferred Supplier fails or is unable to do so, UT Party may terminate this Agreement, and Preferred Supplier will refund to UT Party all amounts UT Party has paid under this Agreement within thirty (30) days after the termination date.

APPENDIX FIVE

ELECTRONIC AND INFORMATION RESOURCES ENVIRONMENT SPECIFICATIONS

The specifications, representations, warranties and agreements set forth in Proposer's responses to this **APPENDIX FIVE** will be incorporated into the Agreement.

Basic Specifications

- If the EIR will be hosted by Institutional Participant, please describe the overall environment requirements for the EIR (size the requirements to support the number of concurrent users, the number of licenses and the input/output generated by the application as requested in the application requirements).
 - A. Hardware: If Proposer will provide hardware, does the hardware have multiple hard drives utilizing a redundant RAID configuration for fault tolerance? Are redundant servers included as well?
 - B. Operating System and Version:
 - C. Web Server: Is a web server required? If so, what web application is required (Apache or IIS)? What version? Are add-ins required?
 - D. Application Server:
 - E. Database:
 - F. Other Requirements: Are any other hardware or software components required?
 - G. Assumptions: List any assumptions made as part of the identification of these environment requirements.
 - H. Storage: What are the space/storage requirements of this implementation?
 - I. Users: What is the maximum number of users this configuration will support?
 - J. Clustering: How does the EIR handle clustering over multiple servers?
 - K. Virtual Server Environment: Can the EIR be run in a virtual server environment?
- If the EIR will be hosted by Proposer, describe in detail what the hosted solution includes, and address, specifically, the following issues:
 - A. Describe the audit standards of the physical security of the facility; and
 - B. Indicate whether Proposer is willing to allow an audit by Institutional Participant or its representative.
- If the user and administrative interfaces for the EIR are web-based, do the interfaces support Firefox on Mac as well as Windows and Safari on the Macintosh?
- If the EIR requires special client software, what are the environment requirements for that client software?

Manpower Requirements: Who will operate and maintain the EIR? Will additional Institutional Participant full time employees (FTEs) be required? Will special training on the EIR be required by Proposer's technical staff? What is the estimated cost of required training.

Upgrades and Patches: Describe Proposer's strategy regarding EIR upgrades and patches for both the server and, if applicable, the client software. Included Proposer's typical release schedule, recommended processes, estimated outage and plans for next version/major upgrade.

Security

- 1. Has the EIR been tested for application security vulnerabilities? For example, has the EIR been evaluated against the Open Web Application Security Project ("OWASP") Top 10 list that includes flaws like cross site scripting and SQL injection? If so, please provide the scan results and specify the tool used. Institutional Participant will not take final delivery of the EIR if Institutional Participant determines there are serious vulnerabilities within the EIR.
- 2. Which party, Proposer or Institutional Participant, will be responsible for maintaining critical EIR application security updates?
- 3. If the EIR is hosted, indicate whether Proposer's will permit Institutional Participant to conduct a penetration test on Institutional Participant's instance of the EIR.
- 4. If confidential data, including HIPAA or FERPA data, is stored in the EIR, will the data be encrypted at rest and in transmittal?

Integration

- 1. Is the EIR authentication Security Assertion Markup Language ("SAML") compliant? Has Proposer ever implemented the EIR with Shibboleth authentication? If not, does the EIR integrate with Active Directory? Does the EIR support TLS connections to this directory service?
- 2. Does the EIR rely on Active Directory for group management and authorization or does the EIR maintain a local authorization/group database?
- 3. What logging capabilities does the EIR have? If this is a hosted EIR solution, will Institutional Participant have access to implement logging with Institutional Participant's standard logging and monitoring tools, RSA's Envision?
- 4. Does the EIR have an application programming interface ("API") that enables us to incorporate it with other applications run by the Institutional Participant? If so, is the API .Net based? Web Services-based? Other?
- Will Institutional Participant have access to the EIR source code? If so, will the EIR license permit Institutional Participant to make modifications to the source code? Will Institutional Participant's modifications be protected in future upgrades?

Will Proposer place the EIR source code in escrow with an escrow agent so that if Proposer is no longer in business or Proposer has discontinued support, the EIR source code will be available to Institutional Participant.

Accessibility Information

Proposer must provide the following, as required by Title 1, Rule §213.38(b) of the *Texas Administrative Code*:

- 5. Accessibility information for the electronic and information resources ("EIR")¹ products or services proposed by Proposer, where applicable, through one of the following methods:
 - (A) the URL to completed Voluntary Product Accessibility Templates ("VPATs")² or equivalent reporting templates;
 - (B) an accessible electronic document that addresses the same accessibility criteria in substantially the same format as VPATs or equivalent reporting templates; or
 - (C) the URL to a web page which explains how to request completed VPATs, or equivalent reporting templates, for any product under contract; and
- Credible evidence of Proposer's capability or ability to produce accessible EIR products and services. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to, Proposer's internal accessibility policy documents, contractual warranties for accessibility, accessibility testing documents, and examples of prior work results.

Voluntary Product Accessibility Templates are defined in Title 1, Rule §213.1 (19) of the *Texas Administrative Code* (link). For further information, see this link to a VPAT document provided by the Information Technology Industry

(<u>link</u>). Fo

¹ Electronic and information resources are defined in Section 2054.451, *Texas Government Code* (<u>link</u>) and Title 1, Rule §213.1 (6) of the *Texas Administrative Code* (link).

APPENDIX SIX

SECURITY CHARACTERISTICS AND FUNCTIONALITY OF CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION RESOURCES

The specifications, representations, warranties and agreements set forth in Proposer's responses to this **APPENDIX SIX** will be incorporated into the Agreement.

"Information Resources" means any and all computer printouts, online display devices, mass storage media, and all computer-related activities involving any device capable of receiving email, browsing Web sites, or otherwise capable of receiving, storing, managing, or transmitting Data including, but not limited to, mainframes, servers, Network Infrastructure, personal computers, notebook computers, hand-held computers, personal digital assistant (PDA), pagers, distributed processing systems, network attached and computer controlled medical and laboratory equipment (i.e. embedded technology), telecommunication resources, network environments, telephones, fax machines, printers and service bureaus. Additionally, it is the procedures, equipment, facilities, software, and Data that are designed, built, operated, and maintained to create, collect, record, process, store, retrieve, display, and transmit information.

"Institutional Participant Records" means records or record systems that Proposer (1) creates, (2) receives from or on behalf of Institutional Participant, or (3) has access, and which may contain confidential information (including credit card information, social security numbers, and private health information ("PHI") subject to Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA") of 1996 (Public Law 104-191), or education records subject to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA").

General Protection of Institutional Participant Records

- 1. Describe the security features incorporated into Information Resources to be provided or used by Proposer pursuant to this RFP.
- 2. List all products, including imbedded products that are a part of Information Resources and the corresponding owner of each product.
- 3. Describe any assumptions made by Proposer in its proposal regarding information security outside those already listed in the proposal.

Complete the following additional questions if the Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

- 4. Describe the monitoring procedures and tools used for monitoring the integrity and availability of all products interacting with Information Resources, including procedures and tools used to, detect security incidents and to ensure timely remediation.
- 5. Describe the physical access controls used to limit access to Proposer's data center and network components.

- 6. What procedures and best practices does Proposer follow to harden all systems that would interact with Information Resources, including any systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records, or from which Institutional Participant Records may be accessed?
- 7. What technical security measures does the Proposer take to detect and prevent unintentional, accidental and intentional corruption or loss of Institutional Participant Records?
- 8. Will the Proposer agree to a vulnerability scan by Institutional Participant of the web portal application that would interact with Information Resources, including any systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records, or from which Institutional Participant Records may be accessed? If Proposer objects, explain basis for the objection to a vulnerability scan.
- 9. Describe processes Proposer will use to provide Institutional Participant assurance that the web portal and all systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records can provide adequate security of Institutional Participant Records.
- 10. Does Proposer have a data backup and recovery plan supported by policies and procedures, in place for Information Resources? If yes, briefly describe the plan, including scope and frequency of backups, and how often the plan is updated. If no, describe what alternative methodology Proposer uses to ensure the restoration and availability of Institutional Participant Records.
- 11. Does Proposer encrypt backups of Institutional Participant Records? If yes, describe the methods used by Proposer to encrypt backup data. If no, what alternative safeguards does Proposer use to protect backups against unauthorized access?
- 12. Describe the security features incorporated into Information Resources to safeguard Institutional Participant Records containing confidential information.

