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Clergy Act
Project Number: 822.13
July 3, 2014

President William C. Powers, Jr.
University of Texas at Austin
Office of the President
P.O. Box T
Austin, Texas 78713

Dear President Powers,

We have completed our audit of the Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act. Our scope included required Jeanne Clery Act data reported during the 2012 calendar year.

Based on interviews with relevant staff, a review of the U.S. Department of Education’s requirements and supporting documentation provided, and limited testing, we conclude that The University of Texas at Austin is in compliance with most of the Clery Act. Ten recommendations were made to enhance policies and improve record keeping and documentation.

We appreciated the cooperation and assistance of the departments involved in the Clery Act throughout the audit and hope that the information presented herein is beneficial.

Sincerely,

Michael W. Vandervort, CPA
Director

cc: Internal Audit Committee Members
Dr. Gerald R. Harkins, Associate Vice President, Campus Safety and Security
Dr. Jennifer R. Hammat, Assistant Vice President for University Compliance Services
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This audit was conducted at the request of The University of Texas System and part of our Fiscal Year 2013 Audit Plan.

The scope of this audit included the Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act (Clery Act) data reported during the calendar year of January 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012. Our objective was to determine The University of Texas at Austin’s (UT Austin) compliance with gathering and reporting crime and fire safety statistics and policies pursuant to the Clery Act.

UT Austin utilizes several offices and departments to comply with the required components of the Clery Act and currently serves as a resource to other universities across the country. UT Austin follows many of the leading practices for compliance with the Clery Act. Some of these attributes include (but are not limited to):

- Identifying associated law enforcement agencies;
- Communicating with law enforcement agencies to obtain crime statistics;
- Properly conducting tests of the emergency response plans and fire/evacuation drills;
- Issuing timely warnings in an efficient and timely manner; and
- Properly disbursing the Annual Security Report and Annual Fire Safety Report by the October 1st deadline.

We conducted interviews with relevant staff, reviewed the U.S. Department of Education’s requirements and supporting documentation provided by UT Austin, and performed limited testing. Based on this information, it appears that UT Austin is in compliance with most of the Clery Act; however, we made ten recommendations to enhance policies and improve record keeping and documentation.
BACKGROUND

The Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act (Clery Act) is a federal statute that requires colleges and universities participating in federal financial aid programs to maintain and disclose campus crime statistics and security information. The information reported must be made available to the campus community and to prospective students and employees. The Department of Education (DOE) is responsible for ensuring that all institutions are in compliance with the Clery Act. The DOE publishes a handbook, Handbook for Campus Safety and Security Reporting, which provides detailed information for complying with the Clery Act.¹ Failure to comply with the Clery Act can result in the DOE issuing large fines, suspending an institution, or limiting Title IV funding for an institution.

The purpose of the Clery Act is to provide important information about the safety and security of college and university communities to enable people to make informed decisions when choosing a college or university for educational or employment purposes. Colleges and universities are required to make available to current students and employees a copy of the Annual Security Report (ASR) and the Annual Fire Safety Report (AFSR) by October 1st of each year. Prospective students and employees must be informed about the availability of the report and a copy must be provided upon request.

The Clery Act requires institutions to report statistics related only to certain crimes, as shown in Appendix I. Statistics must be reported for the most recent and two preceding calendar years. Institutions are required to report their statistics within the following specific location categories: on campus, on public property within or immediately adjacent to the campus, and in or on non-campus buildings or property that the institution owns or controls. The statistics reported in the 2013 ASR can be found in Appendix II.

The University of Texas at Austin (UT Austin) utilizes several offices and departments to comply with the required components of the Clery Act and currently serves as a resource to other universities across the country.

SCOPE, OBJECTIVES, AND PROCEDURES

The scope of this audit included the Clery Act data reported during calendar year 2012. Our objective was to determine UT Austin's compliance with gathering and reporting crime and fire safety statistics and policies pursuant to the Clery Act.

To achieve this objective, the Office of Internal Audits (Internal Audits):

- Reviewed the DOE’s Clery Act requirements;

¹ The Handbook of Campus Safety and Security Reporting can be found here: http://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/safety/campus.html
• Conducted interviews with relevant staff members to gain an understanding of procedures regarding each component;
• Reviewed supporting documentation; and
• Conducted limited testing.

This audit was conducted in accordance with the *International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing* and with *Government Auditing Standards*.

The remainder of this report presents detailed observations and recommendations.

