



You are here: [Home](#) » [Procurement](#) » [Tools](#) » [Electronic State Business Daily](#)

UT System RFP - Provide Aviation Consulting Services ****UPDATED 02/23/16****

Open Date: 03/03/16 03:00 PM

Agency Requisition Number: UTSTS20160201

NOTE: You will need to download all of the following files for complete specifications and other required document, including a HUB subcontracting plan(if required).

Help: Right Click to and choose "save file as" or "save target as" to your computer.

-**Package 1** size: 126155 (in bytes) Type: Specification Format: Word for Windows 97

-**Package 2** size: 39751 (in bytes) Type: Additional Specification(s) Format: Word for Windows 97

-**Package 3** size: 418759 (in bytes) Type: Addendum Format: Acrobat PDF Files

-**Package 4** size: 20553 (in bytes) Type: Additional Specification(s) Format: Word for Windows 97

****UPDATE 02/23/16 - updated FINAL Question and Answer doc (package 4)**** ****UPDATE 02/16/16 - add Question and Answer doc (package 4)**** UT System is soliciting proposals in response to this RFP from qualified suppliers to provide aviation consulting services. The services may encompass (1) research on both commercial and 14 CFR Part 91 ("Part 91") aircraft operations (2) review of air charter services (3) analysis of medical transport procedures (4) aircraft purchase and sale processes, or; (5) specific projects as directed by UT System executive officers. The successful Proposer to whom business may be awarded is referred to as the "Preferred Supplier."

Agency: UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM (720)

Open Date: 03/03/16 03:00 PM

Agency Requisition Number: UTSTS20160201

Previous Price Paid: N/A

Solicitation type: 14 Days or more for entire solicitation package

NIGP Commodity Code(s):

Class-Item: 918 - 17

Contact Information:

Contact Name: Nancy Sutherland

Email: nsutherland@utsystem.edu

Upload Date: 2016-02-01 10:17:56.11 Updated date: 2016-02-23 10:50:35.26

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

By

The University of Texas System

For

Selection of a

PREFERRED SUPPLIER

TO PROVIDE

AVIATION CONSULTING SERVICES

RFP No. UTSTS20160201

Submittal Deadline: March 3, 2016

Issued: February 1, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION.....	3
SECTION 2: NOTICE TO PROPOSER.....	4
SECTION 3: SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL	8
SECTION 4: GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS	9
SECTION 5: SPECIFICATIONS, ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS AND SCOPE OF WORK.....	10
SECTION 6: PRICING SCHEDULE AND AFFIRMATION	12

ATTACHMENTS

APPENDIX ONE	PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS	14
APPENDIX TWO	UT SYSTEM POLICY ON UTILIZATION OF HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSES.....	22
APPENDIX THREE	FORM OF PREFERRED SUPPLIER AGREEMENT FOR SERVICES	23
APPENDIX FOUR	ACCESS BY INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES.....	40
APPENDIX FIVE	ELECTRONIC AND INFORMATION RESOURCES ENVIRONMENT SPECIFICATIONS.....	41
APPENDIX SIX	SECURITY CHARACTERISTICS AND FUNCTIONALITY OF PROPOSER'S INFORMATION RESOURCES	44
APPENDIX SEVEN	ADDENDA CHECKLIST.....	49

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Description of The University of Texas System

The University of Texas System is comprised of System Administration and institutions of higher education with campuses across the State of Texas whose missions are devoted to world class healthcare, teaching, research, and public service (collectively, “**UT System**”). Also included within UT System is UTIMCO, a 501(c)(3) entity that oversees investments for UT System and the Texas A&M System. UT System currently has health institutions and academic facilities, making it one of the larger education systems in the United States. With an operating budget of \$14.6 billion, UT System has a current student enrollment exceeding 216,000. UT System employs more than 87,000 faculty and staff, making UT System one of the largest employers in the State of Texas.

UT System is composed of the following institutions:

- The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (UTSW)
- The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston (UTMB)
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (UTHSCH)
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio (UTHSCSA)
- The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (UTMDACC)
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler (UTHSCT)
- The University of Texas at Arlington (UTA)
- The University of Texas at Austin (UT Austin)
- The University of Texas at Dallas (UTD)
- The University of Texas at El Paso (UTEP)
- The University of Texas of the Permian Basin (UTPB)
- The University of Texas at San Antonio (UTSA)
- The University of Texas at Tyler (UTT)
- The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley (UTRGV)

1.2 Objective of this Request for Proposal

UT System is soliciting proposals in response to this RFP from qualified suppliers to provide aviation consulting services. The services may encompass (1) research on both commercial and 14 CFR Part 91 (“Part 91”) aircraft operations (2) review of air charter services (3) analysis of medical transport procedures (4) aircraft purchase and sale processes, or; (5) specific projects as directed by UT System executive officers. The successful Proposer to whom business may be awarded is referred to as the “**Preferred Supplier.**”

UT System is seeking to identify a Preferred Supplier that will provide the most practical and cost-effective business model. The goal of this RFP is to work toward solutions that will minimize cost, while maintaining or improving current service levels. Proposer is encouraged to provide solutions involving HUB suppliers, where possible (ref. **Section 2.5** of this RFP).

Proposer is invited to submit a proposal to establish a strategic business alliance with UT System that will maximize the resources of both organizations to most effectively meet the requirements specified in this RFP. Specifically, any contract resulting from this RFP will:

- Establish a relationship with Preferred Supplier with an established record of assisting Part 91 aircraft operations, higher education and state government entities.
- Provide prompt access to current information regarding all aspects of aviation
- Provide a comprehensive and guaranteed pricing structure for Services

Proposer should propose charges for the Services that will be guaranteed to be best value when compared to the then-current market rates for comparable services available from other reputable service providers. UT System will work with the Preferred Supplier to develop business processes that will foster a strong working relationship and produce a win-win for both parties.

Proposers should understand that terms contained in their final proposal submitted to UT System may become part of the successful Proposer's final contract.

UT System may ask Proposer(s) to provide a formal presentation, prior to contract award, with additional information to subject matter experts or executive officers. This presentation will help clarify any technical, quality, or price-based questions that may arise from Proposer's response.

1.3 Background and Scope of Opportunity

UT System owns and operates a government registered public use aircraft (King Air 350). Its use is governed by and operated in accordance with Federal Aviation Administration Part 91 General Operations and Flight Rules, State of Texas Government Code and UT System Regents' Rules.

In addition, UT System institutions engage charter operators for executive and team travel, aerial surveys, and work with medical transport for patient services at the health institutions.

Aviation consulting services, on an as needed basis, are required to assist with these initiatives and other special projects that arise.

SECTION 2 **NOTICE TO PROPOSER**

2.1 Submittal Deadline

UT System will accept proposals submitted in response to this RFP until **3:00 PM**, Central Standard Time, on March 3, 2016 (the "**Submittal Deadline**").

2.2 UT System Contact Person

Proposers will direct all questions or concerns regarding this RFP to the following UT System contact person (the "**UT System Contact**"):

Nancy Sutherland
Aircraft Operations and Travel Program Director

The University of Texas System
 Email: nsutherland@utsystem.edu

UT System specifically instructs interested parties to restrict all contact and questions regarding this RFP to written communications forwarded to the UT System Contact. The UT System Contact must receive all questions or concerns no later than **5:00 PM**, Central Standard Time, on **February 22, 2016**. The UT System Contact will confer with subject matter experts and will respond to questions or concerns within a reasonable time. It is UT System's intent to respond to all appropriate questions and concerns; however, UT System reserves the right to decline to respond.

2.3 Criteria for Selection

Successful Proposer, if any, selected by UT System in accordance with the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP will be the Proposer that submits a proposal in response to this RFP, on or before the Submittal Deadline, that is most advantageous to UT System.

Proposer is encouraged to propose terms and conditions offering the maximum benefit to UT System in terms of (1) products and services to be provided and (2) total overall cost.

An evaluation team comprised of aircraft operations, travel, risk management, legal and purchasing staff from UT System will evaluate proposals. The evaluation of proposals and the selection of a Preferred Supplier will be based on the information provided by Proposer in its proposal. UT System may give consideration to additional information if UT System deems such information relevant.

The criteria to be considered by UT System in evaluating proposals and selecting Preferred Supplier, will be those factors listed below:

2.3.1 Criteria Not Scored

- 2.3.1.1 Ability of UT System to comply with laws regarding Historically Underutilized Businesses;
- 2.3.1.2 Ability of UT System to comply with laws regarding purchases from persons with disabilities; and

2.3.2 Scored Criteria

- 2.3.2.1 Extent to which services meet UT System's needs
- 2.3.2.2 Reputation and quality of Proposer services, including similar work for other institutions of higher education;
- 2.3.2.3 Cost of services
- 2.3.2.4 Proposer's past relationship with UT System;
- 2.3.2.5 The total long-term cost of acquiring Proposer's goods or services; and
- 2.3.2.6 Proposer's exceptions to the terms and conditions referenced in **Section 4** of this RFP.

2.4 Key Events Schedule

Issuance of RFP	February 1, 2016
Pre-Proposal Conference Call – Participation Is Optional (ref. Section 2.6 of this RFP)	February 10, 2016
Deadline for Questions/Concerns (ref. Section 2.2 of this RFP)	February 22, 2016
Submittal Deadline (ref. Section 2.1 of this RFP)	March 3, 2016
Selection of Finalists	March 28-April 1, 2016
Finalists Interviews (if applicable)	April 11-15, 2016
Anticipated Contract Award	May 2, 2016

IMPORTANT NOTICE: The Key Events Schedule represents sourcing and contracting activities occurring within a short period of time. Proposer is asked in advance to make the following resources available to expedite the selection and contracting process:

1. If selected as a finalist, Proposer may be required to attend an interview session that includes a face-to-face meeting. The location of this activity is Austin, Texas.
2. If selected for contract award, Proposer should have its chief legal and business officers available for discussion via phone with 72 hours of notice of award.

2.5 Historically Underutilized Businesses

2.5.1 All agencies of the State of Texas are required to make a good faith effort to assist historically underutilized businesses (each a “HUB”) in receiving contract awards. The goal of the HUB program is to promote full and equal business opportunity for all businesses in contracting with state agencies. Pursuant to the HUB program, if under the terms of any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP, Preferred Supplier subcontracts any of its performance hereunder, Preferred Supplier must make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs certified by the Texas Procurement and Support Services Division of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts or any successor agency. **Proposals that fail to comply with the requirements contained in this Section 2.5 will constitute a material failure to comply with advertised specifications and will be rejected by UT System as non-responsive.** Additionally, compliance with good faith effort guidelines is a condition precedent to awarding any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP. Proposer acknowledges that, if selected by UT System, its obligation to make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs when subcontracting hereunder will continue throughout the term of all agreements and contractual arrangements resulting from this RFP. Furthermore, any subcontracting hereunder by Proposer is subject to review by UT System to ensure compliance with the HUB program.

- 2.5.2 UT System has reviewed this RFP in accordance with Title 34, *Texas Administrative Code*, Section 20.13 (a), and has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable under this RFP.
- 2.5.3 **A HUB Subcontracting Plan (“HSP”) is required as part of Proposer’s proposal. The HSP will be developed and administered in accordance with UT System’s Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses attached as APPENDIX TWO and incorporated herein for all purposes.**

Each Proposer must complete and return the HSP in accordance with the terms and conditions of this RFP, including APPENDIX TWO. Proposals that fail to do so will be considered non-responsive to this RFP in accordance with Section 2161.252, Texas Government Code.

Preferred Supplier will not be permitted to change its HSP unless: (1) Preferred Supplier completes a newly modified version of the HSP in accordance with the terms of **APPENDIX TWO** that sets forth all changes requested by Preferred Supplier, (2) Preferred Supplier provides UT System with such modified version of the HSP, (3) UT System approves the modified HSP in writing, and (4) all agreements or contractual arrangements resulting from this RFP are amended in writing by UT System and Preferred Supplier to conform to the modified HSP.

- 2.5.4 **Proposer must submit one (1) signed copy of the HSP to UT System at the same time as it submits its proposal to UT System (ref. Section 3.1 of this RFP).**

Any proposal submitted in response to this RFP that is not accompanied by an HSP Packet meeting the above requirements will be rejected by UT System and remain unopened, as that proposal will be considered non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications. Furthermore, UT System will open a Proposer’s HSP Packet prior to opening the proposal submitted by Proposer, in order to ensure that Proposer has submitted a signed copy of the Proposer’s HSP Packet as required by this RFP. A Proposer’s failure to submit a signed copy of the completed HSP Packet as required by this RFP will result in UT System’s rejection of the proposal submitted by that Proposer as non-responsive, due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications; such a proposal will remain unopened and will be disqualified and not reviewed by UT System (ref. **Section 1.5 of APPENDIX ONE** to this RFP).

Note: The requirement that Proposer provide a signed and completed HSP Packet under this **Section 2.5.4** is separate from and does not affect Proposer’s obligation to provide UT System with its proposal as specified in **Section 3.1** of this RFP.

Questions regarding the submission of the required HSP may be directed to Amy Nemetz, HUB Coordinator (Phone: 512-499-4485 / Email: anemetz@utsystem.edu). Contacting Amy to ensure compliance is encouraged.

2.6 Pre-Proposal Conference Call

UT System will hold a pre-proposal conference call at 10 a.m., Central Standard Time, on February 10, 2016.

The Pre-Proposal Call will allow all Proposers an opportunity to ask relevant questions, including HUB requirements, and clarify provisions of this RFP. Proposer should notify the UT System Contact **no later than 10 a.m. Central Standard time on February 8, 2016**, whether it will participate in the Pre-Proposal Call, by emailing the UT System Contact at nsutherland@utsystem.edu. Conference line information will be provided at that time. **Participation is optional.**

SECTION 3

SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL

3.1 Submission of Proposals

Proposer must submit a total of five (5) complete and identical printed copies of its entire proposal as well as one (1) complete electronic version of the proposal, contained on a CD, memory stick or card. An original signature by an authorized officer of the Proposer must appear on the Execution of Offer of at least one (1) copy of the submitted proposal. **The copy of the Proposer's proposal bearing an original signature should contain the mark "original: on the front cover of the proposal."**

Proposals must be received by System on or before the Submittal Deadline and should be delivered to:

Ms. Jenny Murphy
 Director of Accounting and Purchasing Services
 210 West 6th Street
 Suite B140E
 Austin, TX 78701

3.2 Proposal Validity Period

Each proposal must state that it will remain valid for UT System's acceptance for a minimum of one hundred eighty (180) days after the Submittal Deadline, to allow time for evaluation, selection, and any unforeseen delays.

3.3 Terms and Conditions

3.3.1 Proposer must comply with the requirements and specifications contained in this RFP, the General Terms and Conditions (ref. **Section 4** of this RFP), the Notice to Proposer (ref. **Section 2** of this RFP), Proposal Requirements (ref. **APPENDIX ONE**) and the Specifications, Additional Questions and Scope of Work (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP). If there is a conflict among the provisions in this RFP, the provision requiring Proposer to supply the better quality or greater quantity of goods and services will prevail, or if such conflict does not involve quality or quantity, then interpretation will be in the following order of precedence:

3.3.1.1 Specifications, Additional Questions and Scope of Work (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP);

3.3.1.2 General Terms and Conditions (ref. **Section 4** of this RFP);

3.3.1.3. Proposal Requirements (ref. **APPENDIX ONE**); and

3.3.1.4 Notice to Proposer (ref. **Section 2** of this RFP).

3.4 Submittal Checklist

Proposer is instructed to complete, sign, and submit the following documents as a part of its proposal. If Proposer fails to return each of the following items with its proposal, UT System may reject the proposal.

3.4.1 Signed and Completed Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**).

3.4.2 Responses to questions and requests for information in the Specifications, Additional Questions and Scope of Work Section (ref. Section 5 of this RFP)

3.4.3 Signed and Completed Pricing Affirmation (ref. Section 6 of this RFP)

3.4.4 Signed and completed copy of the HUB Subcontracting Plan or other applicable documents (ref. Section 2.5 of this RFP and Appendix Two)

3.4.5 Responses to Proposer's Survey (ref. Section 5.5 of this RFP)

3.4.6 Proposer's Price Schedule (ref. Section 6 of this RFP)

SECTION 4 **GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS**

4.1 Information regarding Structure of Transaction and Terms and Conditions

The structure of any transaction that UT System enters into as a result of this RFP is anticipated to be substantially similar to the following:

(1) UT System will enter into a contract for services with the Preferred Supplier.

(2) Throughout the term of the contract System Administration will, from time to time, contract for services to fit specific program needs.

(3) Preferred Supplier will invoice for services on a monthly or per project basis

If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions set forth in the appended agreement template, Proposer must submit a list of the exceptions as part of its proposal in accordance with **Section 5.3** of this RFP. Proposer's exceptions will be reviewed by UT System and may result in disqualification of Proposer's proposal as non-responsive to this RFP. If Proposer's exceptions do not result in disqualification of the proposal, UT System may consider Proposer's exceptions when UT System evaluates the proposal.

The agreements resulting from execution of applicable forms described in this Section are sometimes collectively referred to in this RFP as the “**Agreement.**”

SECTION 5

SPECIFICATIONS, ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS AND SCOPE OF WORK

5.1 General

The requirements and specifications for the Services, as well as certain requests for information to be provided by Proposer as part of its proposal, are set forth below.