Complete the following additional question if Information Resources will create, receive, or access Institutional Participant Records containing PHI subject to HIPAA:

13. Does Proposer monitor the safeguards required by the HIPAA Security Rule (45 C.F.R. § 164 subpts. A, E (2002)) and Proposer's own information security practices, to ensure continued compliance? If yes, provide a copy of or link to the Proposer's HIPAA Privacy & Security policies and describe the Proposer's monitoring activities and the frequency of those activities with regard to PHI.

Access Control

- 1. How will users gain access (i.e., log in) to Information Resources?
- 2. Do Information Resources provide the capability to use local credentials (i.e., federated authentication) for user authentication and login? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that capability.
- 3. Do Information Resources allow for multiple security levels of access based on affiliation (e.g., staff, faculty, and student) and roles (e.g., system administrators, analysts, and information consumers), and organizational unit (e.g., college, school, or department? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide for multiple security levels of access.

- 4. Do Information Resources provide the capability to limit user activity based on user affiliation, role, and/or organizational unit (i.e., who can create records, delete records, create and save reports, run reports only, etc.)? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that capability. If no, describe what alternative functionality is provided to ensure that users have need-to-know based access to Information Resources.
- 5. Do Information Resources manage administrator access permissions at the virtual system level? If yes, describe how this is done.
- 6. Describe Proposer's password policy including password strength, password generation procedures, password storage specifications, and frequency of password changes. If passwords are not used for authentication or if multi-factor authentication is used to Information Resources, describe what alternative or additional controls are used to manage user access.

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

- 7. What administrative safeguards and best practices does Proposer have in place to vet Proposer's and third-parties' staff members that would have access to the environment hosting Institutional Participant Records to ensure need-to-know-based access?
- 8. What procedures and best practices does Proposer have in place to ensure that user credentials are updated and terminated as required by changes in role and employment status?
- 9. Describe Proposer's password policy including password strength, password generation procedures, and frequency of password changes. If passwords are not used for authentication or if multi-factor authentication is used to Information Resources, describe what alternative or additional controls are used to manage user access.

Use of Data

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

- 1. What administrative safeguards and best practices does Proposer have in place to vet Proposer's and third-parties' staff members that have access to the environment hosting all systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records, or from which Institutional Participant Records may be accessed, to ensure that Institutional Participant Records will not be accessed or used in an unauthorized manner?
- 2. What safeguards does Proposer have in place to segregate Institutional Participant Records from system data and other customer data and/or as applicable, to separate specific Institutional Participant data, such as HIPAA and FERPA protected data, from Institutional Participant Records that are not subject to such protection, to prevent accidental and unauthorized access to Institutional Participant Records?
- 3. What safeguards does Proposer have in place to prevent the unauthorized use, reuse, distribution, transmission, manipulation, copying, modification, access, or disclosure of Institutional Participant Records?
- 4. What procedures and safeguards does Proposer have in place for sanitizing and disposing of Institutional Participant Records according to prescribed retention schedules or following the conclusion

of a project or termination of a contract to render Institutional Participant Records unrecoverable and prevent accidental and unauthorized access to Institutional Participant Records? Describe the degree to which sanitizing and disposal processes addresses Institutional Participant data that may be contained within backup systems. If Institutional Participant data contained in backup systems is not fully sanitized, describe processes in place that would prevent subsequent restoration of backed-up Institutional Participant data.

Data Transmission

1. Do Information Resources encrypt all Institutional Participant Records in transit and at rest? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that security. If no, what alternative methods are used to safeguard Institutional Participant Records in transit and at rest?

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

- 2. How does data flow between Institutional Participant and Information Resources? If connecting via a private circuit, describe what security features are incorporated into the private circuit. If connecting via a public network (e.g., the Internet), describe the way Proposer will safeguard Institutional Participant Records.
- 3. Do Information Resources secure data transmission between Institutional Participant and Proposer? If yes, describe how Proposer provides that security. If no, what alternative safeguards are used to protect Institutional Participant Records in transit?

Notification of Security Incidents

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

- 1. Describe Proposer's procedures to isolate or disable all systems that interact with Information Resources in the event a security breach is identified, including any systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records, or from which Institutional Participant Records may be accessed.
- 2. What procedures, methodology, and timetables does Proposer have in place to detect information security breaches and notify Institutional Participant and other customers? Include Proposer's definition of security breach.
- 3. Describe the procedures and methodology Proposer has in place to detect information security breaches, including unauthorized access by Proposer's and subcontractor's own employees and agents and provide required notifications in a manner that meets the requirements of the state breach notification law.

Compliance with Applicable Legal & Regulatory Requirements

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

- 1. Describe the procedures and methodology Proposer has in place to retain, preserve, backup, delete, and search data in a manner that meets the requirements of state and federal electronic discovery rules, including how and in what format Institutional Participant Records are kept and what tools are available to Institutional Participant to access Institutional Participant Records.
- 2. Describe the safeguards Proposer has in place to ensure that systems (including any systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records, or from which Institutional Participant Records may be accessed) that interact with Information Resources reside within the United States of America. If no such controls, describe Proposer's processes for ensuring that data is protected in compliance with all applicable US federal and state requirements, including export control.
- 3. List and describe any regulatory or legal actions taken against Proposer for security or privacy violations or security breaches or incidents, including the final outcome.

PROPOSER'S SURVEY

1.0 Company Profile

- 1.1 Please provide your company's main address, phone and fax number.
- 1.2 Please provide your company's FEIN.
- 1.3 Please provide your company's DUNS number.
- 1.4 Please provide your company's main contact person for the RFP.
- 1.5 What is your company's legal structure?
- 1.6 List all individuals, groups, corporations, etc. that hold 25% or greater equity in the company. Please list their respective percentage of ownership.
- 1.7 Provide any details of all past or pending litigation arising from your company furnishing an item or service similar to that described in this RFP.
- Has your company, or any of its parents or subsidiaries, ever had a Bankruptcy Petition filed in its name, voluntarily or involuntarily? If yes, specify date, circumstances, and resolution.
- 1.9 Is your Company currently in default on any loan agreement or financing agreement with any bank, financial institution, or other entity? If yes, specify details, circumstances, and prospects for resolution.
- 1.10 If requested, please indicate your company's agreement to provide the company's audited financial statement for the last two (2) years.
- 1.11 Is your company a State of Texas HUB firm and/or a Small Business (as defined by the US Small Business Administration)? If so, please list all HUB/Small Business categories your company is qualified under.
- 1.12 Please provide the number of current total customers utilizing your services.
- 1.13 Please provide the number of current healthcare and higher education customers.

2.0 General Requirements

- 2.1 Identify the partners, managers, field supervisors and other staff who will be assigned to contract, including outside consultants and other subject matter experts under contract with the your company. Submit resumes of each of these persons and include relevant experience. Also provide information about continuing education for the staff and management that will be assigned to the work identified in this RFP.
- 2.2 Please provide the fiduciary and other professional standards that will be maintained and upheld while performing contract compliance review and accounts payable audit recovery services on behalf of UT System and/or Institutional Participants.
- 2.3 Describe your data review and technology capabilities:
 - a. What technology is brought on site for each review/audit?
 - b. Do you provide data archiving, claims imaging, and web-based browser access for your clients?
 - c. Can you accept data from its original format without requiring special programming?
 - d. Provide a list of client software you have worked with in the past.

- e. Do you have a department dedicated to data acquisition? If so, please describe.
- f. Describe your company's capability of analyzing computerized systems.
- 2.4 Please detail your company's problem resolution process for customer complaints and concerns. Please include problem escalation process.

