



TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR STUDENT, FACULTY, AND STAFF CAMPUS LIFE COMMITTEE

Committee Meeting: 5/13/2010
Austin, Texas

James D. Dannenbaum, Chairman
Printice L. Gary
R. Steven Hicks
James R. Huffines
Joel Helmke, Chair, Employee Advisory Council
Dan Formanowicz, Chair, Faculty Advisory Council
Bradley Carpenter, Chair, Student Advisory Council

	Committee Meeting	Page
Convene	9:30 a.m. <i>Chairman Dannenbaum</i>	
1. U. T. System: Report on the Chancellor's Entrepreneurship and Innovation Awards Program	9:30 a.m. Report <i>Dr. McDowell</i>	189
2. U. T. System: Annual Meeting with Officers of the U. T. System Student Advisory Council	9:40 a.m. Report <i>Mr. Carpenter</i>	189
Adjourn	10:30 a.m.	

1. **U. T. System: Report on the Chancellor's Entrepreneurship and Innovation Awards Program**

REPORT

Vice Chancellor McDowell will report on the history and nature of the Chancellor's Entrepreneurship and Innovation Awards Program. This prestigious awards program is designated to promote a culture of entrepreneurship and innovation throughout the U. T. System.

2. **U. T. System: Annual Meeting with Officers of the U. T. System Student Advisory Council**

REPORT

The U. T. System Student Advisory Council will meet with the Board of Regents to discuss accomplishments of the Council and plans for the future. The Council's recommendations are on Pages 191 - 198.

AGENDA

1. Executive and Standing Committee Member Introductions
2. Chairperson's Report and Overview
3. Executive Committee and Standing Committee Remarks and Recommendations

Council members scheduled to attend are:

Chair: Mr. Bradley Carpenter, U. T. Austin, Graduate School, Education Policy and Planning

Academic Affairs Committee: Mr. Shaney Flores, U. T. Pan American, English and Psychology

Student Involvement and Campus Life Committee: Ms. Sherley Edinbarough, U. T. Pan American, Biology

Health and Graduate Affairs Committee: Mr. Carlos Ramos, U. T. Health Science Center – Houston, School of Public Health

Financial and Legislative Affairs Committee: Mr. Kent Long, U. T. Arlington, Organizational Communication

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The U. T. System Student Advisory Council was established in 1989 to provide input to the U. T. System Board of Regents working through and with the Chancellor and U. T. System Administration on issues of student concern. The operating guidelines of the Council require that recommendations have a multi-institutional focus and that the Council explore individual campus issues with institutional administrators prior to any consideration thereof. The Student Advisory Council consists of two student representatives from each U. T. System institution enrolling students, and meets three times yearly in Austin. The Standing Committees of the Council are: Academic Affairs, Student Involvement and Campus Life, Health and Graduate Affairs, and Financial and Legislative Affairs.



The University of Texas System
Nine Universities. Six Health Institutions. Unlimited Possibilities.

Office of Academic Affairs
601 Colorado Street, Austin, TX 78701
Phone: (512) 499-4233, Fax: (512) 499-4240

March 29, 2010

The University of Texas at Arlington
The University of Texas at Austin
The University of Texas at Brownsville
The University of Texas at Dallas
The University of Texas at El Paso
The University of Texas—Pan American
The University of Texas
of the Permian Basin
The University of Texas at San Antonio
The University of Texas at Tyler

Francisco G. Cigarroa, M.D.
Chancellor
The University of Texas System
601 Colorado St.
Austin, TX 78701-2982

Dear Chancellor Cigarroa:

The members of the University of Texas System Student Advisory Council, our officers, and the 160,000 students we are called to represent would like to express our sincere appreciation to The University of Texas System Board of Regents for providing us with the opportunity to present our recommendations for the 2009-2010 academic year.

As members of a deliberative and democratic body, our council is responsible for providing the Board of Regents with quality input addressing the unique needs of our student populations. This year's input is realized through the purposeful crafting of the attached recommendations.

It is important to note the amount of time, energy, and focus each council member contributed throughout the year. Often setting aside individual responsibilities or interests, students on the council worked together to consider the needs of the entire system-wide community. This particular cohort worked diligently to strengthen our council by putting into place new communication strategies and improved measures of accountability. Together, the students developed recommendations targeting a set of distinct system-wide needs.

The council would also like to express its sincere gratitude to Dr. Edward Baldwin, Dr. James Studer, Pam Cole, Carlos Martinez, and all of the UT

www.utsystem.edu

System staff for the countless hours of assistance and guidance they have so selflessly offered.

Thank you again for this special opportunity.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "BRADLEY CARPENTER". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, prominent initial "B".