5.2 Minimum Requirements

5.2.1 Proposer meets all requirements as outlined in the Scope of Work

5.2.2 Proposer meets all requirements outlined in the Pricing Schedule

5.3 Additional Questions Specific to this RFP and Scope of Work

Proposer must submit the following information:

5.3.1 In its proposal, Proposer must indicate its expertise in higher education aircraft operations as well as aircraft safety and risk management.

5.3.2 Whether it will consent to include in the Agreement the “Access by Individuals with Disabilities” language that is set forth in **APPENDIX FOUR**. If Proposer objects to the inclusion of the “Access by Individuals with Disabilities” language in the Agreement, Proposer must, as part of its proposal, specifically identify and describe in detail all of the reasons for Proposer’s objection. **NOTE THAT A GENERAL OBJECTION IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE RESPONSE TO THIS QUESTION.**

5.3.3 If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions referenced in **Section 4** of this RFP, Proposer must submit a list of the exceptions.

5.3.4 Proposers will provide answers to the questions listed in the Proposer’s Survey (“**Proposer’s Survey**”) (ref. **Section 5.5** of this RFP) to the best of Proposer’s knowledge, as responses may be incorporated into the Agreement. The questions in the Proposer’s Survey will provide UT System with additional information about Proposer and various efficiencies and economies of scale.

5.3.5 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in **APPENDIX FIVE**, Electronic and Information Resources (“**EIR**”) Environment Specifications. **APPENDIX FIVE** will establish specifications, representations, warranties and agreements related to the EIR that Proposer is offering to provide. Responses to **APPENDIX FIVE** will be incorporated into the Agreement and will be binding on Proposer.

5.3.5 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in **APPENDIX SIX**, Security Characteristics and Functionality of Contractor’s Information Resources. **APPENDIX SIX** will establish specifications, representations, warranties and agreements related to the

EIR that Proposer is offering to provide. Responses to **APPENDIX SIX** will be incorporated into the Agreement and will be binding on Proposer.

5.4 Scope of Work

The details noted below may form the basis for additional provisions to be included in the Agreement between UT System and any Preferred Supplier. The following outlines the essential requirements for the supply of Services. The Proposer acknowledges and understands that this RFP provides a general description of the work to be performed and is not intended to be all inclusive. Proposer must be familiar with the requirements and general conditions that are essential to provide the Services consistent with industry best practices and in accordance with all licensing, regulations, and professional standards.

Services within the scope of this RFP include, but are not limited to, the following:

5.4.1 General Description.

UT System and its institutions utilize an array of aviation services. UT System owns and operates a government registered public use aircraft (King Air 350). Its use is governed by and operated in accordance with Federal Aviation Administration Part 91 General Operations and Flight Rules, State of Texas Government Code and UT System Regents' Rules. In addition, UT System institutions engage charter brokers or operators for executive and team travel and work with medical transport providers for patient services at the health institutions. Aviation consulting services, on an as needed basis, are required to assist with these initiatives and other special projects as requested by executive officers.

5.4.2 Preferred Supplier Account Support Team.

Preferred Supplier will provide an Account Representative with the authority and responsibility for the overall success of the Agreement within the Preferred Supplier's organization. The Preferred Supplier representative assigned to the account will be responsible for: (i) receiving and providing ongoing communications by and between the Preferred Supplier and UT System; (ii) monitoring all interactions with UT System and providing updates to the UT System Contract Administrator; (iii) identifying and fostering process improvements; (iv) serving as the liaison to engage resources with the Preferred Supplier's organization, and; (v) organizing Quarterly Business Reviews ("QBR"). An alternate must also be designated to assist when the Account Representative is unavailable.

5.4.3 Invoicing.

Preferred Supplier will invoice System on a monthly or project basis identifying all fees earned and reimbursable expenses incurred. Invoices must contain the following information:

- Contract Number
- Preferred Suppliers tax ID number
- Identification of billing period

- Itemized description of services including names of individuals who worked on an assigned project, amount of time and applicable billing rates
- Total amount of invoice
- Copies of all receipts in support of any reimbursable expenses

Expenses and Disbursements: Preferred Supplier will be reimbursed without mark-up for reasonable expenses (including meals, lodging, ground transportation and lowest applicable coach class airfare consistent with State of Texas and UT System travel rules) validly incurred directly or solely, when approved in advance and in support of a UT System assigned project. As a condition precedent to receiving reimbursement, Preferred Supplier must submit all receipts, invoices and other documentation as required by System.

Preferred Supplier will resolve all order and invoice discrepancies within five (5) business days after written notification or, if because of their nature, the discrepancies cannot be resolved within that time frame, Preferred Supplier will take all of the steps the UT System's purchasing department deems necessary.

5.4.4 Relationship Management.

Preferred Supplier and the UT System Contract Administrator will review processes and procedures once each quarter. Reviews may be conducted in-person or by phone.

5.4.5 Pricing Schedule

Pricing established in Proposer's response to this RFP (**ref. Section 6 of this RFP and Section 6 of the Proposer's Survey**) will remain firm and fixed through the first two years of the agreement. Thereafter, for each subsequent UT System fiscal year (September 1 through August 31), Preferred Supplier and the UT System Contract Administrator will agree in writing on the pricing for such year in advance.

5.5 Proposer's Survey

Proposer must complete the Proposer's Survey (see attachment).

The Proposer's Survey contains a list of additional questions the Proposer will answer when responding to this RFP. Proposer may provide additional supporting information in a logical and clear manner as an attachment to the Survey.

Finally, Proposer is encouraged to specify any special certifications, awards, or other industry recognizable achievements that might set it apart from its competitors.

**SECTION 6
PRICING SCHEDULE AND AFFIRMATION**

6.1 Pricing Schedule

Proposer must submit its rate structure in **Section 6 of the Proposer’s Survey**, as part of its proposal, for the Services described in **Section 5.4** (Scope of Work) of this RFP. The prices must include all charges associated with providing the full scope of work.

6.2 Pricing Affirmation

THE FOLLOWING FORM MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND SUBMITTED WITH THE PROPOSER’S PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO DO SO WILL RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR PROPOSAL.

Proposal of: _____
(Proposer Company Name)

To: The University of Texas System
Ref.:
RFP No.

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Having carefully examined all the specifications and requirements of this RFP and any attachments thereto, the undersigned proposes to furnish the subject Services upon the pricing terms quoted below (e.g. hourly rate based on consulting firms various subject matter experts; lump sum based on project size, etc.).

[Proposer to insert pricing terms]

Subject to the requirements of the Texas Prompt Payment Act (Chapter 2251, *Texas Government Code*), UT System’s standard payment terms are “Net 30 days.”

Proposer certifies and agrees that all prices proposed in Proposer’s proposal have been reviewed and approved by Proposer’s executive management.

Respectfully submitted,

Proposer: _____

By: _____
(Authorized Signature for Proposer)

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

APPENDIX ONE

PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

SECTION 1 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Purpose

UT System is soliciting competitive sealed proposals from Proposers having suitable qualifications and experience providing goods and services in accordance with the terms, conditions and requirements set forth in this RFP. This RFP provides sufficient information for interested parties to prepare and submit proposals for consideration by UT System.

By submitting a proposal, Proposer certifies that it understands this RFP and has full knowledge of the scope, nature, quality, and quantity of the goods and services to be performed, the detailed requirements of the goods and services to be provided, and the conditions under which such goods and services are to be performed. Proposer also certifies that it understands that all costs relating to preparing a response to this RFP will be the sole responsibility of Proposer.

PROPOSER IS CAUTIONED TO READ THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS RFP CAREFULLY AND TO SUBMIT A COMPLETE RESPONSE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS AND QUESTIONS AS DIRECTED.

1.2 Inquiries and Interpretations

UT System may in its sole discretion respond in writing to written inquiries concerning this RFP and post its response as an Addendum to all parties recorded by UT System as participating in this RFP. Only UT System's responses that are made by formal written Addenda will be binding on UT System. Any verbal responses, written interpretations or clarifications other than Addenda to this RFP will be without legal effect. All Addenda issued by UT System prior to the Submittal Deadline will be and are hereby incorporated as a part of this RFP for all purposes.

Proposers are required to acknowledge receipt of each Addendum by submitting the Addenda Checklist contained in this RFP. Each Addendum must be acknowledged by Proposer prior to the Submittal Deadline and should accompany Proposer's proposal.

1.3 Public Information

Proposer is hereby notified that UT System strictly adheres to all statutes, court decisions and the opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of public information.

UT System may seek to protect from disclosure all information submitted in response to this RFP until such time as a final agreement is executed.

Upon execution of a final agreement, UT System will consider all information, documentation, and other materials requested to be submitted in response to this RFP, to be of a non-confidential and non-proprietary nature and, therefore, subject to public disclosure under the *Texas Public Information Act (Government Code, Chapter 552.001, et seq.)*. Proposer will be

advised of a request for public information that implicates their materials and will have the opportunity to raise any objections to disclosure to the Texas Attorney General. Certain information may be protected from release under Sections 552.101, 552.110, 552.113, and 552.131, *Government Code*.

1.4 Type of Agreement

Preferred Supplier, if any, will be required to enter into an agreement with UT System in a form that (i) includes terms and conditions substantially similar to those set forth in **Section 4** of this RFP, and (ii) is otherwise acceptable to UT System in all respects.

1.5 Proposal Evaluation Process

UT System will select Preferred Supplier by using the competitive sealed proposal process described in this Section. UT System will open the HSP Packet submitted by a Proposer prior to opening Proposer's proposal in order to ensure that Proposer has submitted the completed and signed HUB Subcontracting Plan (also called the HSP) that is required by this RFP (ref. **Section 2.5.4** of the RFP). All proposals submitted by the Submittal Deadline accompanied by the completed and signed HSP required by this RFP will be opened. Any proposals that are not submitted by the Submittal Date **or that are not accompanied by the completed and signed HSP required by this RFP will be rejected by UT System as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications.** After the opening of the proposals and upon completion of the initial review and evaluation of the proposals, UT System may invite one or more selected Proposers to participate in oral presentations. UT System will use commercially reasonable efforts to avoid public disclosure of the contents of a proposal prior to selection of Preferred Supplier.

UT System may make the selection of Preferred Supplier on the basis of the proposals initially submitted, without discussion, clarification or modification. In the alternative, UT System may make the selection of Preferred Supplier on the basis of negotiation with any of Proposers. In conducting such negotiations, UT System will avoid disclosing the contents of competing proposals.

At UT System's sole option and discretion, UT System may discuss and negotiate all elements of the proposals submitted by selected Proposers within a specified competitive range. For purposes of negotiation, UT System may establish, after an initial review of the proposals, a competitive range of acceptable or potentially acceptable proposals composed of the highest rated proposal(s). In that event, UT System will defer further action on proposals not included within the competitive range pending the selection of Preferred Supplier; provided, however, UT System reserves the right to include additional proposals in the competitive range if deemed to be in the best interests of UT System.

After submission of a proposal but before final selection of Preferred Supplier is made, UT System may permit a Proposer to revise its proposal in order to obtain Proposer's best and final offer. In that event, representations made by Proposer in its revised proposal, including price and fee quotes, will be binding on Proposer. UT System will provide each Proposer within the competitive range with an equal opportunity for discussion and revision of its proposal. UT System is not obligated to select Proposer offering the most attractive economic terms if that Proposer is not the most advantageous to UT System overall, as determined by UT System.

UT System reserves the right to (a) enter into an agreement for all or any portion of the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP with one or more Proposers, (b) reject any and all proposals and re-solicit proposals, or (c) reject any and all proposals and temporarily or permanently abandon this selection process, if deemed to be in the best interests of UT System. Proposer is hereby notified that UT System will maintain in its files concerning this RFP a written record of the basis upon which a selection, if any, is made by UT System.

1.6 Proposer's Acceptance of Evaluation Methodology

By submitting a proposal, Proposer acknowledges (1) Proposer's acceptance of [a] the Proposal Evaluation Process (ref. **Section 1.5 of APPENDIX ONE**), [b] the Criteria for Selection (ref. **2.3** of this RFP), [c] the Specifications, Additional Questions and Scope of Work (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP), [d] the terms and conditions set forth in **Section 4** of this RFP, and [e] all other requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP; and (2) Proposer's recognition that some subjective judgments must be made by UT System during this RFP process.

1.7 Solicitation for Proposal and Proposal Preparation Costs

Proposer understands and agrees that (1) this RFP is a solicitation for proposals and UT System has made no representation written or oral that one or more agreements with UT System will be awarded under this RFP; (2) UT System issues this RFP predicated on UT System's anticipated requirements for the related goods and services, and UT System has made no representation, written or oral, that any particular goods or services will actually be required by UT System; and (3) Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost that arises from Proposer's preparation of a proposal in response to this RFP.

1.8 Proposal Requirements and General Instructions

- 1.8.1 Proposer should carefully read the information contained herein and submit a complete proposal in response to all requirements and questions as directed.
- 1.8.2 Proposals and any other information submitted by Proposer in response to this RFP will become the property of UT System.
- 1.8.3 UT System will not provide compensation to Proposer for any expenses incurred by Proposer for proposal preparation or for demonstrations or oral presentations that may be made by Proposer, unless otherwise expressly agreed in writing. Proposer submits its proposal at its own risk and expense.
- 1.8.4 Proposals that (i) are qualified with conditional clauses; (ii) alter, modify, or revise this RFP in any way; or (iii) contain irregularities of any kind, are subject to disqualification by UT System, at UT System's sole discretion.
- 1.8.5 ***Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward, concise description of Proposer's ability to meet the requirements and specifications of this RFP. Emphasis should be on completeness, clarity of content, and responsiveness to the requirements and specifications of this RFP.***
- 1.8.6 UT System makes no warranty or guarantee that an award will be made as a result of this RFP. UT System reserves the right to accept or reject any or all proposals, waive

any formalities, procedural requirements, or minor technical inconsistencies, and delete any requirement or specification from this RFP when deemed to be in UT System's best interest. UT System reserves the right to seek clarification from any Proposer concerning any item contained in its proposal prior to final selection. Such clarification may be provided by telephone conference or personal meeting with or writing to UT System, at UT System's sole discretion. Representations made by Proposer within its proposal will be binding on Proposer.

- 1.8.7 Any proposal that fails to comply with the requirements contained in this RFP may be rejected by UT System, in UT System's sole discretion.

1.9 Preparation and Submittal Instructions

1.9.1 Specifications and Additional Questions

Proposals must include responses to the questions referenced in Specifications, Additional Questions and Scope of Work (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP).

1.9.2 Execution of Offer

Proposer must complete, sign and return the attached Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**) as part of its proposal. The Execution of Offer must be signed by a representative of Proposer duly authorized to bind Proposer to its proposal. Any proposal received without a completed and signed Execution of Offer may be rejected by UT System, in its sole discretion.

1.9.3 Pricing Affirmation

Proposer must complete and return the Pricing Affirmation (ref. **Section 6** of this RFP), as part of its proposal.

UT System will not recognize or accept any charges or fees that are not specifically stated in the Pricing Affirmation.

1.9.4 Submission

Proposer should ensure that all documents are submitted in accordance with the instructions in **Section 3.1** of this RFP.

Proposer must also submit the HUB Subcontracting Plan (also called the HSP) as required by this RFP (ref. **Section 2.5** of the RFP.)

UT System will not, under any circumstances, consider a proposal that is received after the Submittal Deadline or which is not accompanied by the completed and signed HSP that is required by this RFP.

UT System will not accept proposals submitted by telephone, proposals submitted by Facsimile ("**FAX**") transmission, or proposals submitted by hard copy (i.e., paper form) in response to this RFP.

Except as otherwise provided in this RFP, no proposal may be changed, amended, or modified after it has been submitted to UT System. However, a proposal may be withdrawn and resubmitted at any time prior to the Submittal Deadline. No proposal may be withdrawn after the Submittal Deadline without UT System's consent, which will be based on Proposer's submittal of a written explanation and documentation evidencing a reason acceptable to UT System, in UT System's sole discretion.

By signing the Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**) and submitting a proposal, Proposer certifies that any terms, conditions, or documents attached to or referenced in its proposal are applicable to this procurement only to the extent that they (a) do not conflict with the laws of the State of Texas or this RFP and (b) do not place any requirements on UT System that are not set forth in this RFP or in the Appendices to this RFP. Proposer further certifies that the submission of a proposal is Proposer's good faith intent to enter into the Agreement with UT System as specified herein and that such intent is not contingent upon UT System's acceptance or execution of any terms, conditions, or other documents attached to or referenced in Proposer's proposal.

SECTION 2 **EXECUTION OF OFFER**

THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER WITH PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF THE PROPOSAL.

- 2.1** By signature hereon, Proposer represents and warrants the following:
- 2.1.1 Proposer acknowledges and agrees that (1) this RFP is a solicitation for a proposal and is not a contract or an offer to contract; (2) the submission of a proposal by Proposer in response to this RFP will not create a contract between UT System and Proposer; (3) UT System has made no representation or warranty, written or oral, that one or more contracts with UT System will be awarded under this RFP; and (4) Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost arising from Proposer's preparation of a response to this RFP.
 - 2.1.2 Proposer is a reputable company that is lawfully and regularly engaged in providing the subject goods and services.
 - 2.1.3 Proposer has the necessary experience, knowledge, abilities, skills, and resources to perform under the Agreement.
 - 2.1.4 Proposer is aware of, is fully informed about, and is in full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and ordinances.
 - 2.1.5 Proposer understands (i) the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP and (ii) the terms and conditions set forth in Section 4 of this RFP, under which Proposer will be required to operate.