3.0 Accounts Payable Audit Recovery Services

- 3.1 Describe your company's experience in conducting accounts payable audit recovery services similar to the requirements identified in RFP during the past five years. Provide sample reports and recovery amounts/percentages of similar government or private sector accounts payable audit work performed in the past five years.
- 3.2 Accounts payable audit recovery services shall include, but not be limited to, a detailed assessment that would take into account prevention methods or tools to minimize payment errors from the lack of pricing compliance, overpayments, duplicate payments, fraud, and escheatment. Please provide a work plan detailing your company's approach to conducting accounts payable audit recovery services that includes resources required (data & staff-time) from Institutional Participants, analyzing data, identifying findings, and understanding of the requirements outlined in this RFP with milestones and deliverables.
- 3.3 What is the average time frame to successfully conduct an accounts payable audit recovery for three fiscal years of data and recover all funds owed?
- 3.4 How do you address Procurement-Card (p-card) transaction purchases in conducting accounts payable audit recovery services?
- 3.5 Based on the audit finding will your company provide training to UT System and/or Institutional Participants to mitigate the errors, discrepancies, and process inefficiencies found? If so, is there a cost associated with this training?
- 3.6 Provide a customer reference list of no less than three (3) organizations with which Proposer currently has contracts with and/or has previously provided accounts payable audit recovery services of equal type and scope within the past five (5) years. Reference list to include, company name, contact person, and telephone number, description of products and services provided, and length of business relationship. Reference sites should be comparable to the Services required by Alliance and should be contacted by the Proposer in advance of the Proposal response to ensure that they are available to provide a reference.

4.0 <u>Contract Compliance Review Services</u>

- 4.1 Describe your company's experience in conducting contract compliance reviews similar to the requirements identified in RFP during the past five years. Provide sample reports and recovery amounts/percentages of similar government or private sector contract compliance review work performed in the past five years.
- 4.2 Contract compliance review services shall include, but not be limited to, contract analysis, contract performance, financial reviews, cost analysis, cost recovery, cost review and contract usage and fee remittance analysis. Please provide a work plan detailing your company's approach to conducting contract compliance reviews that include resources required (data & staff-time) from UT System and/or Institutional Participants, analyzing data, identifying findings, and understanding of the requirements outlined in this RFP with milestones and deliverables.
- 4.3 What is the average time frame to successfully conduct a contract compliance review for two fiscal years of data and recover all funds owed?

- 4.4 How do you address Procurement-Card (p-card) transaction purchases in conducting contract compliance review services?
- 4.5 Based on the audit finding will your company provide training to UT System and/or Institutional Participants mitigate the errors, discrepancies, process inefficiencies found? If so, is there a cost associated with this training?
- 4.6 Provide a customer reference list of no less than three (3) organizations with which Proposer currently has contracts with and/or has previously provided contract compliance review services of equal type and scope within the past five (5) years. Reference list to include, company name, contact person, and telephone number, description of products and services provided, and length of business relationship. Reference sites should be comparable to the Services required by Alliance and should be contacted by the Proposer in advance of the Proposal response to ensure that they are available to provide a reference.

5.0 Reporting & Benchmarking

- 5.1 Please indicate the standard reports available and recommended for contract compliance review and accounts payable audit recovery services along with the frequency the reports can be provided.
- 5.2 Are the reports available real-time via an Internet website or portal?
- 5.3 Can the reports be downloaded into a usable format, i.e. Excel?
- 5.4 Does your company currently provide custom reporting capabilities?
- 5.5 Please provide a list of tools, applications, processes and software that may be used by UT System and/or Institutional Participants to monitor, compare and manage Engagements. These may be web-based or server based but should be capable of working in an open-systems environment.
- 5.6 Does your company provide supplier and industry benchmarks? Please provide examples and identify the baseline of your benchmarks.
- 5.7 Please list any additional fees or costs associated with your company's reports or benchmarking?

6.0 Recovery and Invoicing

- 6.1 Please explain your recovery and invoicing process.
- 6.2 Can your company provide a paper invoice if required?
- 6.3 Can invoices be sent in XML format via internet or via EDI?

7.0 Pricing and Rebates

- 7.1 Rate structure
 - Describe your rate structure for both contract compliance review and accounts payable audit recovery services.
 - b. Would you propose both claim based pricing and cumulative pricing?
 - c. Describe any out-of-pocket expenses that UT System and/or Institutional Participant would be responsible for during an Engagement.
 - d. When would payment to your company be considered earned?

- e. If, after the Engagement is concluded, a claim paid by a supplier was determined to have been reimbursed in error, does your company return its compensation for that claim to UT System and/or Institutional Participant?
- 7.2 For what period of time is your company willing to offer fixed pricing?
- 7.3 How does your company determine and manage price increases?
- 7.4 Will your company provide a not-to-exceed percentage increase guarantee?
- 7.5 Will your company offer incremental volume growth rebate, discount or incentive? If so, please describe your company's proposed volume growth rebate, discount or incentive program.
- 7.6 Will your company offer an early start-up or transition incentive if awarded a contract? If so, please describe your company's proposed early start-up or transition incentive program.

8.0 Value Add

- 8.1 Please indicate any additional "value added" services or programs not otherwise asked or disclosed herein that should be considered during the evaluation process.
- 8.2 Briefly describe your company's advantage in the marketplace. Please provide only information not previously asked or disclosed herein.
- 8.3 Describe how your company would proactively approach generating additional cost savings for UT System and Institutional Participants going forward. Please provide only information not previously asked or disclosed herein.
- 8.4 Please state how your company will maintain its competitive "best value" price and non-price offering long-term.

9.0 Green Purchasing and Sustainability

- 9.1 Green Initiative Provide a brief description of your company's environmental initiatives 1
- 9.2 Please list and describe the types of sustainability reports your company could provide.
- 9.3 Environmental Sustainability Please complete the following

Α.		Policies are in place to monitor and manage your supply chain regarding environmental Please check the items that apply.
		We apply environmental criteria when making purchasing decisions. We specify sustainable products and or locally manufactured products We specify products using Electronic Products Environmental Assessment Tool (EPEAT) standards
		We partner with sustainable suppliers or utilize suppliers who share in the sustainability commitment
В.	Does y	Our Director of Sustainability is researching industry best procurement practices your company have a Green Transportation Plan for your operation? Please check the

Does your company have a Green Transportation Plan for your operation? Please check the items that apply.	
 We encourage carpooling, public transportation, and using other alternative modes of transportation for employees We subsidize public transportation for employees We are developing a Green Transportation Plan 	sportation


	 We have an established Green Transportation Plan (Describe below) We offer flexible hours, telecommuting or a compressed work week We utilize teleconference, video conference, WebEx or GoTo Meetings We purchase carbon offsets We own electric, hybrid, or E-85 fueled vehicles We rent hybrid vehicles
C.	Does your company have an environmental policy statement? Please check the items that apply.
	 We are developing an environmental policy statement Our environmental policy statement consists of a commitment to promote environmental stewardship Our environmental policy statement describes our company's Sustainability Initiative We have formed an oversight committee to ensure the success of our environmental policy Our environmental policy statement describes how our company explores opportunities to work with communities, governments and non-governmental and professional organizations to help articulate, teach and advance the principles of sustainability
D.	Has your company ever been cited for non-compliance of an environmental or safety issue? Please check the item that applies.
	No, my company HAS NOT been cited for non-compliance of an environmental or safety issue Yes, my company HAS been cited for non-compliance of an environmental or safety issue. If yes, specify the date, circumstances, and resolution.
E.	What programs do you have in place, or planned for promoting resource efficiency? (i.e., an environmental or waste audit)? Please check the items that apply.
	 We recycle consumables, reduce waste and practice energy reduction when possible We are developing a recycling program We utilize a formal energy management system We are a member of various environmental organizations We have formed a Sustainability Committee to identify sustainable solutions for our company We have a company-wide Recycling Program Our Director of Sustainability initiates and supports sustainability efforts We have performed an environmental or waste audit We are recognized by peers and environmental organizations for providing leadership in sustainability We are a carbon-neutral company
F.	Does your company have web-based materials available documenting your "Green" initiatives? Please check the items that apply.
	 We are developing web-based documentation of "Green" initiatives Our website includes "Green" reference information Our website contains an environmental policy statement Our website includes materials that document company's "Green" initiatives Our website contains our company's Sustainability Report

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION September 2012

APPENDIX THREE

POLICY ON UTILIZATION HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSES

Vendor/Commodities



The University of Texas System Office of HUB Development Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs)