Bradley W. Carpenter
Chair, Student Advisory Council
The University of Texas System

Enclosure: 2009-2010 UTSSAC Recommendations

The University of Texas at Austin
1 University Station-D5400
Austin, TX 78712-0374
Ph: 512-475-8592
bradleywcarpenter@gmail.com

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM STUDENT ADVISORY COUNCIL RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM

After careful consideration, we the members of The University of Texas System Student Advisory Council (SAC), respectfully submit the following recommendations to The University of Texas Board of Regents. These recommendations concern a wide variety of students at multiple institutions in The University of Texas System.

Academic Affairs Committee

Recommendation 1

In order to provide a quality classroom experience for students and faculty alike, the U. T. System Student Advisory Council requests that all U. T. System academic institutions implement a mid-semester course evaluation. To assess the progress of the course, instructional methodology, and the clarity of material presented, all instructional professors should administer these evaluations to their students in a manner deemed most appropriate for each institution. These evaluations should be completed by the students and reviewed by the professor before the midpoint of the semester. We also ask that the results of these evaluations contribute to the overall assessment of the instructor.

These assessments allow professors to target and identify areas where students are having difficulty learning. Providing this positive feedback mechanism enables students to excel in the course where they would otherwise struggle. The implementation of mid-semester evaluations allows sufficient time for students and instructors to make necessary changes to ensure the success of all. Similar evaluations have proven beneficial at other prominent institutions such as The University of California-Berkeley, Michigan State University, University of Michigan, Cornell University and Princeton University.

Data from these evaluations can be collected from surveys conducted through systems such as Blackboard or resources similar to U. T. Austin's Ongoing Course Assessment.

Financial and Legislative Affairs Committee

Recommendation 2

The U. T. System Student Advisory Council understands that a restructuring of the Board of Regents requires legislative approval. However, if the Texas Legislature renews interest in the benefits of increasing student representation on the Board of Regents for the U. T. System, then, the U. T. System Student Advisory Council recommends granting voting rights to the Student Regent and the addition of a non-voting Student Regent Designate, both appointed with staggered two-year terms. The Designate position provides the appointee a full year to become familiar with the roles and responsibilities of a Student Regent and the operating procedures of the U. T. System before gaining voting rights.

This proposed model is currently utilized by other nationally recognized public education systems such as The University of California and The University of Tennessee Systems.

Health and Graduate Affairs Committee

Recommendation 3

After conversations with members of the faculty, students, and staff, the U. T. System Student Advisory Council (UTSSAC) believes it important to revise and improve the accommodations and services available to students with disabilities. The most recent UTSSAC recommendation concerning this topic was presented in 1998 - 1999 when the Multicultural and Minority Affairs Committee addressed compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

Recent advances in the field of assistive technologies allow today's institutions the opportunity to upgrade the services available to their student populations. Therefore, in an effort to improve the educational experience of all students, UTSSAC recommends that the universities and health science centers across our system consider the following areas of accommodations: awareness, technologies, staffing, and facilities.

Awareness – The U.S. Department of Education states that on average 11% of the nation's undergraduate students report a disability, however, our research indicates that some of the universities within the U. T. System have considerably lower reporting rates when compared to this national figure. For example, at The University of Texas at Arlington, only 2% (600) of students are registered with the Office for Students with Disabilities. UTSSAC believes that such a discrepancy may result from students'

unawareness and a lack of understanding of available services across the U. T. System.

In an effort to increase awareness and improve students with disabilities reporting rates, the Health and Graduate Affairs Committee of UTSSAC recommends that each institution implement the following initiatives:

- Provide more direct access to the Office for Students with Disabilities website from the main university home page
- Facilitate an annual disability services awareness week
- Host disability services information sessions at new student orientations

Technologies – Increased awareness of disability services may increase the demand for such services. In anticipation of this surge, we recommend a Systemwide review focusing on:

- Sharing of best practices among Offices for Students with Disabilities across the U. T. System
- Identification of new opportunities for campuses to increase assistive technologies available for students. UTSSAC proposes such equipment include:
 - Screen navigation software
 - Screen magnification software
 - Voice recognition software
 - Visual mapping, outlining, writing software
 - Reading, writing, and learning software
 - FM systems for hard-of-hearing individuals
 - Note taking assistance devices
 - Adaptive keyboards and track balls
 - Braille embossers
 - Textbook conversion to electronic text (e-text)

Staffing – Following multiple dialogues with some of the directors of the Offices for Students with Disabilities across the U. T. System, an apparent need for specific staffing positions became evident. Based on these discussions, we recommend that all Offices

for Students with Disabilities maintain at least one staff member responsible for, but not limited to, the following responsibilities: Director, Certified Counselor, Psychoeducational Diagnostician, Recreational Therapist, Assistive Technology Training Center Coordinator, Interpreter, Web Accessibility Center Director, Exam Scheduling Coordinator, Auxiliary Services Administrator, Specialty Tutor, and Sport/Recreational Activity Coordinator.