- 2.1.6 If selected by UT System, Proposer will not delegate any of its duties or responsibilities under this RFP or the Agreement to any sub-contractor, except as expressly provided in the Agreement.
- 2.1.7 If selected by UT System, Proposer will maintain any insurance coverage as required by the Agreement during the term thereof.
- 2.1.8 All statements, information and representations prepared and submitted in response to this RFP are current, complete, true and accurate. Proposer acknowledges that UT System will rely on such statements, information and representations in selecting Preferred Supplier. If selected by UT System, Proposer will notify UT System immediately of any material change in any matters with regard to which Proposer has made a statement or representation or provided information.
- 2.1.9 PROPOSER WILL DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UT SYSTEM, INDEMNIFY, AND HOLD HARMLESS UT SYSTEM, THE STATE OF TEXAS, AND ALL OF THEIR REGENTS, OFFICERS, AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES, FROM AND AGAINST ALL ACTIONS, SUITS, DEMANDS, COSTS, DAMAGES, LIABILITIES AND OTHER CLAIMS OF ANY NATURE, KIND OR DESCRIPTION, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES INCURRED IN INVESTIGATING, DEFENDING OR SETTLING ANY OF THE FOREGOING, ARISING OUT OF, CONNECTED WITH, OR RESULTING FROM ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS OR OMISSIONS OR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OF PROPOSER OR ANY AGENT, EMPLOYEE, SUBCONTRACTOR, OR SUPPLIER OF PROPOSER IN THE EXECUTION OR PERFORMANCE OF ANY CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT RESULTING FROM THIS RFP.
- 2.1.10 Pursuant to Sections 2107.008 and 2252.903, *Government Code*, any payments owing to Proposer under any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be applied directly to any debt or delinquency that Proposer owes the State of Texas or any agency of the State of Texas regardless of when it arises, until such debt or delinquency is paid in full.
- 2.2 By signature hereon, Proposer offers and agrees to comply with all terms, conditions, requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP.
- 2.3 By signature hereon, Proposer affirms that it has not given or offered to give, nor does Proposer intend to give at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to a public servant in connection with its submitted proposal. Failure to sign this Execution of Offer, or signing with a false statement, may void the submitted proposal or any resulting contracts, and Proposer may be removed from all proposal lists at UT System.
- 2.4 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies that it is not currently delinquent in the payment of any taxes due under Chapter 171, *Tax Code*, or that Proposer is exempt from the payment of those taxes, or that Proposer is an out-of-state taxable entity that is not subject to those taxes, whichever is applicable. A false certification will be deemed a material breach of any resulting contract or agreement and, at UT System's option, may result in termination of any resulting contract or agreement.
- 2.5 By signature hereon, Proposer hereby certifies that neither Proposer nor any firm, corporation, partnership or institution represented by Proposer, or anyone acting for such firm, corporation or institution, has violated the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, codified in Section 15.01, et seq., *Business and Commerce Code*, or the Federal antitrust laws, nor communicated directly

or indirectly the proposal made to any competitor or any other person engaged in such line of business.

- 2.6 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies that the individual signing this document and the documents made a part of this RFP, is authorized to sign such documents on behalf of Proposer and to bind Proposer under any agreements and other contractual arrangements that may result from the submission of Proposer's proposal.
- 2.7 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies as follows:
- "Under Section 231.006, *Family Code*, relating to child support, Proposer certifies that the individual or business entity named in Proposer's proposal is not ineligible to receive the specified contract award and acknowledges that any agreements or other contractual arrangements resulting from this RFP may be terminated if this certification is inaccurate."
- 2.8 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies that (i) no relationship, whether by blood, marriage, business association, capital funding agreement or by any other such kinship or connection exists between the owner of any Proposer that is a sole proprietorship, the officers or directors of any Proposer that is a corporation, the partners of any Proposer that is a partnership, the joint venturers of any Proposer that is a joint venture or the members or managers of any Proposer that is a limited liability company, on one hand, and any member of the Board of Regents of the University of Texas System or an employee of any component of The University of Texas System, on the other hand, other than the relationships which have been previously disclosed to UT System in writing; (ii) Proposer has not been an employee of any component institution of The University of Texas System within the immediate twelve (12) months prior to the Submittal Deadline; and (iii) no person who, in the past four (4) years served as an executive of a state agency was involved with or has any interest in Proposer's proposal or any contract resulting from this RFP (ref. Section 669.003, *Government Code*). All disclosures by Proposer in connection with this certification will be subject to administrative review and approval before UT System enters into a contract or agreement with Proposer.
- 2.9 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies that in accordance with Section 2155.004, *Government Code*, no compensation has been received for its participation in the preparation of the requirements or specifications for this RFP. In addition, Proposer certifies that an award of a contract to Proposer will not violate Section 2155.006, *Government Code*, prohibiting UT System from entering into a contract that involves financial participation by a person who, during the previous five years, has been convicted of violating federal law or assessed a penalty in a federal civil or administrative enforcement action in connection with a contract awarded by the federal government for relief, recovery, or reconstruction efforts as a result of Hurricane Rita, Hurricane Katrina, or any other disaster occurring after September 24, 2005. Pursuant to Sections 2155.004 and 2155.006, *Government Code*, Proposer certifies that Proposer is not ineligible to receive the award of or payments under the Agreement and acknowledges that the Agreement may be terminated and payment withheld if these certifications are inaccurate.
- 2.10 By signature hereon, Proposer certifies its compliance with all federal laws and regulations pertaining to Equal Employment Opportunities and Affirmative Action.
- 2.11 By signature hereon, Proposer represents and warrants that all products and services offered to UT System in response to this RFP meet or exceed the safety standards established and promulgated under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Law (Public Law 91-596) and

the *Texas Hazard Communication Act*, Chapter 502, *Health and Safety Code*, and all related regulations in effect or proposed as of the date of this RFP.

2.12 Proposer will and has disclosed, as part of its proposal, any exceptions to the certifications stated in this Execution of Offer. All such disclosures will be subject to administrative review and approval prior to the time UT System makes an award or enters into any contract or agreement with Proposer.

2.13 **Proposer should complete the following information:**

If Proposer is a Corporation, then State of Incorporation: _____

If Proposer is a Corporation then Proposer's Corporate Charter Number: _____

RFP No.: UTS - _____

NOTICE: WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED ON REQUEST TO BE INFORMED ABOUT THE INFORMATION THAT GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS COLLECT ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS. UNDER SECTIONS 552.021 AND 552.023, *GOVERNMENT CODE*, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE AND REVIEW SUCH INFORMATION. UNDER SECTION 559.004, *GOVERNMENT CODE*, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS CORRECT INFORMATION ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS THAT IS INCORRECT.

THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER WITH PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF THE PROPOSAL.

Submitted and Certified By:

(Proposer Institution's Name)

(Signature of Duly Authorized Representative)

(Printed Name/Title)

(Date Signed)

(Proposer's Street Address)

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Telephone Number)

(FAX Number)

**APPENDIX TWO
REQUIRED FORMS**

**UT SYSTEM POLICY ON UTILIZATION OF
HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSES**

Questions regarding the submission of the required HSP may be directed to Amy Nemetz, HUB Coordinator (Phone: 512-499-4485 / Email: anemetz@utsystem.edu).

FORMS: SEE ATTACHMENT FOR REQUIRED FORMS

IMPORTANT NOTE: Proposals that fail to accurately complete and return the HSP FORMS will be considered non-responsive and subsequently disqualified from consideration.

APPENDIX THREE

FORM OF PREFERRED SUPPLIER AGREEMENT

For

Aviation Consulting Services

Between

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM

And

University of Texas Agreement Number: _____

This Preferred Supplier Agreement, dated effective as of July 1, 2016 (“**Effective Date**”), is made by and between **The University of Texas System (“UT System”)**, a state agency and institution of higher education authorized under the laws of the State of Texas, and _____, a _____ corporation, Federal Tax Identification Number _____, with its principal offices located at _____.

This Agreement specifies the terms and conditions applicable to the supply by Preferred Supplier of certain aviation consulting services, all as further described below.

Now, therefore, the parties, intending to be legally bound, agree as follows:

SECTION 1 – Scope of Work:

- 1.1 Preferred Supplier will perform the Scope of Work as outlined below to the satisfaction of System.
- 1.2 Preferred Supplier will obtain at its own cost any and all approvals, licenses, filings, registrations and permits required by federal, state or local laws, regulations or ordinances, for the performance of the Work.
- 1.3 Research on both commercial and Part 91 aircraft operations
- 1.4 Review air charter services and processes
- 1.5 Provide analysis of medical transport services

- 1.6 Assist with aircraft purchase and sale processes
- 1.7 Assist with special projects as directed by UT System executive officers

SECTION 2 – Term:

This Agreement will begin on the Effective Date *with an initial fixed term of five years*, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement. Based on mutual agreement, UT System will have the option to extend the term of this Agreement for three additional one-year periods, upon written notice given to Preferred Supplier at least 90 days in advance of the renewal term.

SECTION 3 – Amendment:

No change, modification, alteration, or waiver of this Agreement will be effective unless it is set forth in a written agreement that is signed by UT System and Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 4 – Performance by Preferred Supplier:

Preferred Supplier will perform its obligations under this Agreement to the satisfaction of UT System. UT System will not have any obligation to accept late performance or waive timely performance by Preferred Supplier. Preferred Supplier will obtain, at its own cost, any and all approvals, licenses, filings, registrations and permits required by federal, state or local laws, regulations or ordinances, for its performance hereunder.

SECTION 5 – Family Code Child Support Certification:

Pursuant to Section 231.006, *Family Code*, Preferred Supplier certifies that it is not ineligible to receive the award of or payments under this Agreement and acknowledges that this Agreement may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate.

SECTION 6 – Eligibility Certifications:

Pursuant to Sections 2155.004 and 2155.006, *Texas Government Code*, Preferred Supplier certifies that it has not received compensation for participation in the preparation of the Request for Proposal related to this Agreement and is not ineligible to receive the award of or payments under this Agreement; and acknowledges that this Agreement may be terminated and payment withheld if these certifications are inaccurate.

Pursuant to Section 361.965, Texas Health and Safety Code, Preferred Supplier also certifies that it is in full compliance with the State of Texas Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act set forth in Chapter 361, Subchapter Y, Texas Health and Safety Code, and the rules adopted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality under that Act as set forth in Title 30, Chapter 328, Subchapter I, Texas Administrative Code. Preferred Supplier acknowledges that this Agreement may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate. Refer to:

<http://www.tceq.state.tx.us/p2/recycle/electronics/computer-recycling.html>.

SECTION 7 – Tax Certification:

If Preferred Supplier is a taxable entity as defined by Chapter 171, Texas Tax Code (“**Chapter 171**”), then Preferred Supplier certifies that it is not currently delinquent in the payment of any taxes due under Chapter 171, or that Preferred Supplier is exempt from the payment of those taxes, or is an out-of-state taxable entity that is not subject to those taxes, whichever is applicable.

SECTION 8 – Payment of Debt or Delinquency to the State:

Pursuant to Sections 2107.008 and 2252.903, *Texas Government Code*, Preferred Supplier agrees that any payments to Preferred Supplier under this Agreement may be applied directly toward any debt or delinquency that it owes the State of Texas or any agency of the State of Texas regardless of when it arises, until such debt or delinquency is paid in full.

SECTION 9 – Loss of Funding:

Performance by UT System under this Agreement may be dependent upon the appropriation and allotment of funds by the Texas State Legislature (the “**Legislature**”) and/or allocation of funds by the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System (the “**Board**”). If the Legislature fails to appropriate or allot the necessary funds, or the Board fails to allocate the necessary funds, then UT System will issue written notice to Preferred Supplier and UT System may terminate this Agreement without further duty or obligation hereunder, other than payment for goods and services already delivered or provided. Preferred Supplier acknowledges that appropriation, allotment, and allocation of funds are beyond the control of UT System.

SECTION 10 – Force Majeure:

None of the parties to this Agreement will be liable or responsible to another for any loss or damage or for any delays or failure to perform due to causes beyond its reasonable control including acts of God, strikes, epidemics, war, riots, flood, fire, sabotage, or any other circumstances of like character (“**force majeure occurrence**”). Provided, however, in the event of a force majeure occurrence, Broker agrees to use its best efforts to mitigate the impact of the occurrence so that UT Party may continue to provide healthcare services during the occurrence.

SECTION 11 – Notices:

Except as otherwise provided in this Section, all notices, consents, approvals, demands, requests or other communications provided for or permitted to be given under any of the provisions of this Agreement will be in writing and will be sent via registered or certified mail, overnight courier, confirmed facsimile transmission (to the extent a facsimile number is set forth below), or email (to the extent an email address is set forth below), and notice will be deemed given (i) if mailed, when deposited, postage prepaid, in the United States mail, (ii) if sent by overnight courier, one business day after delivery to the courier, (iii) if sent by facsimile (to the extent a facsimile number is set forth below), when transmitted, and (iv) if sent by email (to the extent an email address is set forth below), when received:

If to UT System:

Office of Business Affairs
The University of Texas System
210 West 6th Street, Austin, TX 78701
Attn: Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs
Austin, Texas 78701-2982
Fax: 512-499-4289

Email: Lloyd@utsystem.edu

with copy to:

Travel Services
The University of Texas System
210 West 6th Street, Austin, TX 7870`
Attention: Travel Program Director
Email:nsutherland@utsystem.edu

If to Preferred Supplier:

Attn: _____
Fax: _____
Email: _____

or such other person or address as may be given in writing by either party to the other in accordance with the aforesaid.

SECTION 13 – State Auditor’s Office:

Preferred Supplier understands that acceptance of funds under this Agreement constitutes acceptance of the authority of the Texas State Auditor's Office, or any successor agency (collectively, “**Auditor**”), to conduct an audit or investigation in connection with those funds pursuant to Sections 51.9335(c), 73.115(c) and 74.008(c), Education Code. Preferred Supplier agrees to cooperate with the Auditor in the conduct of the audit or investigation, including without limitation providing all records requested. Preferred Supplier will include this provision in all contracts with permitted subcontractors.

SECTION 14 –Governing Law:

Travis County, Texas, will be the proper place of venue for suit on or in respect of this Agreement. This Agreement and all of the rights and obligations of the parties thereto and all of the terms and conditions thereof will be construed, interpreted and applied in accordance with and governed by and enforced under the internal laws of the State of Texas.

SECTION 15 – Breach of Contract Claims:

15.1 To the extent that Chapter 2260, Texas Government Code, as it may be amended from time to time (“**Chapter 2260**”), is applicable to this Agreement and is not preempted by other Applicable Law, the dispute resolution process provided for in Chapter 2260 will be used, as further described herein, by UT System and Preferred Supplier to attempt to resolve any claim for breach of contract made by Preferred Supplier:

15.1.1 Preferred Suppliers claims for breach of this Agreement that the parties cannot resolve pursuant to other provisions of this Agreement or in the ordinary course of business will be submitted to the negotiation process provided in subchapter B of Chapter 2260. To initiate the process, Preferred Supplier will submit written notice, as required by subchapter B of Chapter 2260, to UT System in accordance with the notice provisions in this Agreement. Preferred Suppliers notice will specifically state that the provisions of subchapter B of Chapter 2260 are being invoked, the date and nature of the event giving rise to the claim, the specific contract provision that UT System allegedly breached, the amount of damages Preferred Supplier seeks,

and the method used to calculate the damages. Compliance by Preferred Supplier with subchapter B of Chapter 2260 is a required prerequisite to Preferred Supplier filing of a contested case proceeding under subchapter C of Chapter 2260. UT System's chief business officer, or another officer as may be designated from time to time by written notice thereof to Preferred Supplier in accordance with the notice provisions in this Agreement, will examine Preferred Suppliers claim and any counterclaim and negotiate with Preferred Supplier in an effort to resolve the claims.

15.1.2 If the parties are unable to resolve their disputes under Section 4.11.1.1, the contested case process provided in subchapter C of Chapter 2260 is Preferred Suppliers sole and exclusive process for seeking a remedy for any and all of Preferred Suppliers claims for breach of this Agreement by UT Party.

15.1.3 Compliance with the contested case process provided in subchapter C of Chapter 2260 is a required prerequisite to seeking consent to sue from the Legislature under Chapter 107, Civil Practices and Remedies Code. The parties hereto specifically agree that (i) neither the execution of this Agreement by UT System nor any other conduct, action or inaction of any representative of UT System relating to this Agreement constitutes or is intended to constitute a waiver of UT System's or the state's sovereign immunity to suit and (ii) UT System has not waived its right to seek redress in the courts.

15.2 The submission, processing and resolution of Preferred Suppliers claim is governed by the published rules adopted by the Texas Attorney General pursuant to Chapter 2260, as currently effective, thereafter enacted or subsequently amended.

15.3 UT System and Preferred Supplier agree that any periods set forth in this Agreement for notice and cure of defaults are not waived.

SECTION 16 – Compliance with Law:

Preferred Supplier will perform hereunder in compliance with all Applicable Law. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that neither Preferred Supplier nor any firm, corporation or institution represented by Preferred Supplier, nor anyone acting for such firm, corporation or institution, (1) has violated the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, Chapter 15, *Texas Business and Commerce Code*, or federal antitrust laws, or (2) has communicated directly or indirectly the content of Preferred Supplier response to UT System's procurement solicitation to any competitor or any other person engaged in a similar line of business during the procurement process.