CONTENTS

•	Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs)	Page 3
•	Summary of Requirements / Historically Underutilized Business (HUBs) Subcontracting Plan	Page 4-5
•	Summary of Attachments Required from Respondents	Page 6
•	Letter of Transmittal for Vendor Services	Page 7
•	Letter of HUB Commitment (indefinite duration/indefinite quantity contracts)	Page 8
•	HSP Quick Checklist for Other Services, Special Trades & Commodities	Page 9
•	HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP)	Page 10- 17
•	Section 2 (Good Faith Effort – Subcontractor Selection)	Page 11
•	Self-Performance Justification	Page 13
•	HSP Good Faith Effort – Method A (Attachment A)	Page 14
•	HSP Good Faith Effort – Method B (Attachment B)	Page 15- 16
•	HUB Subcontracting Opportunity Notification Form	Page 17
•	HUB Subcontracting Plan Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report (Required of successful respondent for payment requests only)	Page 18
•	Minority and Trade Organizations contact information: http://www.window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/mwb-links-1/	

The University of Texas System Office of HUB Development

POLICY ON UTILIZATION OF HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSES (HUBs)

Introduction

In accordance with the Texas Government Code, Sections 2161.181-182 and Title 34, Section 20.13 of the Texas Administrative Code (TAC), The Board of Regents of the University of Texas System, acting through the Office of HUB Development shall make a good faith effort to utilize Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs) in contracts for construction services, including professional and consulting services; and commodities contracts. The HUB Rules promulgated by the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (the "Texas Comptroller"), set forth in 34 TAC Sections 20.10-20.28, encourage the use of HUBs by implementing these policies through race-, ethnic- and gender-neutral means.

The purpose of the HUB Program is to promote full and equal business opportunities for all businesses in State contracting in accordance with the following goals as specified in the State of Texas Disparity Study:

- 11.2% for heavy construction other than building contracts;
- 21.1% for all building construction, including general contractors and operative builders contracts:
- 32.7% for all special trade construction contracts;
- 23.6% for professional services contracts
- 24.6% for all other services contracts, and
- 21% for commodities contracts.

The University of Texas System shall make a good faith effort to meet or exceed these goals to assist HUBs in receiving a portion of the total contract value of all contracts that U. T. System expects to award in a fiscal year. The University of Texas System may achieve the annual program goals by contracting directly with HUBs or indirectly through subcontracting opportunities in accordance with the Texas Government Code, Chapter 2161, Subchapter F.

NOTE:

In accordance with 34 TAC §20.13 (d) (1)(D)(iii), the goals above are the State of Texas HUB goals. For purposes of this procurement, the University of Texas System goals listed in the Special Instructions on page 10 will apply.

SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS Historically Underutilized Business (HUBs) Subcontracting Plan

It is the policy of The University of Texas System and each of its component institutions, to promote and encourage contracting and subcontracting opportunities for Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs) in all contracts. Accordingly, The University of Texas System has adopted "EXHIBIT H, Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses". The Policy applies to all contracts with an expected value of \$100,000 or more. The Board of Regents of The University of Texas System is the contracting authority.

- 1. In all contracts for professional services, contracting services, and/or commodities with an expected value of \$100,000 or more, The University of Texas System ("U. T. System" or the "University") will indicate in the purchase solicitation (e.g. RFQ, RFP, or CSP) whether or not U. T. System has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable in connection with the contract. A HUB Subcontracting Plan is a required element of the architect, contractor or vendor Response to the purchase solicitation. The HUB Subcontracting Plan shall be developed and administered in accordance with the Policy. Failure to submit a required HUB Subcontracting Plan will result in rejection of the Response.
- 2. If <u>subcontracting opportunities are probable</u>, U. T. System will declare such probability in its invitations for bids, requests for proposals, or other purchase solicitation documents, and shall require submission of the appropriate HUB Subcontracting Plan with the Response.
 - a. When <u>subcontracting opportunities are probable</u>, and the Respondent proposes to subcontract any part of the work, the Respondent shall submit a **HUB Subcontracting Plan as prescribed by the Texas Comptroller** identifying subcontractors [[34 TAC §20.14 (d) (1)(A)(B)(C)(D) (i)(ii)(iii)(2)(3)(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)(4)(A)(B)].
 - b. When <u>subcontracting opportunities are probable</u>, but the Respondent can perform such opportunities with its employees and resources, the Respondent's HUB Subcontracting Plan shall include the Self Performance HUB Subcontracting Plan, Section 3 Self Performance Justification as the HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP). [34 TAC §20.14 (d)(5)(A)(B)(C)(D)].
- 3. If <u>subcontracting opportunities are not probable</u>, U. T. System will declare such probability in its invitations for bids, requests for proposals, or other purchase solicitation documents and shall require submission of the appropriate HUB Subcontracting Plan with the Response.
 - a. When <u>subcontracting opportunities are not probable</u>, and the Respondent proposes to perform all of the work with its employees and resources, the Respondent shall submit a HUB Subcontracting Plan that includes the Self Performance HUB Subcontracting Plan, Section 3 Self Performance Justification as the HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP).
 - b. When <u>subcontracting opportunities are not probable</u>, but the Respondent proposes to subcontract any part of the work, the Respondent shall submit a **HUB Subcontracting Plan as prescribed by the Texas Comptroller** identifying subcontractors.
- 4. Respondents shall follow, but are not limited to, procedures listed in the Policy when developing a HUB Subcontracting Plan.
- 5. In making a determination whether a good faith effort has been made in the development of the required HUB Subcontracting Plan, U. T. System shall follow the procedures listed in the Policy. If accepted by the University, the HUB Subcontracting Plan shall become a provision of the Respondent's contract with the University of Texas System. Revisions necessary to clarify and enhance information submitted in the original HUB subcontracting plan may be made in an effort to determine good faith effort. Any revisions after the submission of the HUB Subcontracting Plan shall be approved by the HUB Coordinator.
- 6. The University of Texas System shall reject any Response that does not include a fully completed HUB Subcontracting Plan, as required. An incomplete HUB Subcontracting Plan is considered a material failure to comply with the solicitation for proposals.

- 7. Changes to the HUB Subcontracting Plan. Once a Respondent's HUB Subcontracting Plan is accepted by U. T. System and becomes a provision of the contract between Respondent and U. T. System, the Respondent can only change that HUB Subcontracting Plan if (a) the Respondent complies with 34 TAC Section 20.14; (b) the Respondent provides its proposed changes to U. T. System for review; (c) U. T. System (including U. T. System's HUB Coordinator) approves Respondent's proposed changes to its HUB Subcontracting Plan; and (d) U. T. System and the Respondent amend their contract (via a writing signed by authorized officials of both parties) in order to replace the contract's existing HUB Subcontracting Plan with a revised HUB Subcontracting Plan containing the changes approved by U. T. System.
- 8. Expansion of Work. If, after entering into a contract with a Respondent as a result of a purchase solicitation subject to the Policy, U. T. System wishes to expand the scope of work that the Respondent will perform under that contract through a change order or any other contract amendment (the "Additional Work"), U. T. System will determine if the Additional Work contains probable subcontracting opportunities not identified in the initial purchase solicitation for that contract. If U. T. System determines that probable subcontracting opportunities exist for the Additional Work, then the Respondent must submit to U. T. System an amended HUB Subcontracting Plan covering those opportunities that complies with the provisions of 34 TAC Section 20.14. Such an amended HUB Subcontracting Plan must be approved by U. T. System (including U. T. System's HUB Coordinator) before (a) the contract may be amended by U. T. System and the Respondent to include the Additional Work and the amended HUB Subcontracting Plan and (b) the Respondent performs the Additional Work. If a Respondent subcontracts any of the additional subcontracting opportunities identified by U. T. System for any Additional Work (i) without complying with 34 TAC Section 20.14 or (ii) before U. T. System and that Respondent amend their contract to include a revised HUB Subcontracting Plan that authorizes such subcontracting, then the Respondent will be deemed to be in breach of its contract with U. T. System. As a result of such breach, U. T. System will be entitled to terminate its contract with the Respondent, and the Respondent will be subject to any remedial actions provided by Texas law, including those set forth in Chapter 2161, Texas Government Code, and 34 TAC Section 20.14. University may report a Respondent's nonperformance under a contract between that Respondent and U. T. System to the Texas Comptroller in accordance with 34 TAC Sections 20.101 through 20.108.
- 9. A Response may state that the Respondent intends to perform all the subcontracting opportunities with its own employees and resources in accordance with the Policy. However, if such a Respondent enters into a contract with U. T. System as a result of such a Response but later desires to subcontract any part of the work set forth in that contract, before the Respondent subcontracts such work it must first change its HUB Subcontracting Plan in accordance with the provisions of Section 7 above.
- 10. The University shall require a vendor to whom a contract has been awarded to report the identity and the amount paid to its subcontractors on a monthly basis using a HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report (PAR) as a condition for payment.
- If the University determines that the successful Respondent failed to implement an approved HUB Subcontracting Plan in good faith, the University, in addition to any other remedies, may report nonperformance to the Texas Comptroller in accordance with 34 TAC, Section 20.14, (g)(1) related remedies of nonperformance to professional services firms, contractor, and vender implementation of the HUB Subcontracting Plan.
- 12. In the event of any conflict between this "Summary of Requirements" and the remainder of the HUB Policy, the remainder of the HUB Policy will control.
- 13. These requirements, including the attachments referred to above, may be downloaded over the Internet from http://www.utsystem.edu/hub/hubforms.html. For additional information contact Office of HUB Development, The University of Texas System Administration, 512/499-4530.