**AUDIT RESULTS**

Internal Audits reviewed the following components of the Clery Act:
• Geography,
• Campus Security Authorities (CSAs),
• Statistics from Law Enforcement Agencies,
• Daily Crime Log,
• Emergency Response and Evacuation Procedures,
• Timely Warnings,
• ASR and Crime Statistics,
• Missing Student Notification Procedures,
• Fire Safety Log, and
• AFSR.

UT Austin follows many of the leading practices for compliance with the Clery Act (including but are not limited to):
• Identifying associated law enforcement agencies;
• Communicating with law enforcement agencies to obtain crime statistics;
• Properly conducting tests of the emergency response plans and fire/evacuation drills;
• Issuing timely warnings in an efficient and timely manner; and
• Properly disbursing the Annual Security Report and Annual Fire Safety Report by the October 1st deadline.

Ten recommendations were made in the following areas: Geography, Daily Crime Log, Emergency Response and Evaluation Procedures, the ASR, Missing Student Notification Procedures, and the Fire Safety Log.

**Geography – Training**
Relevant departments may not provide the most accurate information needed to complete the ASR. These departments do not fully understand Clery Act requirements to properly provide all the required information. In addition, UT Austin does not require key employees to attend training to gain an understanding of Clery Act requirements.
Without a full understanding of the Clery Act requirements, there is an increased risk of inadequate information reported in the ASR, non-compliance, and UT Austin being penalized by the DOE.

According to Chapter 2 of DOE’s *Handbook for Campus Safety and Security Reporting*, Clery crime statistics should be reported for crimes that occur on campus, on public property within or immediately adjacent to the campus, and in or on non-campus buildings or property that the institution owns or controls. Understanding each of the geographic categories is vital to be in compliance with the law.

**Recommendation 1:** Management should require key employees of all the departments that provide Clery information to attend training to gain an understanding of the importance and necessity of the Clery Act requirements in order to provide the necessary information needed to complete the ASR.

**Management’s Corrective Action Plan:** We will provide training annually.

- **Responsible Person:** Assistant Vice President for University Compliance Services
- **Planned Implementation Date:** Beginning May 1, 2014 (online and in-person)

**Post Audit Review:** Internal Audits will perform follow-up work at the close of FY14.

**Annual Security Report – Required Policy Statements**

The ASR did not include a required policy statement that institutional personnel will assist the student in notifying the on-campus and local police about a sex offense, if the student requests assistance. Management did not include the required policy statement in the ASR as a result of an oversight. Without including the required policy statement in the ASR, there is an increased risk that students may not be aware of the assistance available and UT Austin may be penalized by the DOE.

According to Chapter 8 of DOE’s *Handbook for Campus Safety and Security*, the ASR should include a required policy statement that institutional personnel will assist the student in notifying the on-campus and local police about a sex offense, if the student requests assistance.

**Recommendation 2:** Management should ensure that the required policy statement regarding assisting a student in notifying on-campus and local police about a sex offense, if the student requests assistance, is included in the ASR.

**Management’s Corrective Action Plan:** We updated the 2013 report to reflect this change after it was brought to our attention. Those changes will be carried over to the 2014 report as well.

- **Responsible Person:** Assistant Vice President for University Compliance Services
- **Planned Implementation Date:** April 23, 2014
Post Audit Review: Internal Audits will perform follow-up work at the close of FY14.

Daily Crime Log – Information Accuracy
For six out of 14 cases, the date and time the crime occurred on the daily crime log did not accurately reflect the date and time the crime occurred on the written police report. Furthermore, for one of the 14 cases, the month of the crime was not documented correctly in the written report of the daily crime log narrative. IT support was not available to determine the programming needed to fix the discrepancy between the date and time occurred that was reported on the written police report and the daily crime log. In addition, there was an oversight by management during the review of the report with the incorrect month documented. When the daily crime log does not accurately reflect information from the written police reports, there is an increased risk for erroneously reporting crime statistics in the ASR and UT Austin being penalized by the DOE.

According to Chapter 5 of the DOE’s Handbook for Campus Safety and Security Reporting, the following elements should be included on the daily crime log: the date the crime was reported, the date and time the crime occurred, the nature of the crime, the general location of the crime, and the disposition of the complaint.

Recommendation 3: Management should ensure that information provided for the written reports and daily crime log entries accurately match the written police reports.