SECTION 17 – UT Party's Right to Audit:

At any time during the term of this Agreement and for a period of four (4) years thereafter UT System or a duly authorized audit representative of UT System, or the State of Texas, at its expense and at reasonable times, reserves the right to audit Preferred Supplier records and books directly related to charges paid for all products and services provided under this Agreement. The right will not extend to any fixed fee component of the charges or to any services performed more than one year prior to the date of request for review. In the event such an audit by UT System reveals any errors or overpayments by UT System which error or overpayment is confirmed by Preferred Supplier, Preferred Supplier will refund UT System the full amount of such overpayments within thirty (30) days of such audit findings, or UT System, at its option, reserves the right to deduct such amounts owing to UT System from any payments due Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 18 – Access to Documents:

To the extent applicable to this Agreement, in accordance with Section 1861(v)(l)(i) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x) as amended, and the provisions of 42 CFR Section 420.300, et seq., Preferred Supplier agrees to allow, during and for a period of not less than four (4) years after this Agreement term, access to this Agreement and its books, documents, and records; and contracts between Preferred Supplier and its subcontractors or related organizations, including books, documents and records relating to same, by the Comptroller General of the United States, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and their duly authorized representatives.

SECTION 19 – Insurance:

19.1 Consistent with its status as an independent contractor, Preferred Supplier will carry at least the following insurance, with companies authorized to do insurance business in the State of Texas or eligible surplus lines insurers operating in accordance with the Texas Insurance Code, having an A.M. Best Rating of A-:VII or better, and in amounts not less than the following minimum limits of coverage:

Workers' Compensation Insurance with statutory limits, and Employer's Liability Insurance with limits of not less than \$1,000,000.

Employers Liability – Each Accident	\$1,000,000
Employers Liability – Each Employee	\$1,000,000
Employers Liability – Policy Limit	\$1,000,000

Workers' Compensation policy must include states where contractor's employees will be performing operation for University.

Commercial General Liability Insurance with limits of not less than:

Each Occurrence Limit	\$1,000,000
Damage to Rented Premises	\$ 50,000
Personal & Advertising Injury	\$1,000,000
General Aggregate	\$2,000,000
Products – Completed Operations Aggregate	\$2,000,000

Business Auto Liability Insurance covering all owned, non-owned or hired automobiles, with limits of not less than \$1,000,000 single limit of liability per accident for bodily injury and property damage.

19.2 Preferred Supplier will deliver to UT System:

Evidence of insurance on a Texas Department of Insurance approved certificate (Acord 2510/05 is TDI preapproved) form verifying the existence and actual limits of all required insurance policies prior to the execution and delivery of the Agreement. Additional evidence of insurance will be provided upon renewal of each policy verifying the continued existence of all required insurance no later than ten (10) days after each annual insurance policy renewal.

All insurance policies (with the exception of workers' compensation and employer's liability) will be endorsed and name The University of Texas System and the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System as additional insureds for liability caused in whole or in part by Preferred Supplier acts or omissions with respect to its on-going operations up to the actual

liability limits of the required insurance policies maintained by Preferred Supplier. Commercial General Liability Additional Insured endorsement will be submitted with the Certificates of Insurance. Commercial General Liability and Business Auto Liability will be endorsed to provide primary and non-contributory coverage.

- 19.3 Preferred Supplier hereby waives all rights of subrogation against the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System and The University of Texas System. All insurance policies will be endorsed to provide a waiver of subrogation in favor of the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System and The University of Texas System. No policy will be cancelled until after thirty (30) days unconditional written notice to UT System. All insurance policies will be endorsed to require the insurance carrier providing coverage to send notice to UT System thirty (30) days prior to any cancellation, material change, or non-renewal relating to any insurance policy required.
- 19.4 Certificates of Insurance and additional insured endorsements as required by this Agreement will be mailed, faxed, or emailed to the following UT System contact:

Eric Agnew
 The University of Texas System Administration
 Office of Risk Management
 210 West 6th Street, Room B140E
 Austin, TX 78701
 Facsimile Number: 512-499-4524
 Email: eagnew@utsystem.edu

SECTION 20 – Indemnification:

20.1 TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, PREFERRED SUPPLIER WILL AND DOES HEREBY AGREE TO INDEMNIFY, PROTECT, DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UT PARTY, AND HOLD HARMLESS UT PARTY AND ITS AFFILIATED ENTERPRISES, REGENTS, OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, ATTORNEYS, EMPLOYEES, REPRESENTATIVES AND AGENTS (COLLECTIVELY “**INDEMNITEES**”) FROM AND AGAINST ALL DAMAGES, LOSSES, LIENS, CAUSES OF ACTION, SUITS, JUDGMENTS, EXPENSES, AND OTHER CLAIMS OF ANY NATURE, KIND, OR DESCRIPTION, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS’ FEES INCURRED IN INVESTIGATING, DEFENDING OR SETTLING ANY OF THE FOREGOING (COLLECTIVELY “**CLAIMS**”) BY ANY PERSON OR ENTITY, ARISING OUT OF, CAUSED BY, OR RESULTING FROM PREFERRED SUPPLIERS PERFORMANCE UNDER OR BREACH OF THIS AGREEMENT, AND THAT ARE CAUSED IN WHOLE OR IN PART BY ANY NEGLIGENT ACT, NEGLIGENT OMISSION OR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OF PREFERRED SUPPLIER, ANYONE DIRECTLY EMPLOYED BY PREFERRED SUPPLIER OR ANYONE FOR WHOSE ACTS PREFERRED SUPPLIER MAY BE LIABLE. THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION WILL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO ELIMINATE OR REDUCE ANY OTHER INDEMNIFICATION OR RIGHT WHICH ANY INDEMNITEE HAS BY LAW OR EQUITY. ALL PARTIES WILL BE ENTITLED TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL AT THEIR OWN EXPENSE.

20.2 IN ADDITION, PREFERRED SUPPLIER WILL AND DOES HEREBY AGREE TO INDEMNIFY, PROTECT, DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UT PARTY, AND HOLD HARMLESS INDEMNITEES FROM AND AGAINST ALL CLAIMS ARISING FROM INFRINGEMENT OR ALLEGED

INFRINGEMENT OF ANY PATENT, COPYRIGHT, TRADEMARK OR OTHER PROPRIETARY INTEREST ARISING BY OR OUT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF SERVICES OR THE PROVISION OF GOODS BY PREFERRED SUPPLIER, OR THE USE BY INDEMNITEES, AT THE DIRECTION OF PREFERRED SUPPLIER, OF ANY ARTICLE OR MATERIAL; PROVIDED, THAT, UPON BECOMING AWARE OF A SUIT OR THREAT OF SUIT FOR INFRINGEMENT, UT PARTIES WILL PROMPTLY NOTIFY PREFERRED SUPPLIER AND PREFERRED SUPPLIER WILL BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO NEGOTIATE A SETTLEMENT. IN THE EVENT OF LITIGATION, UT PARTIES AGREE TO REASONABLY COOPERATE WITH PREFERRED SUPPLIER. ALL PARTIES WILL BE ENTITLED TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL AT THEIR OWN EXPENSE.

SECTION 21 – Ethics Matters; No Financial Interest:

Preferred Supplier and its employees, agents, representatives and subcontractors have read and understand UT System’s Conflicts of Interest Policy available at <http://www.utsystem.edu/board-of-regents/policy-library>, UT System’s Standards of Conduct Guide available at <http://www.utsystem.edu/systemcompliance/>, and applicable state ethics laws and rules available at www.utsystem.edu/ogc/ethics. Neither Preferred Supplier nor its employees, agents, representatives or subcontractors will assist or cause UT System’s employees to violate UT System’s Conflicts of Interest Policy, provisions described by UT System’s Standards of Conduct Guide, or applicable state ethics laws or rules. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that no member of the Board has a direct or indirect financial interest in the transaction that is the subject of this Agreement.

SECTION 22 – Assignment of Overcharge Claims:

Preferred Supplier hereby assigns to UT Party any and all claims for overcharges associated with this Agreement arising under the antitrust laws of the United States, 15 U.S.C.A., Sec. 1 et seq., or arising under the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, Business and Commerce Code, Sec. 15.01, et seq.

SECTION 23 – Assignment and Subcontracting:

Except as specifically provided in any Historically Underutilized Business Subcontracting Plan (“HSP”) attached as Appendix Two and incorporated for all purposes, neither Preferred Supplier’s interest in this Agreement, its duties and obligations under this Agreement nor fees due to Preferred Supplier under this Agreement may be subcontracted, assigned, delegated or otherwise transferred to a third party, in whole or in part, and any attempt to do so will (1) not be binding on UT System; and (2) be a breach of this Agreement for which Preferred Supplier will be subject to any remedial actions provided by Texas law, including Chapter 2161, Texas Government Code, and 34 Texas Administrative Code (“TAC”) Section 20.14. UT System may report nonperformance under this Agreement to the Texas Procurement and Support Services Division of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts or any successor agency (collectively, “TPSS”) in accordance with 34 TAC Chapter 20, Subchapter F, Vendor Performance and Debarment Program. The benefits and burdens of this Agreement are, however, assignable by UT System.

SECTION 24 – Historically Underutilized Business Subcontracting Plan:

24.1 If a HSP is attached to this Agreement, Preferred Supplier agrees to use good faith efforts to subcontract the scope of work in accordance with the HSP. Preferred Supplier agrees to maintain business records documenting its compliance with the HSP and to submit a monthly compliance report to UT System in the format required by the TPSS. Submission of compliance reports will be required as

a condition for payment under this Agreement. If UT System determines that Preferred Supplier has failed to subcontract as set out in the HSP, UT System will notify Preferred Supplier of any deficiencies and give Preferred Supplier an opportunity to submit documentation and explain why the failure to comply with the HSP should not be attributed to a lack of good faith effort by Preferred Supplier. If UT System determines that Preferred Supplier failed to implement the HSP in good faith, UT System, in addition to any other remedies, may report nonperformance to the TPSS in accordance with 34 TAC Chapter 20, Subchapter F, Vendor Performance and Debarment Program. UT System may also revoke this Agreement for breach and make a claim against the Preferred Supplier.

24.2 If at any time during the term of this Agreement, Preferred Supplier desires to change the HSP, before the proposed changes become effective (1) Preferred Supplier must comply with 34 TAC Section 20.14; (2) the changes must be reviewed and approved by UT System; and (3) if UT System approves changes to the HSP, this Agreement must be amended in accordance with Section 2.5.3 to replace the HSP with the revised subcontracting plan.

24.3 If UT System expands the scope of this Agreement through a change order or any other amendment, UT System will determine if the additional scope of work contains probable subcontracting opportunities not identified in the initial solicitation for the scope of work. If UT System determines additional probable subcontracting opportunities exist, Preferred Supplier will submit an amended subcontracting plan covering those opportunities. The amended subcontracting plan must comply with the provisions of 34 TAC Section 20.14 before (1) this Agreement may be amended to include the additional scope of work; or (2) Preferred Supplier may perform the additional scope of work. If Preferred Supplier subcontracts any of the additional subcontracting opportunities identified by UT System without prior authorization and without complying with 34 TAC Section 20.14, Preferred Supplier will be deemed to be in breach of this Agreement under Section 4.19 and will be subject to any remedial actions provided by Texas law including Chapter 2161, Texas Government Code, and 34 TAC Section 20.14. UT System may report nonperformance under this Agreement to the TPSS in accordance with 34 TAC Chapter 20, Subchapter F, Vendor Performance and Debarment Program.

SECTION 25 – Payment and Invoicing:

UT System agrees to pay fees due under this Agreement in accordance with the Texas Prompt Payment Act (“Act”), Chapter 2251, *Texas Government Code*. Pursuant to the Act, payment will be deemed late on the 31st day after the later of: 1) the date the performance is completed, or 2) the date UT System receives an invoice for the related goods or services. UT System will be responsible for interest on overdue payments equal to the sum of: 1) one percent, plus 2) the prime rate as published in the Wall Street Journal on the first day of July of the preceding fiscal year (UT System’s fiscal year begins September 1) that does not fall on a Saturday or Sunday. UT System will have the right to verify the details set forth in Preferred Suppliers invoices and supporting documentation, either before or after payment, by (a) inspecting the books and records of Preferred Supplier at mutually convenient times; (b) examining any reports with respect to the related goods or services; and (c) other reasonable action.

Section 51.012, *Texas Education Code*, authorizes UT System to make any payment through electronic funds transfer methods. Preferred Supplier agrees to receive payments from UT System through electronic funds transfer methods, including the automated clearing house system (also known as ACH). Prior to the first payment under this Agreement, UT System will confirm Preferred Suppliers banking information. Any changes to Preferred Suppliers banking information will be communicated by Preferred Supplier to UT System in writing at least thirty (30) days in advance of the effective date of the change.

SECTION 26 – Limitations:

The parties to this Agreement are aware that there are constitutional and statutory limitations on the authority of UT System (a state agency) to enter into certain terms and conditions of this Agreement, including, but not limited to, those terms and conditions relating to disclaimers and limitations of warranties; disclaimers and limitations of liability for damages; waivers, disclaimers and limitations of legal rights, remedies, requirements and processes; limitations of periods to bring legal action; granting control of litigation or settlement to another party; liability for acts or omissions of third parties; payment of attorneys' fees; dispute resolution; indemnities; and confidentiality (collectively, the "**Limitations**"), and terms and conditions related to the Limitations will not be binding on UT System except to the extent authorized by the laws and Constitution of the State of Texas.

SECTION 27 – Affirmative Action:

Preferred Supplier agrees that either a written copy of Preferred Suppliers Civil Rights "Affirmative Action Compliance Program" or, if Preferred Supplier is not required to have such a written program, the reason Preferred Supplier is not subject to such requirement, is attached to this Agreement.

SECTION 28 – OSHA Compliance:

Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that all products and services furnished under this Agreement meet or exceed the safety standards established and promulgated under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Law (Public Law 91-598) and its regulations in effect or proposed as of the date of this Agreement.

SECTION 29 - Certifications of Nonsegregated Facilities and Equal Employment Opportunities Compliance:

Preferred Supplier certifies that, except for restrooms and wash rooms and one (1) or more lactation rooms each of which is segregated on the basis of sex: (1) it does not maintain or provide for its employees any segregated facilities at any of its establishments and that it does not permit its employees to perform their services at any location under its control where segregated facilities are maintained; (2) it will not maintain or provide for its employees any segregated facilities at any of its establishments; and (3) it will not permit its employees to perform their services at any location under its control where segregated facilities are maintained. Preferred Supplier agrees that a breach of this certification is a violation of the Equal Opportunity clause in this Agreement. The term "**segregated facilities**" means any waiting rooms, work area, rest rooms and wash rooms, entertainment areas, transportation, or housing facilities provided for employees which are segregated by explicit directive or are in fact segregated on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, because of habit, local custom, or otherwise. Preferred Supplier further agrees that, except where it has contracts prior to the award with subcontractors exceeding \$10,000.00 which are not exempt from the provisions of the Equal Opportunity clause, Preferred Supplier will retain such certifications for each one of its subcontractors in Preferred Suppliers files, and that it will forward the following notice to all proposed subcontractors (except where the proposed subcontractors have submitted identical certifications for specific time periods):

NOTICE TO PROSPECTIVE SUBCONTRACTORS OF REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATIONS OF NONSEGREGATED FACILITIES - A Certification on Nonsegregated Facilities must be submitted prior to the award of any subcontract exceeding \$10,000.00 which is not exempt from the provisions of the Equal Opportunity clause. The certification may be

submitted either for each subcontract or for all subcontracts during a period (i.e. quarterly, semiannually, or annually).

Preferred Supplier understands that the penalty for making false statements regarding the subject matters of this Section is prescribed in 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SECTION 30 – Premises Rules:

If this Agreement requires Preferred Suppliers presence on UT System's premises or in UT System's facilities, Preferred Supplier agrees to cause its representatives, agents, employees and permitted subcontractors (if any) to become aware of, fully informed about, and in full compliance with all applicable UT System rules and policies, including, without limitation, those relative to personal health, security, environmental quality, safety, fire prevention, noise, smoking, and access restrictions; consideration for students, patients and their families as well as employees; parking; and security.

SECTION 31 – Debarment:

Preferred Supplier confirms that neither Preferred Supplier nor its Principals are suspended, debarred, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the award of contracts from United States ("U.S.") federal government procurement or nonprocurement programs, or are listed in the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement or Nonprocurement Programs issued by the U.S. General Services Administration. "Principals" means officers, directors, owners, partners, and persons having primary management or supervisory responsibilities within a business entity (e.g. general manager, plant manager, head of a subsidiary, division or business segment, and similar positions). Preferred Supplier will provide immediate written notification to UT System if, at any time prior to award, Preferred Supplier learns that this certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when UT System executes this Agreement. If it is later determined that Preferred Supplier knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to the other remedies available to UT System, UT System may terminate this Agreement for default by Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 32 – Office of Inspector General Certification:

Preferred Supplier acknowledges that UT System is prohibited by federal regulations from allowing any employee, subcontractor, or agent of Preferred Supplier to work on site at UT System premises or facilities if that individual is not eligible to work on federal healthcare programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, or other similar federal programs. Therefore, Preferred Supplier will not assign any employee, subcontractor or agent that appears on the List of Excluded Individuals issued by the United States Office of the Inspector General ("OIG") to work on site at UT System premises or facilities. Preferred Supplier will perform an OIG sanctions check quarterly on each of its employees, subcontractors and agents during the time such employees, subcontractors and agents are assigned to work on site at UT System premises or facilities. Preferred Supplier acknowledges that UT System will require immediate removal of any employee, subcontractor or agent of Preferred Supplier assigned to work at UT System premises or facilities if such employee, subcontractor or agent is found to be on the OIG's List of Excluded Individuals. The OIG's List of Excluded Individuals may be accessed through the following Internet website: <http://www.dhhs.gov/progorg/oig/cumsan/index.htm>.