Vendor/Commodities HSP Summary of Attachments required from Respondents

Summa	•	equired from Kespoi		I D
	Letter of	Letter of HUB	HUB Subcontracting	Progress Assessment
	Transmittal	Commitment page	Plan (HSP)	Report (PAR)
	Page 7	8	Pages 10-17	Page 18
1 LIT CNOTEM DETERMINES THAT				
1. UT SYSTEM DETERMINES THAT				
SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITIES				
ARE PROBABLE.				
1. A. Respondent Proposes				
Subcontractors: Attachments required				
from the Respondent for the HUB				
Subcontracting Plan if the solicitation states				
that subcontracting opportunities are	X		X	
probable.	A		A	
1. B. Respondent Proposes Self-				
Performance: Attachments required from				
the Respondent for the HUB Subcontracting				
Plan if the solicitation states that				
subcontracting opportunities are probable,				
but the Respondent can perform such				
opportunities with its employees and	\mathbf{X}		X	
resources.				
2. UT SYSTEM DETERMINES THAT				
SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITIES				
ARE NOT PROBABLE.				
2. A. Respondent Proposes Self-				
Performance:				
Attachments required from the Respondent				
for the HUB Subcontracting Plan if the				
solicitation states that subcontracting				
opportunities are not probable, but the	T 7		* 7	
Respondent can perform such opportunities	X		X	
with its employees and resources.				
2. B. Respondent Proposes				
Subcontractors:				
Attachments required from the Respondent				
for the HUB Subcontracting Plan if the				
solicitation states that subcontracting				
opportunities are not probable but the	X		X	
Respondent proposes to subcontract any	÷=			
part of the work.				
3. Indefinite Duration/Indefinite				
Quantity Contracts:				
Submit with initial qualifications.				
Attachments required from the Respondent				
prior to contract execution for each contract				
associated with a solicitation for				
miscellaneous services.		X	X	
4. Changes in the HUB Subcontracting				
Plan After Award: Attachments required				
from the Respondent to whom a contract				
has been awarded if it desires to make				
changes to the approved HUB			X	
Subcontracting Plan.			A	
5. Reporting: The Progress Assessment				
Report (PAR) is required with all payment				
requests. The submittal of this attachment				
is a condition of payment.				X

(RESPONDENT'S BUSINESS LETTERHEAD)

Date						
Director The Un 702 Col	peton Hay T, HUB & Fed iversity of Tex orado, Suite Texas 78701	6.600	ogram			
Re:		Underutilized Business	Plan for	(related serv	rices)	
Dear M	r. Hay,					
	tracting Plan	•	•	•	0 '	ased to forward this HUB Proposals referencing the
also un	derstand the	erstand The University State of Texas Annual Special Instructions sec	Procurement Goal acc	ording to 34 Texas Ad	ministrative Code Sect	
Select o	ne of the follov	ving:				
	_23.4% for al	ll building construction,	including general cont	ractors and operative I	ouilders contracts	
	_32.7% for al	ll special trade construc	ction contracts			
	_23.6% for pi	rofessional services co	ntracts			
	_24.6% for al	Il other services contrac	cts			
	_34% for con	nmodities contracts				
Subc	ontractors	# of Subcontractors	Total Subcontract \$	Total Estimated %	% Minority Owned	% Woman Owned

Subcontractors	# of Subcontractors	Total Subcontract \$ Value	Total Estimated %	% Minority Owned	% Woman Owned
		value			
HUB					
Non-HUB					
TOTAL					

I understand the above HUB percentages must represent Texas Comptroller HUB certification standards. For each of the listed HUB firms, I have attached a Texas Comptroller certification document, or, if the HUB is certified by another Texas Comptroller approved certifying agency, a copy of their approved certification document.

Should we discover additional subcontractors claiming Historically Underutilized Business status during the course of this contract we will notify you of the same. In addition, if for some reason a HUB is unable to fulfill its contract with us, we will notify you immediately in order to take the appropriate steps to amend this contractual obligation.

Sincerely,

(Project Executive)

cc: Contract Administrator

(BUSINESS LETTERHEAD)

Date	
Directo The Ur 702 Co	peton Hay or HUB & Federal Small Business Program niversity of Texas System olorado, Ste. 6.600 TX 78701
Re:	Historically Underutilized Business Plan for (Project Title) Project Number
Dear M	ır. Hay:
Subcor	ordance with the requirements outlined in the specification section "HUB Participation Program," I am pleased to forward this HUB ntracting Plan as an integral part of our proposal in connection with your invitation for request for proposals, referencing Project er
I have	read and understand The University of Texas System Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs).
reflect on pag As the Plan (H Quick (Faith Effort will be documented by a two part HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) process. Part one (1) of the HSP submission will self-performance with the appropriate sections completed per the instructions in Option One of the HSP Quick Checklist located e 9 of The University of Texas Exhibit H Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs). scope of work/project is defined under this ID/IQ contract, part two (2) of the process will require a revised HUB Subcontracting HSP) and the Good Faith Effort will be documented per instructions in Attachment B (page 15-16) and Option Four of the HSP Check List. The revised HUB Subcontracting Plan will be submitted to the HUB Coordinator prior to execution of each contract s. Documentation of subcontracted work will be provided with each pay request.
Sincere	ely,
Contra	ctor's Name
CC:	Project Manager

UT SYSTEM HUB SUBCONTRACTING PLAN (HSP) QUICK CHECKLIST

_		_	
()n	ti∧r	1 (1)	ne -
OD	uoi	ıv	116 -

If you will	not be subcontracting any portion of the contract and will be fulfilling the entire contract with your own resources, complete the following sections:
· _	
	Section 1 – Respondent and Requisition Information Section 2 a.—No. Livill not be subcontracting any portion of the contract, and Livill be fulfilling the entire contract with my own resources.
	Section 2 a. – No, I will not be subcontracting any portion of the contract, and I will be fulfilling the entire contract with my own resources Section 3 – Self Performing Justification
	Section 4 - Affirmation
	Section 4 - Annimation
Option To	<u>NO -</u>
If you will	be awarding all of the subcontracting work you have to offer under the contract to only Texas certified HUB vendors, complete:
	Section 1 – Respondent and Requisition Information
	Section 2 a. – Yes, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract
	Section 2 b. – List all the portions of work you will subcontract, and indicate the percentage of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUE vendors
	Section 2 c. – Yes
	Section 4 – Affirmation
	GFE Method A (Attachment A) – Complete an Attachment A for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in Section 2 b. Attach copies of HUB certification documents
Option TI	nree -
	Il be subcontracting any portion of the contract to Texas certified HUB vendors and Non-HUB vendors, and the aggregate percentage of all the
	cting work you will be awarding to the Texas certified HUB vendors with which you have a <u>continuous contract</u> * in place for five (5) years or less <u>meets or</u> he HUB Goal the contracting agency identified in the "Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements", complete:
	Section 1 – Respondent and Requisition Information
	2 a. – Yes, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract
	Section 2 b. – List all the portions of work you will subcontract, and indicate the percentage of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUE vendors and Non-HUB vendors
	Section 2 c. – No
	Section 2 d. – Yes
	Section 4 – Affirmation
	GFE Method A (Attachment A) – Complete an Attachment A for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in Section 2 b. Attach copies of HUB certification documents
Option Fo	
-	be subcontracting any portion of the contract to Texas certified HUB vendors and Non-HUB vendors or only to Non-HUB vendors, and the aggregate
percentag	ge of all the subcontracting work you will be awarding to the Texas certified HUB vendors with which you have a continuous contract. in place for five (5) gess does not meet or exceed the HUB Goal the contracting agency identified in the "Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements", complete:
	Section 1 – Respondent and Requisition Information
	Section 2 a. – Yes, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract
	Section 2 b. – List all the portions of work you will subcontract, and indicated the percentage of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUE vendors and Non-HUB vendors
	Section 2 c. – No
	Section 2 d. – No
	Section 4 – Affirmation
	GFE Method B (Attachment B) – Complete an Attachment B for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in Section 2 b. Section 4 – Affirmation
	Attach copies of HUB certification documents