Management’s Corrective Action Plan: The software program used by UTPD to collect date information from police reports was at times defaulting to, and pulling from, the date reported box as opposed to the date offense occurred box. IT has since assisted and remedied the technical issue. Additionally, internal quality control measures have been developed with expectations that the chain of command conduct random sampling from time to time to insure accuracy of reporting both before and after.
Responsible Person: Records Administrator, UTPD
Planned Implementation Date: May 2014

Post Audit Review: Internal Audits will perform follow-up work at the close of FY14.

Missing Student Notification Policy – Required Statements
Five of the six requirements for the missing student notification policy statement were not included in the ASR. In addition, the current policy title does not allude to the fact that the missing student notification policy is included. Management was not aware that the current policy does not encompass all six requirements for the policy statement. Without including the required policy statements in the ASR, there is an increased risk that students may not be aware of the resources and options available to them for the missing student notification process and UT Austin may be penalized by the DOE.
According to Chapter 10 of the DOE’s *Handbook for Campus Safety and Security Reporting*, the following six requirements must be included in the ASR for the missing student notification policy:

- A list of titles of the persons or organizations to which students, employees, or other individuals should report that a student has been missing for 24 hours;
- A requirement that any missing student report must be referred immediately to the institution’s police or campus security department, or, in the absence of an institutional police or campus security department, to the local law enforcement agency that has jurisdiction in the area;
- An option for each student to identify a contact person or persons whom the institution shall notify within 24 hours of the determination that the student is missing, if the student has been determined missing by the institutional police or campus security department, or the local law enforcement agency;
- Provide a statement advising students that their contact information will be registered confidentially and accessible to authorized campus officials only. This information may not be disclosed except to law enforcement personnel in furtherance of a missing person investigation;
- Provide a statement advising students that if they are under 18 years of age and not emancipated, the institution must notify a custodial parent or guardian within 24 hours of the determination that the student is missing, in addition to notifying any additional contact person designated by the student; and
- Provide a statement advising students that the institution will notify the local law enforcement agency within 24 hours of the determination that the student is missing, unless the local law enforcement agency was the entity that made the determination that the student is missing.

**Recommendation 4:** Management should ensure that the current policy cites all six requirements for the missing student notification policy. In addition, the current policy title should indicate that the missing student notification policy is included within the information presented.

**Management’s Corrective Action Plan:** We will be revising the Emergency Notification Policy (appendix A of the GIC) to be the Emergency Notification and Missing Student Policy for 2014-2015. The new policy will include all six of the bulleted items in the recommendation. Additionally, once this policy is revised, the updated version will replace the existing language in the ASR for Missing Student Notification in its entirety. We will then revise the Missing Person’s Notification section in the Residence Hall Manual to be consistent with the revised policy language.

**Responsible Person:** Assistant Vice President for University Compliance Services

**Planned Implementation Date:** By August 1, 2014
**Post Audit Review:** Internal Audits will perform follow-up work at the close of FY14.

**Missing Students – Contact Information**
Missing person contact information is not kept separate from general emergency contact information within electronic files. Management was not aware that the missing person contact must be kept separate from the general emergency contact information. UT Austin is at an increased risk of personal information being disclosed to someone who should not have access to it and being fined by the DOE.

According to Chapter 10 of *The Handbook for Campus Safety and Security Reporting,* “To protect confidentiality, general emergency contact information and missing student contact information must be kept separate, even if the student has registered the same person for both purposes.” In addition, the Higher Education Act of 1965 requires that the missing person contact information be kept confidential.

**Recommendation 5:** Management should ensure that missing student contact information is kept separate from general emergency contact information, when stored electronically, even if students have registered the same person for both purposes.

**Management’s Corrective Action Plan:** A meeting with housing will be held to determine if they are collecting “separate” missing student contact information. If they are not collecting it at this time, as an interim measure, we will have them collect the missing student data for this year. That will give us time to work on getting it to be a separate field a student can edit when they login to update their emergency contact information (that takes programmers and coordination with the registrar’s office).

**Responsible Person:** Assistant Vice President for University Compliance Services

**Planned Implementation Date:** August 1, 2014

**Post Audit Review:** Internal Audits will perform follow-up work at the close of FY14.

**Fire Safety Log – Required Reporting Elements**
The fire safety log does not include the date and time the fire occurred as one of the required elements for reporting. Management was not aware that the date and time the fire occurred was missing from the log. Without having all the required fire log elements, there is an increased risk that UT Austin may be penalized by the DOE.

According to Chapter 12 of the DOE’s *Handbook for Campus Safety and Security,* the fire log must include the date the fire was reported, the nature of the fire, the date and time of the fire, and the general location of the fire.
**Recommendation 6:** Management should ensure that the fire safety log has all the required elements for reporting, including the date and time the fire occurred.