SECTION 33 – Termination:

33.1 In the event of a material failure by either party to perform in accordance with the terms of this Agreement (“**default**”), the other, non-defaulting party may terminate this Agreement upon thirty (30) days’ written notice of termination setting forth the nature of the material failure. The termination will not be effective if the material failure is fully cured prior to the end of the 30-day period. No such termination will relieve the defaulting party from liability for the underlying default or breach of this Agreement or any other act or omission.

33.2 UT System may terminate this Agreement, without cause, upon written notice to Preferred Supplier; provided, however, this Agreement will not terminate until the later of (1) 90 days after receipt of notice of termination, or (2) the date that performance is complete under all purchase orders issued prior to receipt of notice of termination. UT System may not issue any purchase orders after receipt of notice of termination. Termination of this Agreement will not relieve any party from liability for its default under or breach of this Agreement or any other act or omission of that party. In the event that this Agreement is terminated, then within thirty (30) days after termination, Preferred Supplier will reimburse System for all fees paid by UT System to Preferred Supplier that were (a) not earned by Preferred Supplier prior to termination, or (b) for goods or services that UT System did not receive from Preferred Supplier prior to termination.

SECTION 34 – Authority:

The individuals executing this Agreement on behalf of each party have been duly authorized to act for and bind the party they represent.

SECTION 35 – Survival of Provisions:

Expiration or termination of this Agreement will not relieve either party of any obligations under this Agreement that by their nature survive such expiration or termination.

SECTION 36 – Confidentiality; Press Releases; Public Information:

36.1 **Confidentiality and Safeguarding of UT Party Records.** Under this Agreement, Preferred Supplier may (1) create, (2) receive from or on behalf of UT System or (3) have access to, UT System’s records or record systems (collectively, “**UT Records**”). However, it is expressly agreed that UT System will not provide to Preferred Supplier, and Preferred Supplier will never seek to access, any UT System Records that contain personally identifiable information regarding any individual that is not available to any requestor under the *Texas Public Information Act*, Chapter 552, Texas Government Code, including “directory information” of any student who has opted to prohibit the release of their “directory information” as that term is defined under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, 20 U.S.C. §1232g (“**FERPA**”) and its implementing regulations. Preferred Supplier represents, warrants, and agrees that it will: (1) hold UT System Records in strict confidence and will not use or disclose UT System Records except as (a) permitted or required by this Agreement, (b) required by Applicable Laws, or (c) otherwise authorized by UT System in writing; (2) safeguard UT System Records according to reasonable administrative, physical and technical standards (such as standards established by the National Institute of Standards and Technology and the Center for Internet Security, as well as Payment Card Industry Data Security Standards) that are no less rigorous than the standards by which Preferred Supplier protects its own confidential information; (3) continually monitor its operations and take any action necessary to assure that UT System Records are safeguarded and the confidentiality of UT System Records is maintained in accordance with all Applicable Laws and the

terms of this Agreement; and (4) comply with UT System Rules regarding access to and use of UT System's computer systems, including UTS 165 at <http://www.utsystem.edu/bor/procedures/policy/policies/uts165.html>. At the request of UT System, Preferred Supplier agrees to provide UT System with a written summary of the procedures Preferred Supplier uses to safeguard and maintain the confidentiality of UT System Records.

36.2 Notice of Impermissible Use. If an impermissible use or disclosure of any UT System Records occurs, Preferred Supplier will provide written notice to UT System within one (1) business day after Preferred Suppliers discovery of that use or disclosure. Preferred Supplier will promptly provide UT System with all information requested regarding the impermissible use or disclosure.

36.3 Return of UT System Records. Preferred Supplier agrees that within thirty (30) days after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, for any reason, all UT System Records created or received from or on behalf of UT System will be (1) returned to UT System, with no copies retained by Preferred Supplier; or (2) if return is not feasible, destroyed. Twenty (20) days before destruction of any UT System Records, Preferred Supplier will provide UT System with written notice of Preferred Supplier's intent to destroy UT System Records. Within five (5) days after destruction, Preferred Supplier will confirm to UT System in writing the destruction of UT System Records.

36.3 Disclosure. If Preferred Supplier discloses any UT System Records to a subcontractor or agent, Preferred Supplier will require the subcontractor or agent to comply with the same restrictions and obligations as are imposed on Preferred Supplier by this **Section 36**.

36.4 Press Releases. Preferred Supplier will not make any press releases, public statements, or advertisement referring to this Agreement, or release any information relative to this Agreement for publication, advertisement or any other purpose, without the prior written approval of UT System.

36.5 Public Information. UT System strictly adheres to all statutes, court decisions and the opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of public information under the *Texas Public Information Act* ("TPIA"), Chapter 552, *Texas Government Code*. In accordance with Section 552.002 of TPIA and Section 2252.907, *Texas Government Code*, and at no additional charge to UT System, Preferred Supplier will make any information created or exchanged with UT System pursuant to this Agreement (and not otherwise exempt from disclosure under TPIA) available in a format reasonably requested by UT System that is accessible by the public.

36.6 Termination. In addition to any other termination rights set forth in this Agreement, and any other rights at law or equity, if UT System reasonably determines that Preferred Supplier has breached any of the restrictions or obligations set forth in this Section, UT System may immediately terminate this Agreement without notice or opportunity to cure.

36.7 Duration. The restrictions and obligations under this Section will survive expiration or termination of this Agreement for any reason.

SECTION 37 – Tax Exemption

UT System is an agency of the State of Texas may be exempt from certain state taxes under various exemption statutes, including Texas Sales & Use Tax in accordance with Section 151.309, *Tax Code*, and Title 34 *Texas Administrative Code* ("TAC") Section 3.322. Notwithstanding its exemption from certain state taxes, UT System will be responsible for any taxes (except corporate income taxes, franchise taxes, and taxes on Preferred Suppliers personnel, including personal income tax and social security taxes) from which UT System is not exempt. Preferred Supplier will provide reasonable

cooperation and assistance to UT System in obtaining any tax exemptions to which UT System is entitled.

SECTION 38 – Undocumented Workers:

The *Immigration and Nationality Act* (8 *United States Code* 1324a) (“**Immigration Act**”) makes it unlawful for an employer to hire or continue employment of undocumented workers. The United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement Service has established the Form I-9 Employment Eligibility Verification Form (“**I-9 Form**”) as the document to be used for employment eligibility verification (8 *Code of Federal Regulations* 274a). Among other things, Preferred Supplier is required to: (1) have all employees complete and sign the I-9 Form certifying that they are eligible for employment; (2) examine verification documents required by the I-9 Form to be presented by the employee and ensure the documents appear to be genuine and related to the individual; (3) record information about the documents on the I-9 Form, and complete the certification portion of the I-9 Form; and (4) retain the I-9 Form as required by law. It is illegal to discriminate against any individual (other than a citizen of another country who is not authorized to work in the United States) in hiring, discharging, or recruiting because of that individual's national origin or citizenship status. If Preferred Supplier employs unauthorized workers during performance of this Agreement in violation of the Immigration Act then, in addition to other remedies or penalties prescribed by law, UT System may terminate this Agreement in accordance with Section 4.31. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that it is in compliance with and agrees that it will remain in compliance with the provisions of the Immigration Act.

SECTION 39 – Non-Exclusivity; No Required Quantities or Minimum Amounts:

Preferred Supplier understands that this Agreement is non-exclusive and does not obligate UT System to purchase from Preferred Supplier any or all of its requirements for services that are the same as or similar to the Services provided hereunder. This Agreement does not establish any minimum quantity or minimum dollar amount of goods or services that UT System must purchase from Preferred Supplier during the term of this Agreement.

SECTION 40 – Access by Individuals with Disabilities:

Preferred Supplier represents and warrants (“**EIR Accessibility Warranty**”) that the electronic and information resources and all associated information, documentation, and support that it provides under this Agreement (collectively, the “**EIRs**”) comply with the applicable requirements set forth in Title 1, Chapter 213, *Texas Administrative Code*, and Title 1, Chapter 206, Rule §206.70, *Texas Administrative Code* (as authorized by Chapter 2054, Subchapter M, *Government Code*). To the extent Preferred Supplier becomes aware that the EIRs, or any portion thereof, do not comply with the EIR Accessibility Warranty, then Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that it will, at no cost to UT System, either (1) perform all necessary remediation to make the EIRs satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty or (2) replace the EIRs with new EIRs that satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty. In the event Preferred Supplier fails or is unable to do so, UT System may terminate this Agreement, and Preferred Supplier will refund to UT System all amounts UT System has paid under this Agreement within thirty (30) days after the termination date.

SECTION 41 – Background Checks:

Preferred Supplier will not knowingly assign any individual to provide services on a UT System campus if the individual has a history of criminal conduct unacceptable for a university campus or healthcare center, including violent or sexual offenses. If requested by UT System to comply with this policy, Preferred Supplier will perform appropriate criminal background checks on each individual who will provide such services on a UT System campus.

SECTION 42 – Entire Agreement; Modifications:

This Agreement supersedes all prior agreements, written or oral, between Preferred Supplier and UT System and will constitute the entire agreement and understanding between the parties with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement. This Agreement and each of its provisions will be binding upon the parties and may not be waived, modified, amended or altered except by a writing signed by UT System and Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 43 – Captions:

The captions of sections and subsections in this Agreement are for convenience only and will not be considered or referred to in resolving questions of interpretation or construction.

SECTION 44 – Waivers:

No delay or omission in exercising any right accruing upon a default in performance of this Agreement will impair any right or be construed to be a waiver of any right. A waiver of any default under this Agreement will not be construed to be a waiver of any subsequent default under this Agreement.

SECTION 45 – Binding Effect:

This Agreement will be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto and their respective permitted assigns and successors.

SECTION 46 – Limitations of Liability:

Except for UT System's obligation (if any) to pay Preferred Supplier certain fees and expenses, UT System will have no liability to Preferred Supplier or to anyone claiming through or under Preferred Supplier by reason of the execution or performance of this Agreement. Notwithstanding any duty or obligation of UT System to Preferred Supplier or to anyone claiming through or under Preferred Supplier, no present or future affiliated enterprise, subcontractor, agent, officer, director, employee, representative, attorney or regent of UT System, or anyone claiming under UT System has or will have any personal liability to Preferred Supplier or to anyone claiming through or under Preferred Supplier by reason of the execution or performance of this Agreement.

SECTION 47 – Relationship of the Parties:

For all purposes of this Agreement and notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, Preferred Supplier is an independent contractor and is not a state employee, partner, joint venturer, or agent of UT System. Preferred Supplier will not bind nor attempt to bind UT System to any agreement or contract. As an independent contractor, Preferred Supplier is solely responsible for all taxes, withholdings, and other statutory or contractual obligations of any sort, including workers' compensation insurance.

SECTION 48 – Severability:

In case any provision of this Agreement will, for any reason, be held invalid or unenforceable in any respect, the invalidity or unenforceability will not affect any other provision of this Agreement, and this Agreement will be construed as if the invalid or unenforceable provision had not been included.

SECTION 49 – External Terms:

This Agreement completely supplants, replaces, and overrides all other terms and conditions or agreements, written or oral (“**External Terms**”), concerning Preferred Supplier’s performance under this Agreement. Such External Terms are null and void and will have no effect under this Agreement, regardless of whether UT System or any of its employees, contractors, or agents consents or agrees to External Terms. External Terms include any web-based terms and conditions of use, and any other terms and conditions displayed in any format that UT System, or its employees, contractors, or agents are required to accept or agree to before or in the course of accessing or using any goods or services provided solely by Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 50 – Attachments:

The Attachments listed below are fully incorporated into this Agreement:

- Attachment 1** Pricing Schedule (will be attached to finalized agreement with the successful Proposer)
- Attachment 2** HUB Subcontracting Plan (will be attached to finalized agreement with the successful Proposer)
- Attachment 3** Affirmative Action Compliance Program

Having agreed to the foregoing terms, and with the intention of being legally bound, the parties have executed this Agreement on the dates shown below.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM

[Preferred Supplier]

Signed: _____
Scott C. Kelley, Executive Vice
Chancellor for Business Affairs

Signed: _____

Printed Name: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____

APPENDIX FOUR

ACCESS BY INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

Access by Individuals with Disabilities. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants (“**EIR Accessibility Warranty**”) that the electronic and information resources and all associated information, documentation, and support that it provides under this Agreement (collectively, the “**EIRs**”) comply with the applicable requirements set forth in Title 1, Chapter 213, *Texas Administrative Code*, and Title 1, Chapter 206, Rule §206.70, *Texas Administrative Code* (as authorized by Chapter 2054, Subchapter M, *Government Code*). To the extent Preferred Supplier becomes aware that the EIRs, or any portion thereof, do not comply with the EIR Accessibility Warranty, then Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that it will, at no cost to UT Party, either (1) perform all necessary remediation to make the EIRs satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty or (2) replace the EIRs with new EIRs that satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty. In the event Preferred Supplier fails or is unable to do so, UT Party may terminate this Agreement, and Preferred Supplier will refund to UT Party all amounts UT Party has paid under this Agreement within thirty (30) days after the termination date.

APPENDIX FIVE

ELECTRONIC AND INFORMATION RESOURCES ENVIRONMENT SPECIFICATIONS

The specifications, representations, warranties and agreements set forth in Proposer's responses to this **APPENDIX FIVE** will be incorporated into the Agreement.

Basic Specifications

If the EIR will be hosted by Institutional Participant, please describe the overall environment requirements for the EIR (size the requirements to support the number of concurrent users, the number of licenses and the input/output generated by the application as requested in the application requirements).

- A. Hardware: If Proposer will provide hardware, does the hardware have multiple hard drives utilizing a redundant RAID configuration for fault tolerance? Are redundant servers included as well?
- B. Operating System and Version:
- C. Web Server: Is a web server required? If so, what web application is required (Apache or IIS)? What version? Are add-ins required?
- D. Application Server:
- E. Database:
- F. Other Requirements: Are any other hardware or software components required?
- G. Assumptions: List any assumptions made as part of the identification of these environment requirements.
- H. Storage: What are the space/storage requirements of this implementation?
- I. Users: What is the maximum number of users this configuration will support?
- J. Clustering: How does the EIR handle clustering over multiple servers?
- K. Virtual Server Environment: Can the EIR be run in a virtual server environment?

If the EIR will be hosted by Proposer, describe in detail what the hosted solution includes, and address, specifically, the following issues:

- A. Describe the audit standards of the physical security of the facility; and
- B. Indicate whether Proposer is willing to allow an audit by Institutional Participant or its representative.

If the user and administrative interfaces for the EIR are web-based, do the interfaces support Firefox on Mac as well as Windows and Safari on the Macintosh?

If the EIR requires special client software, what are the environment requirements for that client software?

Manpower Requirements: Who will operate and maintain the EIR? Will additional Institutional Participant full time employees (FTEs) be required? Will special training on the EIR be required by Proposer's technical staff? What is the estimated cost of required training?

Upgrades and Patches: Describe Proposer's strategy regarding EIR upgrades and patches for both the server and, if applicable, the client software. Included Proposer's typical release schedule, recommended processes, estimated outage and plans for next version/major upgrade.

Security

1. Has the EIR been tested for application security vulnerabilities? For example, has the EIR been evaluated against the Open Web Application Security Project ("**OWASP**") Top 10 list that includes flaws like cross site scripting and SQL injection? If so, please provide the scan results and specify the tool used. Institutional Participant will not take final delivery of the EIR if Institutional Participant determines there are serious vulnerabilities within the EIR.
2. Which party, Proposer or Institutional Participant, will be responsible for maintaining critical EIR application security updates?
3. If the EIR is hosted, indicate whether Proposer's will permit Institutional Participant to conduct a penetration test on Institutional Participant's instance of the EIR.
4. If confidential data, including HIPAA or FERPA data, is stored in the EIR, will the data be encrypted at rest and in transmittal?

Integration

1. Is the EIR authentication Security Assertion Markup Language ("**SAML**") compliant? Has Proposer ever implemented the EIR with Shibboleth authentication? If not, does the EIR integrate with Active Directory? Does the EIR support TLS connections to this directory service?
2. Does the EIR rely on Active Directory for group management and authorization or does the EIR maintain a local authorization/group database?
3. What logging capabilities does the EIR have? If this is a hosted EIR solution, will Institutional Participant have access to implement logging with Institutional Participant's standard logging and monitoring tools, RSA's Envision?
4. Does the EIR have an application programming interface ("**API**") that enables us to incorporate it with other applications run by the Institutional Participant? If so, is the API .Net based? Web Services-based? Other?

Will Institutional Participant have access to the EIR source code? If so, will the EIR license permit Institutional Participant to make modifications to the source code? Will Institutional Participant's modifications be protected in future upgrades?

Will Proposer place the EIR source code in escrow with an escrow agent so that if Proposer is no longer in business or Proposer has discontinued support, the EIR source code will be available to Institutional Participant.

Accessibility Information

Proposer must provide the following, as required by Title 1, Rule §213.38(b) of the *Texas Administrative Code*:

5. Accessibility information for the electronic and information resources (“EIR”)¹ products or services proposed by Proposer, where applicable, through one of the following methods:
 - (A) the URL to completed Voluntary Product Accessibility Templates (“VPATs”)² or equivalent reporting templates;
 - (B) an accessible electronic document that addresses the same accessibility criteria in substantially the same format as VPATs or equivalent reporting templates; or
 - (C) the URL to a web page which explains how to request completed VPATs, or equivalent reporting templates, for any product under contract; and
2. Credible evidence of Proposer’s capability or ability to produce accessible EIR products and services. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to, Proposer’s internal accessibility policy documents, contractual warranties for accessibility, accessibility testing documents, and examples of prior work results.