*Continuous Contract: Any existing written agreement (including any renewals that are exercised) between a prime contractor and a HUB vendor, where the HUB vendor provides the prime contractor with goods or service under the same contract for a specified period of time. The frequency the HUB vendor is utilized or paid during the term of the contract is not relevant to whether the contract is considered continuous. Two or more contracts that run concurrently or overlap one another for different periods of time are considered by CPA to be individual contracts rather than renewals or extensions to the original contract. In such situations the prime contractor and HUB vendor are entering (have entered) into "new" contracts.

Rev. 02/12



HUB SUBCONTRACTING PLAN (HSP)

In accordance with Texas Gov't Code §2161.252, the contracting agency has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable under this contract. Therefore, all respondents, including State of Texas certified Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs) must complete and submit this State of Texas HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) with their response to the bid requisition (solicitation).

NOTE: Responses that do not include a completed HSP shall be rejected pursuant to Texas Gov't Code §2161.252(b).

The HUB Program promotes equal business opportunities for economically disadvantaged persons to contract with the State of Texas in accordance with the goals specified in the 2009 State of Texas Disparity Study. The statewide HUB goals defined in 34 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §20.13 are:

- 11.2 percent for heavy construction other than building contracts,
- 21.1 percent for all building construction, including general contractors and operative builders contracts,
- 32.7 percent for all special trade construction contracts,
- 23.6 percent for professional services contracts,
- 24.6 percent for all other services contracts, and
- 21 percent for commodities contracts.

- - Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements - -

In accordance with 34 TAC §20.13(d)(1)(D)(iii), the goals below are the applicable goals for the University of Texas System Administration only.

Other Service HUB Goal – 24.6%

Commodities HUB Goal - 34%

Special Trades HUB Goal - 32.7%

- Responses for <u>Special Trades construction shall</u> submit a HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) that meets the Good Faith Effort prescribed in Method B (Attachment B). See instructions for Option Four on the HSP Quick Check List. <u>No other Good Faith Effort method will be</u> accepted.
- Responses for Miscellaneous Services Agreements for indefinite duration/indefinite quantity Two (2) part process:
 - 1. Submit a Letter of HUB Commitment (page 8) and a Good Faith Effort described in Option One.
 - 2. Submit a revised HSP prior to execution of each contract process as described in Option Four of Quick Check List.
- Respondents shall submit a completed HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) to be considered responsive. Failure to submit a completed HSP shall result in the bid, proposal or other expression of interest to be considered Non-responsive.
- Respondents who intend to Self-Perform all of their work shall submit an HSP for Self Performance HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP).
- Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report (PAR) shall be submitted with each request for payment as a condition of payment.
- Please note that phone logs are no longer acceptable documentation of Good Faith Effort. Only fax, email and certified letter are acceptable.

SE	CTION 1 RESPONDENT AND REQUISITION INFORMATION	
a.	Respondent (Company) Name:	State of Texas VID #:
	Point of Contact:	Phone #:
	E-mail Address:	Fax #:
b.	Is your company a State of Texas certified HUB? ☐ - Yes ☐ - No	
c.	Requisition #:	Bid Open Date:
		(mm/dd/yyyy)
En	ter your company's name here:	Requisition #:

SECTION 2 SUBCONTRACTING INTENTIONS

After dividing the contract work into reasonable lots or portions to the extent consistent with prudent industry practices, and taking into consideration the scope of work to be performed under the proposed contract, including all potential subcontracting opportunities, the respondent must determine what portions of work, including goods and services, will be subcontracted. Note: In accordance with 34 TAC §20.11., an "Subcontractor" means a person who contracts with a prime contractor to work, to supply commodities, or to contribute toward completing work for a governmental entity.

- a. Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that identifies your subcontracting intentions:
 - Yes, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract. (If Yes, complete Item b, of this SECTION and continue to Item c of this SECTION.)
 - No, I will not be subcontracting any portion of the contract, and I will be fulfilling the entire contract with my own resources. (If No, continue to SECTION 3 and SECTION 4.)
- b. List all the portions of work (subcontracting opportunities) you will subcontract. Also, based on the total value of the contract, identify the percentages of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUBs, and the percentage of the contract you expect to award to vendors that are not a Texas certified HUB (i.e., Non-HUB).

		HU	IBs	Non-HUBs
Item #	Subcontracting Opportunity Description	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you have a continuous contract* in place for five (5) years or less.	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you have a continuous contract* in place for more than five (5) years.	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to non-HUBs .
1		%	%	%
2		%	%	%
3		%	%	%
4		%	%	%
5		%	%	%
6		%	%	%
7		%	%	%
8		%	%	%
9		%	%	%
10		%	%	%
11		%	%	%
12		%	%	%
13		%	%	%
14		%	%	%
15		%	%	%
	Aggregate percentages of the contract expected to be subcontracted:	%	%	%

(Note: If you have more than fifteen subcontracting opportunities, a continuation sheet is available online at http://window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/hub-subcontracting-plan/)

- c. Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether you will be using <u>only</u> Texas certified HUBs to perform <u>all</u> of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b.
 - Yes (If Yes, continue to SECTION 4 and complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort Method A (Attachment A)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed.)
 - No (If No, continue to Item d, of this SECTION.)
- d. Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether the aggregate expected percentage of the contract you will subcontract with Texas certified HUBs with which you have a continuous contract* in place with for five (5) years or less meets or exceeds the HUB goal the contracting agency identified on page 1 in the "Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements".
 - Yes (If Yes, continue to SECTION 4 and complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort Method A (Attachment A)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed.)
 - No (If No, continue to SECTION 4 and complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort Method B (Attachment B)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed.)

*Continuous Contract: Any existing written agreement (including any renewals that are exercised) between a prime contractor and a HUB vendor, where the HUB vendor provides the prime contractor with goods or service under the same contract for a specified period of time. The frequency the HUB vendor is utilized or paid during the term of the contract is not relevant to whether the contract is considered continuous. Two or more contracts that run concurrently or overlap one another for different periods of time are considered by CPA to be individual contracts rather than renewals or extensions to the original contract. In such situations the prime contractor and HUB vendor are entering (have entered) into "new" contracts.

Enter your company's name here:	Requisition #:	
•		

SECTION 2 SUBCONTRACTING INTENTIONS (CONTINUATION SHEET)

a. This page can be used as a continuation sheet to the HSP Form's page 2, SECTION 2, Item b. Continue listing the portions of work (subcontracting opportunities) you will subcontract. Also, based on the total value of the contract, identify the percentages of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUBs, and the percentage of the contract you expect to award to vendors that are not a Texas certified HUB (i.e., Non-HUB).

		HU	Bs	Non-HUBs
Item #	Subcontracting Opportunity Description	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you have a continuous contract* in place for five (5) years or less.	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you have a continuous contract* in place for more than five (5) years.	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to non-HUBs .
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
		%	%	%
	Aggregate percentages of the contract expected to be subcontracted:	%	%	%

*Continuous Contract: Any existing written agreement (including any renewals that are exercised) between a prime contractor and a HUB vendor, where the HUB vendor provides the prime contractor with goods or service under the same contract for a specified period of time. The frequency the HUB vendor is utilized or paid during the term of the contract is not relevant to whether the contract is considered continuous. Two or more contracts that run concurrently or overlap one another for different periods of time are considered by CPA to be individual contracts rather than renewals or extensions to the original contract. In such situations the prime contractor and HUB vendor are entering (have entered) into "new" contracts.