**Management’s Corrective Action Plan:** Change language of Fire Safety Log Report to reflect “Date & Time of Fire” along with “Date Reported.”

**Responsible Person:** UT Fire Marshal

**Planned Implementation Date:** May 2014

**Post Audit Review:** Internal Audits will perform follow-up work at the close of FY14.

---

**Fire Safety Log – Publicity**

Students and employees are sent a link to the AFSR at least twice per academic year, but are not specifically notified of the availability and location of the fire safety log within it. Management believed that providing the link to the fire safety log within the AFSR was sufficient. Without providing students and employees with a specific notification of the availability and location of the fire safety log, there is an increased risk that they may not be aware of the fire safety log’s availability and location and UT Austin may be penalized by the DOE.

According to Chapter 12 of the DOE’s *Handbook for Campus Safety and Security*, an institution should “provide students and employees with a description of the log, noting its location and availability.”

---

**Recommendation 7:** Management should ensure that notification is sent to all students and employees providing information on the fire safety log’s location and availability.

**Management’s Corrective Action Plan:** Include language in the annual campus-wide correspondences that defines the Fire Log per the *Department of Education’s Handbook for Campus Safety and Security Reporting*, and include a descriptive notation of the Fire Log’s location and availability.

**Responsible Person:** UT Fire Marshal

**Planned Implementation Date:** May 2014

**Post Audit Review:** Internal Audits will perform follow-up work at the close of FY14.

---

**Daily Crime Log – Publicity**

Students and employees are sent a link to the ASR at least twice per academic year, but are not specifically notified of the daily crime log’s description, availability and location within it. Management believed that providing the link to the daily crime log within the ASR was sufficient. Without providing students and employees with specific notification of the availability and location of the daily crime log, there is an increased
risk that they may not be aware of the daily crime log’s availability and location and UT Austin may be penalized by the DOE.

According to Chapter 5 of the DOE’s *Handbook for Campus Safety and Security*, an institution should provide students and employees with the availability, location, and daily crime log contents.

**Recommendation 8:** Management should ensure that notification is sent to all students and employees providing information on the description, location, and availability of the daily crime log.

**Management’s Corrective Action Plan:** A link ([https://www.utexas.edu/police/crimelog/](https://www.utexas.edu/police/crimelog/)) to the Crime Log should be included in the ASR and we will ask that Jennifer Hammat include it when it formatted for the coming year. Rhonda Weldon, Director of Communications for University Operations, will also do a social media push when the report comes out this fall. She will enlist Student Affairs to push it as well by posting it on the Dean of Students website. She suggested that the link [http://www.utexas.edu/campus-life/safety-security](http://www.utexas.edu/campus-life/safety-security) should be where everyone is sent to for the report. We have also requested that the Official Crime Log which is already on the main page of the department’s website be more prominent on the page adding the link to the left side of the page on the title.

**Responsible Person:** UTPD Chief of Police

**Planned Implementation Date:** The formatting on the website will be finalized in May 2014.

**Post Audit Review:** Internal Audits will perform follow-up work at the close of FY14.

**Emergency Response/Evacuation Procedures – Required Policy Statements**

The ASR did not include a required policy statement regarding the process used for determining and communicating that a significant emergency or dangerous situation exists. Management did not include the required policy statement in the ASR as a result of an oversight. Without including the required policy statements in the ASR, there is an increased risk that UT Austin may be penalized by the DOE.

According to Chapter 6 of DOE’s *Handbook for Campus Safety Security*, the following processes should be described in the ASR: a) How the institution confirms that there is a significant emergency or dangerous situation, b) How the determination is made to notify or not to notify specific segments of the campus community, c) How the institution will determine what information will be contained in a notification, and d) How the institution initiates notifying the campus community that there is an emergency or dangerous situation.
**Recommendation 9:** Management should ensure that a description of the process used to confirm that a significant emergency or dangerous situation exists is included in the ASR.