¹ Electronic and information resources are defined in Section 2054.451, *Texas Government Code* ([link](#)) and Title 1, Rule §213.1 (6) of the *Texas Administrative Code* ([link](#)).

² Voluntary Product Accessibility Templates are defined in Title 1, Rule §213.1 (19) of the *Texas Administrative Code* ([link](#)). For further information, see this [link](#) to a VPAT document provided by the Information Technology Industry Council.

APPENDIX SIX

SECURITY CHARACTERISTICS AND FUNCTIONALITY OF CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION RESOURCES

The specifications, representations, warranties and agreements set forth in Proposer's responses to this **APPENDIX SIX** will be incorporated into the Agreement.

"Information Resources" means any and all computer printouts, online display devices, mass storage media, and all computer-related activities involving any device capable of receiving email, browsing Web sites, or otherwise capable of receiving, storing, managing, or transmitting Data including, but not limited to, mainframes, servers, Network Infrastructure, personal computers, notebook computers, hand-held computers, personal digital assistant (PDA), pagers, distributed processing systems, network attached and computer controlled medical and laboratory equipment (i.e. embedded technology), telecommunication resources, network environments, telephones, fax machines, printers and service bureaus. Additionally, it is the procedures, equipment, facilities, software, and Data that are designed, built, operated, and maintained to create, collect, record, process, store, retrieve, display, and transmit information.

"Institutional Participant Records" means records or record systems that Proposer (1) creates, (2) receives from or on behalf of Institutional Participant, or (3) has access, and which may contain confidential information (including credit card information, social security numbers, and private health information ("**PHI**") subject to Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act ("**HIPAA**") of 1996 (Public Law 104-191), or education records subject to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("**FERPA**").

General Protection of Institutional Participant Records

1. Describe the security features incorporated into Information Resources to be provided or used by Proposer pursuant to this RFP.
2. List all products, including imbedded products that are a part of Information Resources and the corresponding owner of each product.
3. Describe any assumptions made by Proposer in its proposal regarding information security outside those already listed in the proposal.

Complete the following additional questions if the Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

4. Describe the monitoring procedures and tools used for monitoring the integrity and availability of all products interacting with Information Resources, including procedures and tools used to, detect security incidents and to ensure timely remediation.
5. Describe the physical access controls used to limit access to Proposer's data center and network components.
6. What procedures and best practices does Proposer follow to harden all systems that would interact with Information Resources, including any systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records, or from which Institutional Participant Records may be accessed?

7. What technical security measures does the Proposer take to detect and prevent unintentional, accidental and intentional corruption or loss of Institutional Participant Records?
8. Will the Proposer agree to a vulnerability scan by Institutional Participant of the web portal application that would interact with Information Resources, including any systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records, or from which Institutional Participant Records may be accessed? If Proposer objects, explain basis for the objection to a vulnerability scan.
9. Describe processes Proposer will use to provide Institutional Participant assurance that the web portal and all systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records can provide adequate security of Institutional Participant Records.
10. Does Proposer have a data backup and recovery plan supported by policies and procedures, in place for Information Resources? If yes, briefly describe the plan, including scope and frequency of backups, and how often the plan is updated. If no, describe what alternative methodology Proposer uses to ensure the restoration and availability of Institutional Participant Records.
11. Does Proposer encrypt backups of Institutional Participant Records? If yes, describe the methods used by Proposer to encrypt backup data. If no, what alternative safeguards does Proposer use to protect backups against unauthorized access?
12. Describe the security features incorporated into Information Resources to safeguard Institutional Participant Records containing confidential information.

Complete the following additional question if Information Resources will create, receive, or access Institutional Participant Records containing PHI subject to HIPAA:

13. Does Proposer monitor the safeguards required by the HIPAA Security Rule (45 C.F.R. § 164 subpts. A, E (2002)) and Proposer's own information security practices, to ensure continued compliance? If yes, provide a copy of or link to the Proposer's HIPAA Privacy & Security policies and describe the Proposer's monitoring activities and the frequency of those activities with regard to PHI.

Access Control

1. How will users gain access (i.e., log in) to Information Resources?
2. Do Information Resources provide the capability to use local credentials (i.e., federated authentication) for user authentication and login? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that capability.
3. Do Information Resources allow for multiple security levels of access based on affiliation (e.g., staff, faculty, and student) and roles (e.g., system administrators, analysts, and information consumers), and organizational unit (e.g., college, school, or department)? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide for multiple security levels of access.
4. Do Information Resources provide the capability to limit user activity based on user affiliation, role, and/or organizational unit (i.e., who can create records, delete records, create and save reports, run reports only, etc.)? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that capability. If no, describe what alternative functionality is provided to ensure that users have need-to-know based access to Information Resources.

5. Do Information Resources manage administrator access permissions at the virtual system level? If yes, describe how this is done.

6. Describe Proposer's password policy including password strength, password generation procedures, password storage specifications, and frequency of password changes. If passwords are not used for authentication or if multi-factor authentication is used to Information Resources, describe what alternative or additional controls are used to manage user access.

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

7. What administrative safeguards and best practices does Proposer have in place to vet Proposer's and third-parties' staff members that would have access to the environment hosting Institutional Participant Records to ensure need-to-know-based access?

8. What procedures and best practices does Proposer have in place to ensure that user credentials are updated and terminated as required by changes in role and employment status?

9. Describe Proposer's password policy including password strength, password generation procedures, and frequency of password changes. If passwords are not used for authentication or if multi-factor authentication is used to Information Resources, describe what alternative or additional controls are used to manage user access.

Use of Data

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

1. What administrative safeguards and best practices does Proposer have in place to vet Proposer's and third-parties' staff members that have access to the environment hosting all systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records, or from which Institutional Participant Records may be accessed, to ensure that Institutional Participant Records will not be accessed or used in an unauthorized manner?

2. What safeguards does Proposer have in place to segregate Institutional Participant Records from system data and other customer data and/or as applicable, to separate specific Institutional Participant data, such as HIPAA and FERPA protected data, from Institutional Participant Records that are not subject to such protection, to prevent accidental and unauthorized access to Institutional Participant Records ?

3. What safeguards does Proposer have in place to prevent the unauthorized use, reuse, distribution, transmission, manipulation, copying, modification, access, or disclosure of Institutional Participant Records?

4. What procedures and safeguards does Proposer have in place for sanitizing and disposing of Institutional Participant Records according to prescribed retention schedules or following the conclusion of a project or termination of a contract to render Institutional Participant Records unrecoverable and prevent accidental and unauthorized access to Institutional Participant Records? Describe the degree to which sanitizing and disposal processes addresses Institutional Participant data that may be contained within backup systems. If Institutional Participant data contained in backup systems is not fully sanitized, describe processes in place that would prevent subsequent restoration of backed-up Institutional Participant data.

Data Transmission

1. Do Information Resources encrypt all Institutional Participant Records in transit and at rest? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that security. If no, what alternative methods are used to safeguard Institutional Participant Records in transit and at rest?

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

2. How does data flow between Institutional Participant and Information Resources? If connecting via a private circuit, describe what security features are incorporated into the private circuit. If connecting via a public network (e.g., the Internet), describe the way Proposer will safeguard Institutional Participant Records.

3. Do Information Resources secure data transmission between Institutional Participant and Proposer? If yes, describe how Proposer provides that security. If no, what alternative safeguards are used to protect Institutional Participant Records in transit?

Notification of Security Incidents

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

1. Describe Proposer's procedures to isolate or disable all systems that interact with Information Resources in the event a security breach is identified, including any systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records, or from which Institutional Participant Records may be accessed.

2. What procedures, methodology, and timetables does Proposer have in place to detect information security breaches and notify Institutional Participant and other customers? Include Proposer's definition of security breach.

3. Describe the procedures and methodology Proposer has in place to detect information security breaches, including unauthorized access by Proposer's and subcontractor's own employees and agents and provide required notifications in a manner that meets the requirements of the state breach notification law.

Compliance with Applicable Legal & Regulatory Requirements

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

1. Describe the procedures and methodology Proposer has in place to retain, preserve, backup, delete, and search data in a manner that meets the requirements of state and federal electronic discovery rules, including how and in what format Institutional Participant Records are kept and what tools are available to Institutional Participant to access Institutional Participant Records.

2. Describe the safeguards Proposer has in place to ensure that systems (including any systems that would hold or process Institutional Participant Records, or from which Institutional Participant Records may be accessed) that interact with Information Resources reside within the United States of America. If no such controls, describe Proposer's processes for ensuring that data is protected in compliance with all applicable US federal and state requirements, including export control.

3. List and describe any regulatory or legal actions taken against Proposer for security or privacy violations or security breaches or incidents, including the final outcome.

**APPENDIX SEVEN
ADDENDA CHECKLIST**

Proposal of: _____
(proposer company name)

To: The University of Texas System

Ref: Aviation Consulting Services

RFP # _____

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The undersigned proposer hereby acknowledges receipt of the following Addenda.

No. 1 _____ No. 2 _____ No. 3 _____ No. 4 _____ No. 5 _____

Respectfully submitted,

Proposer: _____

By: _____
(authorized signature)

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

UT System RFP No. UTSTS20160201

AVIATION CONSULTING PROPOSER'S SURVEY

1.0 Company Profile

- 1.1 Provide your company's main address, telephone and fax number.
- 1.2 Provide your company's FEIN.
- 1.3 Provide your company's DUNS number.
- 1.4 Provide your company's main contact for this RFP including telephone number and email address.
- 1.5 What is your company's legal structure (e.g., corporation, partnership, etc.)?
- 1.6 For all individuals, groups, corporations, etc. that holds 25% or greater equity in the company list their name and their percentage (%) held.
- 1.7 Provide any details of all past or pending litigation or claims filed against your company that would affect your company's performance under an Agreement with UT System.
- 1.8 Has your company, or any of its parents or subsidiaries, ever had a Bankruptcy Petition filed in its name, voluntarily or involuntarily? If yes, specify the date, circumstances, and resolution.
- 1.9 Is your company currently in default on any loan agreement or financing agreement with any bank, financial institution, or other entity. If yes, specify date(s), details, circumstances, and prospects for resolution.
- 1.10 Is your company currently for sale or involved in any transaction to expand or to become acquired by another business entity? If yes, please explain the impact both in organizational and directional terms.
- 1.11 If requested, please indicate your company's agreement to provide the company's audited financial statement for the last two (2) years.
- 1.12 Please list all new all new accounts (customer name and location) established within last 6 months projected to exceed \$5mm in annual sales.
- 1.13 Is your company a State of Texas HUB firm and/or a Small Business (as defined by the US Small Business Administration)? If so, please list all HUB/Small Business categories your company is qualified under.

2.0 References

- 2.1 Provide a listing of customers for which you have provided services of equal type and scope within the past five (5) years. Your customer reference list must include the company name; contact person including telephone #; scope of services, and the period of time for which work was performed.
- 2.2 If you did not provide your DUNS number as requested in response to question 1.3 above, please provide two financial references (1 trade reference and 1 financial institution/bank reference). List should include company name, mailing address, telephone number, FAX number, contact person and length of financial relationship.

UT System RFP No. UTSTS20160201

3.0 General Requirements

3.4 Please indicate your company's compliance with requirements as detailed in **Section 5**.

4.0 Account Management

4.1 Provide the name, title and brief resume of the individual who will assume overall responsibility for the work to be performed for UT System.

4.2 Provide a project-staffing plan including resumes for all proposed "key" staff members who will be assigned to this account and defining their role in supporting the UT System account.

4.3 Identify the staff/personnel resources outside of your company that you typically engage to assist in performing the work contemplated under this RFP and the role they play in performing the services.

4.4 How is your staff rewarded for outstanding service and support to customers?

4.5 What is the average response time to requests for information or account management issues?

4.6 Describe your company's problem resolution process for customer concerns

5.0 Technology and Tools

5.1 Please provide a list of supporting web based tools currently utilized and/or those available upon request. If such tools are available, please describe your company's technical support process, capabilities, and hours of operation to handle technical questions regarding your tool. Note if tools are subscription based.

6.0 Pricing

6.1 Submit a pricing structure based on hourly rate, lump sum per project based on range of total hours or other options.

8.0 Services

8.1 Proposer should detail its ability to handle projects outlined in the RFP and list optional services that may be of interest to UT System. Pricing for optional services must be included in pricing structure noted above.

9.0 Implementation Plan

9.1 Explain how your company will educate its team about the Preferred Supplier Agreement.

9.3 Describe an estimate of the earliest starting date for services following execution of an Agreement.

10.0 Added Value

10.1 Please identify any challenges and/or difficulties you anticipate in providing services to UT System and how you plan to manage them; what assistance will you require from UT System.

10.2 Please describe any special benefits or advantages in selecting your company. Please provide only information not previously asked or disclosed herein.

UT System RFP No. UTSTS20160201

- 10.3 Please provide any suggested improvements and alternatives that will make doing business with your company more cost effective.
- 10.4 Briefly describe your company's advantage in the marketplace. Please provide only information not previously asked or disclosed herein.
- 10.5 Please state how your company will maintain its competitive advantage long-term, with respect to "best value" price and non-price offering.
- 10.15 Please indicate any additional "value added" services or programs not otherwise asked or disclosed herein that should be considered during the evaluation process.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION
JANUARY 2015

APPENDIX III

POLICY ON UTILIZATION HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSES VENDOR/COMMODITIES



**The University of Texas System
Office of HUB Development
Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs)**

Contents

• Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUB)s	Page 3
• Summary of Requirements/Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Subcontracting Plan (HSP)	Pages 4-6
• Summary of Attachments Required from Respondents	Page 7
• Letter of Transmittal	Page 8
• Letter of HUB Commitment (indefinite duration/indefinite quantity contracts)	Page 9
• HSP Quick Checklist	Page 10
• HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP)	Page 11-18
○ Section 2 – Good Faith Effort Subcontractor Selection	Page 12
○ Self-Performance Justification	Page 14
○ HSP Good Faith Effort Method A (Attachment A)	Page 15
○ HSP Good Faith Effort Method B (Attachment B)	Page 16-17
• HUB Subcontracting Opportunity Notification Form Minority and Trade Organizations contact information is available online at: http://www.window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/mwb-links-1/	Page 18
• HUB Subcontracting Plan Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report (PAR) (Required of successful respondent for payment requests only)	Page 19

**The University of Texas System
Office of HUB Development**

POLICY ON UTILIZATION OF HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSES (HUBS)

Introduction

In accordance with the Texas Government Code, Sections 2161.181-182 and Title 34, Section 20.13 of the Texas Administrative Code (TAC), the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System, acting through the Office of HUB Development shall make a good faith effort to utilize Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs) in contracts for construction services, including professional and consulting services; and commodities contracts. The HUB Rules promulgated by the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (the "Texas Comptroller"), set forth in 34 TAC Sections 20.10-20.28, encourage the use of HUBs by implementing these policies through race, ethnic and gender-neutral means.

The purpose of the HUB Program is to promote full and equal business opportunities for all business in State contracting in accordance with the following goals as specified in the State of Texas Disparity Study:

- 11.2% for heavy construction other than building contracts;
- 21.1% for all building construction, including general contractors and operative builders contracts;
- 32.9% for all special trade construction contracts;
- 23.7% for professional services contracts;
- 26% for all other services contracts, and
- 21.1% for commodities contracts.

The University of Texas System shall make a good faith effort to meet or exceed the above stated goals to assist HUBs in receiving a portion of the total contract value of all contracts that UT System expects to award in a fiscal year. The University of Texas System may achieve the annual program goals by contracting directly with HUBs or indirectly through subcontracting opportunities in accordance with the Texas Government Code, chapter 2161, Subchapter F.

NOTE: The goals above are the State of Texas HUB goals. For purposes of this procurement, The University of Texas System goals listed in the Special Instructions on page 11 will apply.

SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS
Historically Underutilized Business (HUBs) Subcontracting Plan (HSP)

It is the policy of The University of Texas System and each of its component institutions, to promote and encourage contracting and subcontracting opportunities for Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs) in all contracts. Accordingly, UT System has adopted “**EXHIBIT H, Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses**”. The policy applies to all contracts with an expected value of \$100,000 or more. The Board of Regents of The University of Texas System is the contracting authority.