Facilities – The ADA Accessibility Guidelines for Buildings and Facilities list the technical requirements to be applied in the design, construction, and alteration of buildings and facilities. While these guidelines represent the minimum level of accommodation required, UTSSAC believes that additional improvements could provide a more hospitable environment for students with disabilities. For example, the standard push buttons for automatic doors could present a challenge for a person with limited arm mobility; in such cases, an automatic sliding door could facilitate access. In addition, because of more difficult maneuverability of electric wheelchairs, more space than that dictated by ADA requirements could ease utilization of restroom facilities. Finally, we recommend that universities ensure that an “accessibility plan” is included as part of each campus’ overall master plan.

Student Involvement and Campus Life Committee

Recommendation 4

The U. T. System Student Advisory Council recommends that the Board of Regents revise the current U. T. System nondiscrimination policy, and that all U. T. System institutions update their specific policies to include, but not be limited to, the policy of The University of Texas System. A recent review of nondiscrimination policies of U. T. System institutions revealed that there is a large degree of variability between schools, and more importantly, between the policies of each school and the U. T. System policy.

According to the U. T. System, our mission is to provide a quality education to students “from a wide range of social, ethnic, cultural, and economic backgrounds, thereby preparing educated, productive citizens who can meet the rigorous challenges of an increasingly diverse society and ever changing global community.” To be consistent with the U. T. System mission, the Board of Regents should revise the U. T. System nondiscrimination policy to provide equal opportunities to diverse groups.

A comparison of the U. T. System policy to the policies of each institution, as well as The University of Michigan and The University of California Systems, identifies additional groups that should be included in the U. T. System nondiscrimination policy.

These additional groups are: creed, ancestry, gender identity and gender expression, marital status, citizenship, and medical condition (e.g. pregnancy, cancer).

We recommend that the revised U. T. System nondiscrimination policy read as follows (additions underlined):

It is The University of Texas System policy that no person including students, faculty, staff, and temporary workers will be excluded from participation in, denied the benefits of, or be subject to discrimination under any program or activity sponsored or conducted by The University of Texas System or the institutions on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin or ancestry, religion, sex (including gender identity and gender expression), sexual orientation, veteran status, marital status, citizenship, age, disability, or medical condition. Retaliation against persons who oppose a discriminatory practice, file a charge of discrimination, or testify for, assist in, or participate in an investigative proceeding relating to discrimination is prohibited.

Furthermore, we recommend that all U. T. System institutions update their specific nondiscrimination policies to include, but not be limited to, the policy of The University of Texas System. The addition and implementation of these changes to the U. T. System nondiscrimination policy will serve to further support the mission of the U. T. System, to reflect an increasingly diverse society, to solidify nondiscrimination policies throughout the System, and to avoid future potential conflicts and liabilities.

Recommendation 5

One of the main factors that students consider prior to entering an institution is safety. Although the U. T. System has good safety standards, additional measures are needed to raise awareness of on-campus safety procedures. The lack of student, faculty, and staff awareness of on-campus safety procedures is an issue that directly affects an institution in the event of an emergency. We thus want to improve on-campus safety across the U. T. System. We recommend that the U. T. System asks each campus to implement a set of measures (stated below) that would increase the on-campus safety for students as well as faculty and staff. Upon implementation of this recommendation, students, faculty, and staff throughout the U. T. System would be aware of, and familiar with, the safety procedures that the U. T. System follows and it would better enable each campus to maintain a high safety standard. It is our humble request that the Board of Regents take into consideration the implementation of this recommendation.

In order to increase students' awareness of emergency evacuation procedures:

- Professors, faculty, and staff should be regularly reminded about building and classroom evacuation routes.
- Information about classroom evacuation routes, or a web link to the information, should be included in course syllabi and handed to students on the first day of class.
- Campus directories should include evacuation routes and be posted in high traffic areas around campus (indoor and outdoor).
- Campus Emergency Drills should be administered on a regular basis to ensure proficiency.
- Informational packets or emergency response guides, like the one provided to students at The University of Texas at San Antonio, should be made available. The packet should contain a listing of emergency contacts, recommended procedures in cases of medical emergencies, fire or explosion, evacuation of the mobility-impaired, severe weather hazards and threats (bomb, physical, and terrorist).