Enter you	r company's name here:	Requisition #:
SECTION		SECTION 2, Item a, you must complete this SECTION and continue to SECTION 4.)
	opropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether your response/pro its own resources.	posal contains an explanation demonstrating how your company will fulfill the entire
☐ - Yes	(If Yes, in the space provided below list the specific page(s)/sec contract with its own equipment, supplies, materials and/or employed	ction(s) of your proposal which explains how your company will perform the entire es.)
☐ - No	(If $\textit{No}\xspace$ in the space provided below $explain\xspace$ how your company employees.)	will perform the entire contract with its own equipment, supplies, materials and/or
SECTION 4	4 AFFIRMATION	
	d by my signature below, I affirm that I am an authorized representa on submitted with the HSP is true and correct. Respondent understar	tive of the respondent listed in SECTION 1, and that the information and supporting and agrees that, if awarded any portion of the requisition:
contract subcor the total	ct. The notice must specify at a minimum the contracting agency tracting opportunity they (the subcontractor) will perform, the approx	actors (HUBs and Non-HUBs) of their selection as a subcontractor for the awarded is name and its point of contact for the contract, the contract award number, the imate dollar value of the subcontracting opportunity and the expected percentage of the notice required by this section must also be provided to the contracting agency's contract is awarded.
complia		ctor Progress Assessment Report – PAR) to the contracting agency, verifying its add to its subcontractors (HUBs and Non-HUBs). (The PAR is available at essassessmentrpt.xls).
subcor approv	tractors and the termination of a subcontractor the respondent id	making any modifications to its HSP, including the hiring of additional or different entified in its HSP. If the HSP is modified without the contracting agency's prior available under the contract or otherwise available by law, up to and including
	spondent must, upon request, allow the contracting agency to perfo ng performed and must provide documentation regarding staffing an	rm on-site reviews of the company's headquarters and/or work-site where services d other resources.

REMINDER: ➤

Signature

If you responded "Yes" to SECTION 2, Items c or d, you must complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method A (Attachment A)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b.

Title

Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

If you responded "No" SECTION 2, Items c and d, you must complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B)" for <u>each</u> of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b.

Printed Name

HSP Good Faith Effort - Method A (Attachment A)

Enter your company's name here:	Requisition #:			
IMPORTANT: If you responded "Yes" to SECTION 2, Items c or d of the completed HSP form, you must submit a completed "HSP Good Fait (Attachment A)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b of the completed HSP form. You may photodownload the form at http://www.window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/hub-forms/HUBSubcontractingPlanAttachment-A.doc				
SECTION A-1 SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITY				
Enter the item number and description of the subcontracting opportunity this attachment.	you listed in SECTION 2, Item b, of the completed HSP form for which you are completing			
Item #: Description:				
SECTION A-2 SUBCONTRACTOR SELECTION				
List the subcontractor(s) you coloated to norform the subcontracting anno	artunity you listed above in SECTION A.1. Also identify whether they are a Toyag partified			

List the subcontractor(s) you selected to perform the subcontracting opportunity you listed above in SECTION A-1. Also identify whether they are a Texas certified HUB and their VID number, the approximate dollar value of the work to be subcontracted, the expected percentage of work to be subcontracted, and indicate whether the company is a Texas certified HUB.

Company Name	Texas	VID#	Approximate	Expected Percentage
Company Name	certified HUB	(Required if Texas certified HUB)	Dollar Amount	of Contract
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
	☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%

REMINDER: As specified in SECTION 4 of the completed HSP form, if you (respondent) are awarded any portion of the requisition, you are required to provide notice as soon as practical to all the subcontractors (HUBs and Non-HUBs) of their selection as a subcontractor. The notice must specify at a minimum the contracting agency's name and its point of contact for the contract, the contract award number, the subcontracting opportunity they (the subcontractor) will perform, the approximate dollar value of the subcontracting opportunity and the expected percentage of the total contract that the subcontracting opportunity represents. A copy of the notice required by this section must also be provided to the contracting agency's point of contact for the contract no later than ten (10) working days after the contract is awarded.

Rev. 02/12

HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B)

	•
Enter your company's name here:	Requisition #:
IMPORTANT: If you responded "No" to SECTION 2, Items c and d of the completed (Attachment B)" for <u>each</u> of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION download the form at http://www.window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/hub-form	2, Item b of the completed HSP form. You may photo-copy this page or
SECTION B-1 SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITY	
Enter the item number and description of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SI this attachment.	ECTION 2, Item b, of the completed HSP form for which you are completing
Item #: Description:	
SECTION B-2 MENTOR PROTÉGÉ PROGRAM	
If respondent is participating as a Mentor in a State of Texas Mentor Protégé Program, subcontractor to perform the subcontracting opportunity listed in SECTION B-1, constitution specific portion of work.	
Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether you will be subcontracting	the portion of work you listed in SECTION B-1 to your Protégé.
- Yes (If Yes, to continue to SECTION B-4.)	
- No / Not Applicable (If No or Not Applicable, continue to SECTION B-3 and	SECTION B-4.)
SECTION B-3 NOTIFICATION OF SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITY	
When completing this coation you MUCT comply with items a b a and d thereby done	protrating your Cood Faith Effort of having notified Tayon partition LUIDs and

When completing this section you <u>MUST</u> comply with items <u>a</u>, <u>b</u>, <u>c</u> and <u>d</u>, thereby demonstrating your Good Faith Effort of having notified Texas certified HUBs <u>and</u> minority or women trade organizations or development centers about the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1. Your notice should include the scope of work, information regarding the location to review plans and specifications, bonding and insurance requirements, required qualifications, and identify a contact person. When sending notice of your subcontracting opportunity, you are encouraged to use the attached HUB Subcontracting Opportunity Notice form, which is also available online at http://www.window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/hub-subcontracting-plan/

Retain supporting documentation (i.e., certified letter, fax, e-mail) demonstrating evidence of your good faith effort to notify the Texas certified HUBs <u>and</u> minority or women trade organizations or development centers. Also, be mindful that a working day is considered a normal business day of a state agency, not including weekends, federal or state holidays, or days the agency is declared closed by its executive officer. The initial day the subcontracting opportunity notice is sent/provided to the HUBs <u>and</u> to the minority or women trade organizations or development centers is considered to be "day zero" and does not count as one of the seven (7) working days.

- a. Provide written notification of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1, to three (3) or more Texas certified HUBs. Unless the contracting agency specified a different time period, you must allow the HUBs at least seven (7) working days to respond to the notice prior to your submitting your bid response to the contracting agency. When searching for Texas certified HUBs, ensure that you use the State of Texas' Centralized Master Bidders List (CMBL) and Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Search directory located at http://www.window.state.tx.us/procurement//cmbl/cmblhub.html. HUB Status code "A" signifies that the company is a Texas certified HUB.
- b. List the https://exas.certified HUBs you notified regarding the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1. Include the company's Vendor ID (VID) number, the date you sent notice to that company, and indicate whether it was responsive or non-responsive to your subcontracting opportunity notice.

Company Name	VID#	Date Notice Sent (mm/dd/yyyy)	Did the HUB Respond?
			🗌 - Yes 🔲 - No
			🗌 - Yes 🔲 - No
			☐ - Yes ☐ - No

- c. Provide written notification of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1 to two (2) or more minority or women trade organizations or development centers in Texas to assist in identifying potential HUBs by disseminating the subcontracting opportunity to their members/participants. Unless the contracting agency specified a different time period, you must provide your subcontracting opportunity notice to minority or women trade organizations or development centers at least seven (7) working days prior to submitting your bid response to the contracting agency. A list of trade organizations and development centers that have expressed an interest in receiving notices of subcontracting opportunities is available on the Statewide HUB Program's webpage at http://www.window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/mwb-links-1/
- d. List two (2) minority or women trade organizations or development centers you notified regarding the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1. Include the date when you sent notice to it and indicate if it accepted or rejected your notice.