1. In all contracts for professional services, contracting services, and/or commodities with an expected value of \$100,000 or more, The University of Texas System, “UT System” or the “University” will indicate in the purchase solicitation (e.g. RFQ, RFP, or CSP) whether or not subcontracting opportunities are probable in connection with the contract. A HUB Subcontracting Plan is a required element of the architect, contractor or vendor Response to the purchase solicitation. The HUB Subcontracting Plan shall be developed and administered in accordance with the Policy. **Failure to submit a required HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) will result in rejection of the Response.**
2. If subcontracting opportunities are probable UT System will declare such probability in its invitations for bids, requests for proposals, or other purchase solicitation documents, and shall require submission of the appropriate HUB Subcontracting Plan with the Response.
 - a. When subcontracting opportunities are probable, and the Respondent proposes to subcontract any part of the work, the Respondent shall submit a **HUB Subcontracting Plan as prescribed by the Texas Comptroller** identifying subcontractors **[34 TAC §20.14 (d) (1)(A)(B)(C)(D) (2) (3)(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F) (4)(A)(B)]**.
 - b. When subcontracting opportunities are probable, but the Respondent can perform such opportunities with its employees and resources, the Respondent’s HUB Subcontracting Plan shall include **Section 3 –Self Performance [34 TAC §20.14 (d) (5) (A) (B) (C) (D)]**.
3. If subcontracting opportunities are not probable UT System will declare such probability in its invitations or bids, requests for proposals, or other purchase solicitation documents and shall require submission of the appropriate HUB Subcontracting Plan with the Response.
 - a. When subcontracting opportunities are not probable, and the Respondent proposes to perform all the work with its employees and resources, the Respondent shall submit a HUB Subcontracting Plan that includes **Section 3 – Self Performance Justification**.
 - b. When subcontracting opportunities are not probable, but the Respondent proposes to subcontract any part of the work, the Respondent shall submit a **HUB Subcontracting Plan as prescribed by the Texas Comptroller** identifying subcontractors.
4. Respondents shall follow, but are not limited to, procedures listed in the Policy when developing a HUB Subcontracting Plan.
5. **Competitive Sealed Proposals (CSP):** Respondents shall submit a HUB Subcontracting Plan (packaged separately) twenty-four (24) hours following the Response submission date and time or as prescribed by the project manager.
6. In making a determination whether a good faith effort has been made in the development of the required HUB Subcontracting Plan, UT System shall follow the procedures listed in the Policy. If accepted by the

University, the HUB Subcontracting Plan shall become a provision of the Respondent's contract with UT System. **Revisions necessary to clarify and enhance information submitted in the original HUB subcontracting plan may be made in an effort to determine good faith effort.** Any revisions after the submission of the HSP shall be approved by the HUB Coordinator.

7. **Design Build (DB) and Construction Manager @ Risk (CM@R) responses:** Respondents to a "design build" or "construction manager-at-risk" purchase solicitation shall include the Letter of HUB Commitment in their Response attesting that the Respondent has read and understands the Policy on Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs), and a HUB Subcontracting Plan for all preconstruction and construction services including a HUB Subcontracting Plan as prescribed by the Texas Comptroller specific to construction services identifying first, second and third tier subcontractors. Respondents proposing to perform Part 1 preconstruction services with their own resources and employees shall submit, as part of their HSP, the Self Performance Justification.
8. **DB and CM@R HUB Contract Requirements:** Contractors engaged under design-build and construction manager-at-risk contracts shall submit a HUB Subcontracting Plan for all preconstruction and construction Phase Services, and, must further comply with the requirements of this Policy by developing and submitting a HUB Subcontracting Plan for each bid package issued in buying out the guaranteed maximum or lump sum price of the project. The HSP shall identify first, second and third tier subcontractors.
9. The University of Texas System shall reject any Response that does not include a fully completed HSP as required. **An incomplete HUB Subcontracting Plan is considered a material failure to comply with the solicitation for proposals.**
10. **Changes to the HUB Subcontracting Plan:** Once a Respondent's HSP is accepted by UT System and becomes a provision of the contract between Respondent and UT System, the Respondent can only change that HSP if (a) the Respondent complies with 34 TAC Section 20.14; (b) the Respondent provides its proposed changes to UT System for review; (c) UT System (including UT System's HUB Coordinator) approves Respondent's proposed changes to its HSP; and (d) UT System and the Respondent amend their contract (in writing signed by authorized officials of both parties) in order to replace the contract's existing HSP with a revised HSP containing the changes approved by UT System.
11. **Expansion of Work:** If, after entering into a contract with a Respondent as a result of a purchase solicitation subject to the Policy, UT System wishes to expand the scope of work that the Respondent will perform under that contract through a change order or any other contract amendment (the "additional work"), UT System will determine if the additional work contains probable subcontracting opportunities not identified in the initial purchase solicitation for that contract. If UT System determines that probable subcontracting opportunities exist for the additional work, then the Respondent must submit to UT System an amended HUB Subcontracting Plan covering those opportunities that complies with the provisions of 34 TAC Section 20.14. Such an amended HSP must be approved by UT System and the Respondent (including UT System's HUB Coordinator) before (a) the contract may be amended by UT System and the Respondent to include the additional work and the amended HSP and (b) the Respondent performs the additional work. If a Respondent subcontracts any of the additional subcontracting opportunities identified by UT System for any additional work (i) without complying with 34 TAC Section 20.14 or (ii) before UT System and that Respondent amend their contract to include a revised HSP that authorizes such subcontracting, then the Respondent will be deemed to be in breach of its contract with UT System. As a result of such breach, UT System will be entitled to terminate its contract with the Respondent, and the Respondent will be subject to any remedial actions provided by Texas law, including those set forth in Chapter 2161, Texas Government Code, and 34 TAC Section 20.14. The University may report a Respondent's nonperformance under a

contract between that Respondent and UT System to the Texas Comptroller in accordance with 34 TAC Sections 20.10 through 20.18.

12. A Response may state that the Respondent intends to perform all the subcontracting opportunities with its own employees and resources in accordance with the Policy. However, if such a Respondent enters into a contract with UT System as a result of such a Response but later desires to subcontract any part of the work set forth in that contract, before the Respondent subcontracts such work it must first change its HUB Subcontracting Plan in accordance with the provisions of Section 10 above.
13. The University of Texas System shall require a professional services firm, contractor or vendor to whom a contract has been awarded to report the identity and the amount paid to its subcontractors on a monthly basis using a **HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report (PAR)** as a condition for payment.
14. If the University of Texas System determines that the successful Respondent failed to implement an approved HUB Subcontracting Plan in good faith, UT System, in addition to any other remedies, may report nonperformance to the Texas Comptroller in accordance with 34 TAC Section 20.14, (g) (1) related remedies of nonperformance to professional services firms, contractor and vendor implementation of the HSP.
15. In the event of any conflict between this “Summary of Requirements” and the remainder of the HUB Policy, the remainder of the HUB Policy will control.
16. These requirements, including the attachments referred to above, may be downloaded over the Internet from <http://utsystem.edu/offices/historically-underutilized-business/hub-forms>. For additional information contact the Office of HUB Development, The University of Texas System, 512/499/4530.

**Other Services/Vendor/Commodities HSP
Summary of Attachments Required from Respondents**

	Letter of Transmittal Page 8	Letter of HUB Commitment Page 9	HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) Pages 11-18	Progress Assessment Report (PAR) Page 19
1. UT SYSTEM DETERMINES THAT SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITIES ARE PROBABLE.				
1. A. Respondent Proposes Subcontractors: Attachments required from the Respondent for the HUB Subcontracting Plan if the solicitation states that <u>subcontracting opportunities are probable</u> .	X		X	
1.B. Respondent Proposes Self-Performance: Attachments required from the Respondent for the HUB Subcontracting Plan if the solicitation states that <u>subcontracting opportunities are probable</u> , but the Respondent can perform such opportunities with its employees and resources.	X		X	
2. UT SYSTEM DETERMINES THAT SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITIES ARE NOT PROBABLE.				
2.A. Respondent Proposes Self-Performance: Attachments required from the Respondent for the HUB Subcontracting Plan if the solicitation states that <u>subcontracting opportunities are not probable</u> , but the Respondent can perform such opportunities with its employees and resources.	X		X	
2. B. Respondent Proposes Subcontractors: Attachments required from the Respondent for the HUB Subcontracting Plan if the solicitation states that <u>subcontracting opportunities are not probable</u> , but the Respondent proposes to subcontract any part of the work.	X		X	
3. INDEFINITE DURATION/INDEFINITE QUANTITY CONTRACTS: Submit with initial qualifications. Attachments required from the Respondent prior to contract execution for each contract associated with a solicitation for miscellaneous services.		X	X	
4. CHANGES IN THE HUB SUBCONTRACTING PLAN AFTER AWARD: Attachments required from the Respondent to whom a contract has been awarded if it desires to make changes to the approved HUB Subcontracting Plan.			X	
5. REPORTING: Progress Assessment Report (PAR) required with all payment requests. The submittal of this attachment is a condition of payment.				X

(RESPONDENT'S BUSINESS LETTERHEAD)

Date

Mr. Hopeton Hay
Director, HUB and Federal Small Business Program
The University of Texas System
201 W. 6th St., Room B.140E
Austin, Texas 78701

RE: Historically Underutilized Business Plan for (Project Title): _____
Project Number: _____ - _____

Dear Mr. Hay,

In accordance with the requirements outlined in the specification section "HUB Participation Program," I am pleased to forward this HUB Subcontracting Plan as an integral part of our response in connection with your invitation for Request for Proposals referencing the above project.

I have read and understand The University of Texas System Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs). I also understand the State of Texas Annual Procurement Goal according to 34 Texas Administrative Code Section 20.13, and the goal as stated in the Agency Special Instructions section of the HUB Subcontracting Plan, page 11.

Select one of the following:

- _____ 32.9% for all special trade construction contracts
- _____ 26% for all other services contracts
- _____ 31.04% for commodities contracts

Subcontractors	No. of Subcontractors	Total Subcontract \$ Value	Total Estimated HUB %	% Minority Owned	% Woman Owned	% Service Disabled Veteran
HUB						
NON-HUB						
TOTAL						

I understand the above HUB percentages must represent Texas Comptroller HUB certification standards. For each of the listed HUB firms, I have attached a Texas Comptroller HUB Certification document.

Should we discover additional subcontractors claiming Historically Underutilized Business status during the course of this contract we will notify you of the same. In addition, if for some reason a HUB is unable to fulfill its contract with us, we will notify you immediately in order to take the appropriate steps to amend this contractual obligation.

Sincerely,

(Project Executive)

cc: Contract Administrator

(RESPONDENT'S BUSINESS LETTERHEAD)

Date

Mr. Hopeton Hay
Director, HUB and Federal Small Business Program
The University of Texas System
201 W. 6th St., Room B.140E
Austin, TX 78701

RE: Historically Underutilized Business Plan for (Project Title): _____
Project Number: _____ - _____

Dear Mr. Hay:

In accordance with the requirements outlined in the specification section "HUB Participation Program", I am pleased to forward this HUB Subcontracting Plan as an integral part of our proposal in connection with your invitation for request for proposals, referencing Project Number _____.

I have read and understand The University of Texas System Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs).

Good Faith Effort will be documented by a two part HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) process. Part one (1) of the HSP submission will reflect self-performance with the appropriate sections completed per the instructions in Option One of the HSP Quick Checklist located on page 10 of The University of Texas Exhibit H Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs).

As the scope of work/project is defined under this ID/IQ contract, part two (2) of the process will require a revised HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) and the Good Faith Effort will be documented per instructions in Attachment B (page 16-17) and Option Three of the HSP Quick Check List. The revised HUB Subcontracting Plan will be submitted to the HUB Coordinator prior to execution of each contract process. Documentation of subcontracted work will be provided with each pay request.

Sincerely,

(Project Executive)

cc: Contract Administrator



HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP)

QUICK CHECKLIST

While this HSP Quick Checklist is being provided to merely assist you in readily identifying the sections of the HSP form that you will need to complete, it is very important that you adhere to the instructions in the HSP form and instructions provided by the contracting agency.

Option One -If you will be awarding all of the subcontracting work you have to offer under the contract to only Texas certified HUB vendors, complete:

- Section 1 - Respondent and Requisition Information
- Section 2a. - Yes, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract
- Section 2b. - List all the portions of work you will subcontract, and indicate the percentage of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUB vendors
- Section 2c. - Yes
- Section 4 - Affirmation
- GFE Method A (Attachment A) - Complete an Attachment A for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in Section 2b.
- Letter of Transmittal

Option Two -If you will be subcontracting any portion of the contract to Texas certified HUB vendors and Non-HUB vendors, and the aggregate percentage of all the subcontracting work you will be awarding to the Texas certified HUB vendors with which you have a continuous contract in place for five (5) years or less meets or exceeds the HUB Goal the contracting agency identified in the "Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements", complete:

- Section 1 - Respondent and Requisition Information
- Section 2a. - Yes, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract
- Section 2b. - List all the portions of work you will subcontract, and indicate the percentage of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUB vendors and Non-HUB vendors
- Section 2c. - No
- Section 2d. - Yes
- Section 4 - Affirmation
- GFE Method A (Attachment A) - Complete an Attachment A for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in Section 2b.
- Letter of Transmittal

Option Three -If you will be subcontracting any portion of the contract to Texas certified HUB vendors and Non-HUB vendors or only to Non-HUB vendors, and the aggregate percentage of all the subcontracting work you will be awarding to the Texas certified HUB vendors with which you have a continuous contract in place for five (5) years or less does not meet or exceed the HUB Goal the contracting agency identified in the "Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements", complete:

- Section 1 - Respondent and Requisition Information
- Section 2a. - Yes, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract
- Section 2b. - List all the portions of work you will subcontract, and indicated the percentage of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUB vendors and Non-HUB vendors
- Section 2c. - No
- Section 2d. - No
- Section 4 - Affirmation
- GFE Method B (Attachment B) - Complete an Attachment B for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in Section 2b.
- Letter of Transmittal

Option Four - If you will not be subcontracting any portion of the contract and will be fulfilling the entire contract with your own resources, complete:

- Section 1 - Respondent and Requisition Information
- Section 2a. - No, I will not be subcontracting any portion of the contract, and I will be fulfilling the entire contract with my own resources
- Section 3 - Self Performing Justification
- Section 4 - Affirmation
- Letter of HUB Commitment

***Continuous Contract:** Any existing written agreement (including any renewals that are exercised) between a prime contractor and a HUB vendor, where the HUB vendor provides the prime contractor with goods or service under the same contract for a specified period of time. The frequency the HUB vendor is utilized or paid during the term of the contract is not relevant to whether the contract is considered continuous. Two or more contracts that run concurrently or overlap one another for different periods of time are considered by CPA to be individual contracts rather than renewals or extensions to the original contract. In such situations the prime contractor and HUB vendor are entering (have entered) into "new" contracts.



HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP)

In accordance with Texas Gov't Code §2161.252, the contracting agency has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable under this contract. Therefore, all respondents, including State of Texas certified Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs) must complete and submit this State of Texas HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) with their response to the bid requisition (solicitation).

NOTE: Responses that do not include a completed HSP shall be rejected pursuant to Texas Gov't Code §2161.252(b).

The HUB Program promotes equal business opportunities for economically disadvantaged persons to contract with the State of Texas in accordance with the goals specified in the 2009 State of Texas Disparity Study. The statewide HUB goals defined in 34 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §20.13 are:

- **11.2 percent for heavy construction other than building contracts,**
- **21.1 percent for all building construction, including general contractors and operative builders' contracts,**
- **32.9 percent for all special trade construction contracts,**
- **23.7 percent for professional services contracts,**
- **26.0 percent for all other services contracts, and**
- **21.1 percent for commodities contracts.**

- - Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements - -

In accordance with 34 TAC §20.14(d)(1)(D)(iii), a respondent (prime contractor) may demonstrate good faith effort to utilize Texas certified HUBs for its subcontracting opportunities if the total value of the respondent's subcontracts with Texas certified HUBs meets or exceeds the statewide HUB goal or the agency specific HUB goal, whichever is higher. When a respondent uses this method to demonstrate good faith effort, the respondent must identify the HUBs with which it will subcontract. If using existing contracts with Texas certified HUBs to satisfy this requirement, only contracts that have been in place for five years or less shall qualify for meeting the HUB goal. This limitation is designed to encourage vendor rotation as recommended by the 2009 Texas Disparity Study.

In accordance with 34 TAC §20.13(d)(1)(D)(iii), the goals below are the applicable goals for the University of Texas System Administration only.

- Other Services HUB Goal – 26%**
- Commodities HUB Goal – 31.04%**
- Special Trades HUB Goal – 32.9%**

- Responses for Special Trades construction shall submit a HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) that meets the Good Faith Effort prescribed in Method B (Attachment B). See instruction for Option three on the HSP Quick Check List. No other Good Faith Effort method will be accepted.
- Responses for Miscellaneous Services Agreements for indefinite duration/indefinite quantity- Two (2) part process:
 1. Submit a Letter of HUB Commitment (page 9) and a Good Faith Effort described in Option Four.
 2. Submit a revised HSP prior to execution of each contract process as described in Option Three of Quick Check List.
- Respondents shall submit a completed HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) to be considered responsive. Failure to submit a completed HSP shall result in the bid, proposal or other expression of interest to be considered Non-responsive.
- Respondents who intend to Self-Perform all of their work shall submit an HSP for Self Performance HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) as described in Option Four.
- Prime contractor Progress Assessment Report (PAR) shall be submitted with each request for payment as a condition of payment.
- Please note that phone logs are no longer acceptable documentation of Good Faith Effort. Only fax, email and certified letter are acceptable.

SECTION-1: RESPONDENT AND REQUISITION INFORM

a. Respondent (Company) Name: _____ State of Texas VID #: _____
 Point of Contact: _____ Phone #: _____
 E-mail Address: _____ Fax #: _____

b. Is your company a State of Texas certified HUB? - Yes - No

c. Requisition #: _____ Bid Open Date: _____

(mm/dd/yyyy)

Enter your company's name here: _____ Requisition #: _____

SECTION 2: SUBCONTRACTING INTENTIONS RESPONDENT

After dividing the contract work into reasonable lots or portions to the extent consistent with prudent industry practices, and taking into consideration the scope of work to be performed under the proposed contract, including all potential subcontracting opportunities, the respondent must determine what portions of work, including goods and services, will be subcontracted. Note: In accordance with 34 TAC §20.11., an "Subcontractor" means a person who contracts with a prime contractor to work, to supply commodities, or to contribute toward completing work for a governmental entity.

a. Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that identifies your subcontracting intentions:

- **Yes**, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract. (If **Yes**, complete Item b, of this SECTION and continue to Item c of this SECTION.)
- **No**, I will not be subcontracting any portion of the contract, and I will be fulfilling the entire contract with my own resources. (If **No**, continue to SECTION 3 and SECTION 4.)

b. List all the portions of work (subcontracting opportunities) you will subcontract. Also, based on the total value of the contract, identify the percentages of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUBs, and the percentage of the contract you expect to award to vendors that are not a Texas certified HUB (i.e., Non-HUB).