Minority/Women Trade Organizations or Development Centers	Date Notice Sent (mm/dd/yyyy)	Was the Notice Accepted?
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No

HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B) cont.

En	ter your company's name here:		Requ	isition #:	
CE/	CTION B-4 SUBCONTRACTOR SELECTION				
		tunitu far which you are compl	oting this Attachma	at D continuation no	70
a.	Enter the item number and description of the subcontracting oppor Item #: Description:		eung this Attachmen	ii B continuation paç	ye.
b.	List the subcontractor(s) you selected to perform the subcontracti HUB and their VID number, the approximate dollar value of the w whether the company is a Texas certified HUB.	ing opportunity you listed in S ork to be subcontracted, the ε	ECTION B-1. Also expected percentag	identify whether the e of work to be subo	ey are a Texas certified contracted, and indicate
	Company Name	Texas certified HUB	VID # (Required if Texas certified HUB)	Approximate Dollar Amount	Expected Percentage of Contract
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
		☐ - Yes ☐ - No		\$	%
		Yes No		\$	%
C.	If any of the subcontractors you have selected to perform the subc justification for your selection process (attach additional page if neo	contracting opportunity you liste cessary):	ed in SECTION B-1	is <u>not</u> a Texas certif	ied HUB, provide <u>writter</u>

REMINDER: As specified in SECTION 4 of the completed HSP form, if you (respondent) are awarded any portion of the requisition, you are required to provide notice as soon as practical to <u>all</u> the subcontractors (HUBs and Non-HUBs) of their selection as a subcontractor. The notice must specify at a minimum the contracting agency's name and its point of contact for the contract, the contract award number, the subcontracting opportunity it (the subcontractor) will perform, the approximate dollar value of the subcontracting opportunity and the expected percentage of the total contract that the subcontracting opportunity represents. A copy of the notice required by this section must also be provided to the contracting agency's point of contact for the contract no later than ten (10) working days after the contract is awarded.



HUB Subcontracting Opportunity Notification Form

In accordance with Texas Gov't Code, Chapter 2161, each state agency that considers entering into a contract with an expected value of \$100,000 or more shall, before the agency solicits bids, proposals, offers, or other applicable expressions of interest, determine whether subcontracting opportunities are probable under the contract. The state agency I have identified below in **Section B** has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable under the requisition to which my company will be responding.

34 Texas Administrative Code, §20.14 requires all respondents (prime contractors) bidding on the contract to provide notice of each of their subcontracting opportunities to at least https://document.org/respondents/by-nc-nd-4. Texas certified HUBs (who work within the respective industry applicable to the subcontracting opportunity), and allow the HUBs at least seven (7) working days to respond to the notice prior to the respondent submitting its bid response to the contracting agency. In addition, the respondent must provide notice of each of its subcontracting opportunities to https://document.org/respondents/by-nc-nd-4 working days prior to submitting its bid response to the contracting agency.

We respectfully request that vendors interested in bidding on the subcontracting opportunity scope of work identified in Section C, Item 2, reply no later than the date and time identified in Section C, Item 1. Submit your response to the point-of-contact referenced in Section A.

Section A	PRIME CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION	
Company Name:		State of Texas VID #:
Point-of-Contact:		Phone #:
E-mail Address:		Fax #:
Section B	CONTRACTING STATE AGENCY AND REQUISITION INFORMATION	
Agency Name:		
Point-of-Contact:		Phone #:
Requisition #:		Bid Open Date:
Section C	SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITY RESPONSE DUE DATE, DESCRIPTI	(mm/dd/y
1. Potential	If you would like for our company to consider your company's bid for we must receive your bid response no later than Select	
Subcontractor's Bid Response Due Date:	In accordance with 34 TAC §20.14, each notice of subcontracting opportunity shall be provided to days to respond to the notice prior to submitting our bid response to the contracting agency. In a organizations or development centers at least seven (7) working days prior to submitting our bid resp (A working day is considered a normal business day of a state agency, not including weekends, feder initial day the subcontracting opportunity notice is sent/provided to the HUBs and to the minority or does not count as one of the seven (7) working days.)	addition, we must provide the same notice to two (2) or more minority or women trade ponse to the contracting agency. Ideral or state holidays, or days the agency is declared closed by its executive officer. The
2. Subcontracting Opportunity Scope of Work:		
3. Required Qualifications: - Not Applicable		
4. Bonding/Insurance Requirements: ☐ - Not Applicable		
5. Location to review plans/specifications:		



HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report

Contract/Requisition Number:			Dat	e of Award:			(Object Code:	
					(r	mm/dd/yyyy)			(Agency Use Only
Contracting Agency/University Name:									
Contractor (Company) Name:					St	ate of Texas VID #:			
Point of Contact:						Phone #:			
Reporting (Month) Period:		Total An	nount Paid	this Reportir	ng Period to	o Contractor:	\$		
Rep	ort HUB <u>and</u>	Non-	HUB	subo	contr	actor in	forn	nation	
Subcontractor's Name	Subcontractor's VID or Federal EIN Number <u>(HUB</u> <u>VID (Certificate Number) is</u> <u>required for all HUB subs)</u>	*Texas Certified HUB? (Yes or No)	Total (Contract \$ t from HSP ocontractor	Total \$ Repo	Amount Paid This orting Period to ubcontractor	Tota Amour	I Contract \$ nt Paid to Date abcontractor	Object Code (Agency Use Only
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
			\$	-	\$		\$	-	
		1	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		

*Note: HUB certification status can be verified on-line at: http://www.2cpa.state.tx.us/cmbl/hubonly.html

Phone No._

CONTRACT NO.	PREFERRED SUPPLIER
UTSSCA1510	The Remi Group
UTSSCA1610 & UTSSCA1710	Cell Signaling Technologies & Millipore Corporation
UTSSCA2010	Taconic Farms
UTSSCA2110	Sigma-Aldrich
UTSSCA2410 & UTSSCA2510	VWR International & BioMedical Solutions
UTSSCA3011	Grainger
UTSSCA3311	RightSourcing
UTSSCA3612	Sigma-Aldrich
UTSSCA3812	Konica-Minolta
UTSSCA4213, UTSSCA4313 & UTSSCA4413	Hertz, Avis & Enterprise
UTSSCA4513	Corporate Travel Planners & Anthony Travel
UTSSCA4914	Fisher Scientific
UTSSCA5114 & UTSSCA5214	Dell Marketing & SHI
UTSSCA5414	Iron Mountain Information Management, LLC
UTSSCA5514	SHI Government Solutions
UTSSCA5814 & UTSSCA5714	Today's Business Solutions & OfficeDepotMax
UTSSCA3211	Sigma-Aldrich

BRIEF DESCRIPTION	
Third party provider for equipment maintenance services	
Primary and Secondary Research Antibodies	
Laboratory mice and rats for research	
DNA and RNA Oligonucleotides (Oligos) and related services	
Core Laboratory Equipment	
Maintenance, Repair and Operating (MRO) Supplies	
Managed service provider contract for temporary staffing	
Tissue Culture Media and Fetal Bovine Serum Products	
Multifunctional Devices (MFDs) and related services	
Car Rental Services	
Travel Agency Services	
Laboratory consumables	
PCs, Laptops and Tablets	
Online Records Management	
Computer Peripheral Products	
Office Supplies including paper and toner	
All Sigma-Aldrich products excuding: Oligos, Tissue Products, and FBS	
	Total Annual Spend:

ANN	IUAL SPEND
\$	2,300,000
\$	3,200,000
\$	1,750,000
\$	850,000
\$	3,900,000
\$	7,000,000
\$	25,000,000
\$	1,200,000
\$	6,000,000
\$	3,850,000
\$	48,250,000
\$	60,000,000
\$	4,000,000
\$	2,000,000
\$	9,000,000
\$	19,300,000
\$	7,000,000
\$	204,600,000

Institution	Annual A/P Volume	Annual A/P Transactions	Number of Suppliers
UTMB	\$ 721,092,831	258,832	10,963
UTMDACC	\$ 1,688,069,271	287,934	6,282

ERP System	Date of Last A/P Audit
PeopleSoft v9.1	Prior to 2003
PeopleSoft	Prior to 2007