	Subcontracting Opportunity Description	HUBs		Non-HUBs
		Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you have a continuous contract* in place for five (5) years or less.	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you have a continuous contract* in place for more than five (5) years.	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to non-HUBs.
1		%	%	%
2		%	%	%
3		%	%	%
4		%	%	%
5		%	%	%
6		%	%	%
7		%	%	%
8		%	%	%
9		%	%	%
10		%	%	%
11		%	%	%
12		%	%	%
13		%	%	%
14		%	%	%

(Note: If you have more than fifteen subcontracting opportunities, a continuation sheet is available online at <http://window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/hub-subcontracting-plan/>.)

c. Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether you will be using **only** Texas certified HUBs to perform **all** of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b.

- **Yes** (If **Yes**, continue to SECTION 4 and complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method A (Attachment A)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed.)
- **No** (If **No**, continue to Item d, of this SECTION.)

d. Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether the aggregate expected percentage of the contract you will subcontract **with Texas certified** HUBs with which you have a **continuous contract*** in place with for five (5) years or less **meets or exceeds** the HUB goal the contracting agency identified on page 1 in the "**Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements**".

- **Yes** (If **Yes**, continue to SECTION 4 **and** complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method A (Attachment A)" for **each** of the subcontracting opportunities you listed.)
- **No** (If **No**, continue to SECTION 4 **and** complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B)" for **each** of the subcontracting opportunities you listed.)

***Continuous Contract:** Any existing written agreement (including any renewals that are exercised) between a prime contractor and a HUB vendor, where the HUB vendor provides the prime contractor with goods or service under the same contract for a specified period of time. The frequency the HUB vendor is utilized or paid during the term of the contract is not relevant to whether the contract is considered continuous. Two or more contracts that run concurrently or overlap one another for different periods of time are considered by CPA to be individual contracts rather than renewals or extensions to the original contract. In such situations the prime contractor and HUB vendor are entering (have entered) into "new" contracts.

Enter your company's name here: _____ Requisition #: _____

SECTION-2: SUBCONTRACTING INTENTIONS RESPONDENT (CONTINUATION SHEET)

a. This page can be used as a continuation sheet to the HSP Form's page 2, Section 2, Item b. Continue listing the portions of work (subcontracting opportunities) you will subcontract. Also, based on the total value of the contract, identify the percentages of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUBs, and the percentage of the contract you expect to award to vendors that are not a Texas certified HUB (i.e., Non-HUB).

	Subcontracting Opportunity Description	HUBs		Non-HUBs
		Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you have a <u>continuous contract*</u> in place for five (5) years or less.	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you have a <u>continuous contract*</u> in place for <u>more than five (5) years.</u>	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to non-HUBs.
16		%	%	%
17		%	%	%
18		%	%	%
19		%	%	%
20		%	%	%
21		%	%	%
22		%	%	%
23		%	%	%
24		%	%	%
25		%	%	%
26		%	%	%
27		%	%	%
28		%	%	%
29		%	%	%
30		%	%	%
31		%	%	%
32		%	%	%
33		%	%	%
34		%	%	%
35		%	%	%
36		%	%	%
37		%	%	%
38		%	%	%
39		%	%	%
40		%	%	%
41		%	%	%
42		%	%	%

**Continuous Contract: Any existing written agreement (including any renewals that are exercised) between a prime contractor and a HUB vendor, where the HUB vendor provides the prime contractor with goods or service under the same contract for a specified period of time. The frequency the HUB vendor is utilized or paid during the term of the contract is not relevant to whether the contract is considered continuous. Two or more contracts that run concurrently or overlap one another for different periods of time are considered by CPA to be individual contracts rather than renewals or extensions to the original contract. In such situations the prime contractor and HUB vendor are entering (have entered) into "new" contracts.*

Enter your company's name here: _____	Requisition #: _____
---------------------------------------	----------------------

SECTION-3: SELF PERFORMING JUSTIFICATION (If you responded "No" to SECTION 2, Item a, you must complete this SECTION and continue to SECTION 4)

Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether your response/proposal contains an explanation demonstrating how your company will fulfill the entire contract with its own resources.

- **Yes** (If *Yes*, in the space provided below list the specific page(s)/section(s) of your proposal which explains how your company will perform the entire contract with its own equipment, supplies, materials and/or employees.)
- **No** (If *No*, in the space provided below explain how your company will perform the entire contract with its own equipment, supplies, materials and/or employees.)

SECTION-4: AFFIRMATION

As evidenced by my signature below, I affirm that I am an authorized representative of the respondent listed in SECTION 1, and that the information and supporting documentation submitted with the HSP is true and correct. Respondent understands and agrees that, if awarded any portion of the requisition:

- The respondent will provide notice as soon as practical to all the subcontractors (HUBs and Non-HUBs) of their selection as a subcontractor for the awarded contract. The notice must specify at a minimum the contracting agency's name and its point of contact for the contract, the contract award number, the subcontracting opportunity they (the subcontractor) will perform, the approximate dollar value of the subcontracting opportunity and the expected percentage of the total contract that the subcontracting opportunity represents. A copy of the notice required by this section must also be provided to the contracting agency's point of contact for the contract no later than ten (10) working days after the contract is awarded.
- The respondent must submit monthly compliance reports (Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report – PAR) to the contracting agency, verifying its compliance with the HSP, including the use of and expenditures made to its subcontractors (HUBs and Non-HUBs). (The PAR is available at <http://www.window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/hub-forms/progressassessmentrpt.xls>).
- The respondent must seek approval from the contracting agency prior to making any modifications to its HSP, including the hiring of additional or different subcontractors and the termination of a subcontractor the respondent identified in its HSP. If the HSP is modified without the contracting agency's prior approval, respondent may be subject to any and all enforcement remedies available under the contract or otherwise available by law, up to and including debarment from all state contracting.
- The respondent must, upon request, allow the contracting agency to perform on-site reviews of the company's headquarters and/or work-site where services are being performed and must provide documentation regarding staffing and other resources.

Signature	Printed Name	Title	Date <small>(mm/dd/yyyy)</small>
-----------	--------------	-------	-------------------------------------

Reminder:

- If you responded "Yes" to SECTION 2, Items c or d, you must complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method A (Attachment A)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b.
- If you responded "No" SECTION 2, Items c and d, you must complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b.

HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B)

Enter your company's name here: _____ Requisition #: _____

IMPORTANT: If you responded "Yes" to SECTION 2, Items c or d of the completed HSP form, you must submit a completed "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B)" for **each** of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b of the completed HSP form. You may photo-copy this page or download the form at <http://window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/hub-forms/hub-sbcont-plan-gfe-achm-b.pdf>.

SECTION B-1: SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITY

Enter the item number and description of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION 2, Item b, of the completed HSP form for which you are completing the attachment.

Item Number: _____ Description: _____

SECTION B-2: MENTOR PROTÉGÉ PROGRAM

If respondent is participating as a Mentor in a State of Texas Mentor Protégé Program, submitting its Protégé (Protégé must be a State of Texas certified HUB) as a subcontractor to perform the subcontracting opportunity listed in SECTION B-1, constitutes a good faith effort to subcontract with a Texas certified HUB towards that specific portion of work.

Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether you will be subcontracting the portion of work you listed in SECTION B-1 to your Protégé.

- Yes (If *Yes*, to continue to SECTION B-4.)
- No / Not Applicable (If *No* or *Not Applicable*, continue to SECTION B-3 and SECTION B-4.)

SECTION B-3: NOTIFICATION OF SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITY

When completing this section you **MUST** comply with items **a, b, c and d**, thereby demonstrating your Good Faith Effort of having notified Texas certified HUBs and trade organizations or development centers about the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1. Your notice should include the scope of work, information regarding the location to review plans and specifications, bonding and insurance requirements, required qualifications, and identify a contact person. When sending notice of your subcontracting opportunity, you are encouraged to use the attached HUB Subcontracting Opportunity Notice form, which is also available online at <http://www.window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/hub-subcontracting-plan>.

Retain supporting documentation (i.e., certified letter, fax, e-mail) demonstrating evidence of your good faith effort to notify the Texas certified HUBs and trade organizations or development centers. Also, be mindful that a working day is considered a normal business day of a state agency, not including weekends, federal or state holidays, or days the agency is declared closed by its executive officer. The initial day the subcontracting opportunity notice is sent/provided to the HUBs and to the trade organizations or development centers is considered to be "day zero" and does not count as one of the seven (7) working days.

- a. Provide written notification of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1, to three (3) or more Texas certified HUBs. Unless the contracting agency specified a different time period, you must allow the HUBs at least seven (7) working days to respond to the notice prior to your submitting your bid response to the contracting agency. When searching for Texas certified HUBs, ensure that you use the State of Texas' Centralized Master Bidders List (CMBL) and Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Search directory located at <http://mycpa.state.tx.us/tpasscmbsearch/index.jsp>. HUB Status code "A" signifies that the company is a Texas certified HUB.
- b. List the three (3) Texas certified HUBs you notified regarding the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1. Include the company's Vendor ID (VID) number, the date you sent notice to that company, and indicate whether it was responsive or non-responsive to your subcontracting opportunity notice.

Company Name	VID Number	Date Notice Sent (mm/dd/yyyy)	Did the HUB Respond?
			<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No
			<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No
			<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No

- c. Provide written notification of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1 to two (2) or more trade organizations or development centers in Texas to assist in identifying potential HUBs by disseminating the subcontracting opportunity to their members/participants. Unless the contracting agency specified a different time period, you must provide your subcontracting opportunity notice to trade organizations or development centers at least seven (7) working days prior to submitting your bid response to the contracting agency. A list of trade organizations and development centers that have expressed an interest in receiving notices of subcontracting opportunities is available on the Statewide HUB Program's webpage at <http://www.window.state.tx.us/procurement/prog/hub/mwb-links-1/>.

- d. List two (2) trade organizations or development centers you notified regarding the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1. Include the date when you sent notice to it and indicate if it accepted or rejected your notice.

Trade Organizations or Development Centers	Date Notice Sent (mm/dd/yyyy)	Was the Notice Accepted?
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B) Cont.

Enter your company's name here: _____ Requisition #: _____

SECTION B-4: SUBCONTRACTOR SELECTION

Enter the item number and description of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION 2, Item b, of the completed HSP form for which you are completing the attachment.

a. Enter the item number and description of the subcontracting opportunity for which you are completing this Attachment B continuation page.

Item Number: _____ Description: _____

b. List the subcontractor(s) you selected to perform the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1. Also identify whether they are a Texas certified HUB and their VID number, the approximate dollar value of the work to be subcontracted, the expected percentage of work to be subcontracted, and indicate whether the company is a Texas certified HUB.

CompanyName	Texas certified HUB	VID Number (Required if Texas certified HUB)	Approximate Dollar Amount	Expected Percentage of Contract
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%

c. If any of the subcontractors you have selected to perform the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1 is **not** a Texas certified HUB, provide written justification for your selection process (attach additional page if necessary):

REMINDER: As specified in SECTION 4 of the completed HSP form, if you (respondent) are awarded any portion of the requisition, you are required to provide notice as soon as practical to all the subcontractors (HUBs and Non-HUBs) of their selection as a subcontractor. The notice must specify at a minimum the contracting agency's name and its point of contact for the contract, the contract award number, the subcontracting opportunity it (the subcontractor) will perform, the approximate dollar value of the subcontracting opportunity and the expected percentage of the total contract that the subcontracting opportunity represents. A copy of the notice required by this section must also be provided to the contracting agency's point of contact for the contract no later than ten (10) working days after the contract is awarded.

**The University of Texas System
Request for Proposal # UTSTS20160201
Aviation Consulting Services
Question and Answer Document**

- 1. Section 1.2 of the RFP notes aviation consulting services may encompass four areas. Please provide more detail on each.**
 - a. Examples of research on commercial and Part 91 aircraft operations
 - i. Research on commercial airlines: information on U.S. regional carriers or foreign regional carriers including IOSA and other safety standards or safety issues.
 - ii. Research on Part 91 operations: information on industry best practices, review of UT System flight operations procedures manual and/or emergency response plan, comparison of aircraft flown by other institutions of higher education or organizations with similar flight patterns, pilot compensation, etc.
 - b. Review of air charter services
UT System currently works with one commercial airline and two brokers for charter services (team travel and executive/staff travel); UT System safety and insurance requirements are built into contract terms. As our program evolves assistance may be requested to review contracts and safety standards, critique new RFP requirements and/or provide input regarding awards to charter operators.
 - c. Analysis of medical transport procedures
UT System's King Air 350 does not fly medical transport missions. Requests for information typically take the form of white papers or reports on the medical transport industry, selecting qualified operators and best practices from the perspective of hospital administrators or staff with access to helipads. Overall, general information on medical transport safety to be distributed to UT System health institution personnel or System executive officers.
 - d. Aircraft purchase and sale processes
While there are no current plans for the purchase or sale of aircraft, in 2008 UT System purchased its current aircraft and sold a King Air 200. For that process, aviation consulting services were utilized to determine the best replacement aircraft for UT System and, subsequently, identify a broker to facilitate both purchase and sale processes. The aviation consulting firm remaining involved, overseeing from a high level, to ensure purchase and sale processes met UT System requirements. In the future, should UT System determine a new aircraft is warranted we would repeat this process – hoping to benefit from a consulting firms expertise as well as our lessons learned from the previous process.
- 2. What format is used for providing information? For instance, written report, detailed email, etc.**

Depending on the request, information may be provided as part of a phone conversation or email exchange. For more complex requests, the common format is a white paper, PowerPoint presentation or Excel spreadsheet with a supporting text document. The expected deliverable and timeframe for completion of each request is discussed and agreed to in advance by both parties.
- 3. Please provide specific examples of services UT System has received in the past.**

Please see Section 1 above.

4. Will UT System as well as UT institution representatives work with the consulting firm or is contact limited to the System office?

The majority of contact will be with UT System Travel, Risk Management or Office of the Controller staff. Should a UT institution request consulting services, UT System would provide advance notice to the aviation consultant including name of the UT institution, name and title of the individual calling, topic of inquiry and other details.

5. Is UT System familiar with IS-BAO?

Yes, we are familiar with IS-BAO, SMS processes and other programs that promote flight department safety. While UT System owns and operates a King Air 350, Texas Government Code requires that maintenance and specific operational functions are either handled by or coordinated with the Texas Department of Transportation Flight Services (TxDOT); this is a requirement for all State of Texas aircraft. How and what we do must blend appropriately with TxDOT initiatives.

6. Appendix Three/Section 19 notes that Commercial General Liability includes \$2,000,000 for Products – is this required?

No, the line item specific to Products may be deleted.

7. Can acceptance to Section 3.2 (Proposal Validity Period) be noted anywhere or should it be included in a specific section of a response to the RFP?

Noting acceptance can be included anywhere in a response. Suggestions include an addition to either Section 3.0 or 6.0 in the Proposer's Survey.

8. Are there other areas of aviation of interest to UT System and is the consultant required to have expertise in all areas in order to respond to the RFP?

There is interest in LiDAR, hyperspectral or multispectral survey capabilities – including operators and costs. UT System owns 2.1 million acres of land in West Texas; the ability to survey oil fields, livestock and wildlife management initiatives through means other than visual helicopter survey flights would increase efficiencies.

Requests for information and research vary and are based on initiatives at the System and institution level; initiatives and topics change from year-to-year. We understand that requests for information may, at times, be highly specialized. It is unlikely an aviation consulting firm will be able to meet all requests for research or information.

9. What types of flights are most common for UT System's King Air 350?

The aircraft is utilized by System executive officers, staff, faculty, athletic coaches and guests for official University/State of Texas business; use is governed by State of Texas law and UT System Regents' Rules and Regulations. The majority of flights are within Texas, however, we fly out-of-state as requested.

10. What is the purpose of Appendix 3?

Appendix 3 is a sample contract that will be sent to the awarded vendor for signature. Those responding to the RFP are encouraged to look through the document and, as part of a proposal, note any objections to what is written – and propose alternate language/terms.

11. Section 1.9.4 states that UT System will not accept any proposals submitted by telephone, proposals submitted by Facsimile (FAX) transmission or proposals submitted by hard copy (i.e. paper form) in response to this RFP. Whereas Section 3.1 identifies 5 complete and identical printed copies as well as one electronic version of the proposal. Is 1.9.4 an error?

The following words should be deleted from Section 1.9.4 /Paragraph 4: “or proposals submitted by hard copy (i.e. paper form) in response to this RFP”

12. Section 2/Execution Of Offer notes that by executing the required document, the proposer is agreeing to all terms and conditions outlined in the RFP. If the proposer plans to suggest modification to language or terms in any part of the RFP or sample contract how should this be handled?

Attach a cover letter to the Execution of Offer outlining that there is agreement to terms and conditions except as noted – and list the requested modifications.

13. Appendix Five (EIR): Is it UT System’s intent that anything more than customary file storage and use of email to transmit information be addressed?

Yes. EIR should be addressed if the proposer plans to suggest building a database specific to UT System or use of a data base that would store confidential information (employee name, SSN, birth date, etc.). Normal data storage and email correspondence are not of concern.