**Management’s Corrective Action Plan:** Include language which describe how the processes for the criteria as noted above in a, b, c, and d are met.

a. Initial confirmation by UTPD may occur by observation of a police or security officer, multiple witness telephone calls, alarms activating in the UTPD Communications Center or confirmed report from another emergency responding agency (such as the fire department, emergency medical services, hazardous materials response team, FBI, County Public Health, etc.).

b. The university Chief of Police and the associate vice president for Campus Safety and Security communicate situational information to university administration regarding the safety of the campus community. Upon considering the information, administration representatives develop the message content and activate campus-wide communications. The expectation to this process includes the dissemination via text, outdoor warning sirens, pagers and campus cable television messaging, which are activated directly by UTPD dispatch in extremely urgent situations where immediate action is required. Based on the confirmation of an ongoing threat, campus notification activation may include individual buildings, geographic zones or the entire campus. Immediate notification would not occur if doing so will compromise efforts to assist a victim, contain the emergency, respond or otherwise mitigate the emergency.

c. The notification content will contain pre-scripted brief messages or tailored content developed in consultation with the UT Police Chief or designee and the Director of University Operations Communications or designee. This notification to the public may include protective action measures, follow-up information, and an “all-clear” message.

d. In addition to The University of Texas at Austin’s text alert method of emergency communication, UTPD may employ a variety of communication methods to inform building occupants or a larger portion of campus about imminent safety threats. Communication methods will be employed based on a number of factors that will be evaluated for each incident, such as the nature and extent of the threat, the technology available in that building or area, the time of day, etc. Other communication methods may include the use of the University’s outdoor warning sirens, public address systems, fire alarm systems, digital signage, handheld or vehicle-mounted bullhorns, portable radios, pagers, desktop pop-up alerts, mass media, door-to-door notification and social networking sites. Campus alert notification will be posted on the Emergency Information website at [www.utexas.edu/emergency](http://www.utexas.edu/emergency).

These changes will be implemented in the 2014 ASR.

**Responsible Person:** Director of Emergency Preparedness
Planned Implementation Date: May 2014

Post Audit Review: Internal Audits will perform follow-up work at the close of FY14.

Emergency Response/Evacuation Procedures – Fire Drill Documentation
The fire drill form does not include whether the drill is announced or unannounced. Management was not aware that the fire drill form must include whether or not the drill is announced or unannounced. Without having all of the required elements for documenting tests that have been conducted, there is an increased risk that UT Austin may be penalized by the DOE.

According to Chapter 6 of DOE’s *Handbook for Campus Safety and Security*, documentation for each test conducted should include a description of the exercise, the date, time, and whether it was announced or unannounced.

**Recommendation 10:** Management should ensure the fire drill form includes whether the drill is announced or unannounced.

**Management’s Corrective Action Plan:** Fire Drill Form has been audited and corrections made to include a notation of “announced” or “unannounced” drill.
Responsible Person: UT Fire Marshal
Planned Implementation Date: January 1, 2014

Post Audit Review: Implementation of this recommendation was verified on April 28, 2014. This recommendation has been closed.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on interviews with relevant staff, a review of the DOE’s requirements and supporting documentation provided by UT Austin, and limited testing, it appears that UT Austin is in compliance with most of the Clery Act. However, we made ten recommendations to enhance policies and improve record keeping and documentation.

In accordance with directives from The University of Texas System Board of Regents, Internal Audits will perform follow-up procedures to confirm that audit recommendations have been implemented.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crime/Violation</th>
<th>Definition Under Federal Regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aggravated assault</td>
<td>An unlawful attack by one person upon another for the purpose of inflicting severe or aggravated bodily injury. This type of assault usually is accompanied by the use of a weapon or by means likely to produce death or great bodily harm. However, it is not necessary that injury result from an aggravated assault when a gun, knife, or other weapon is used which could and probably would result in serious personal injury if the crime were successfully completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arson</td>
<td>Any willful or malicious burning or attempt to burn, with or without intent to defraud, a dwelling house, public building, motor vehicle or aircraft, personal property of another, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary</td>
<td>The unlawful entry of a structure to commit a felony or a theft. For reporting purposes this definition includes: unlawful entry with intent to commit a larceny or felony; breaking and entering with intent to commit a larceny; housebreaking; safecracking; and all attempts to commit any of the aforementioned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle theft</td>
<td>The theft or attempted theft a motor vehicle. This includes all cases where automobiles are taken by persons not having lawful access even though the vehicles are later abandoned including joyriding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murder and non-negligent manslaughter</td>
<td>The willful (non-negligent) killing of one human being by another.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negligent manslaughter</td>
<td>The killing of another person through gross negligence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>The taking or attempting to take anything of value from the care, custody, or control of a person or persons by force or threat of force or violence and/or by putting the victim in fear.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forcible sex offense</td>
<td>Any sexual act directed against another person, forcibly and/or against that person's will; or not forcibly or against the person's will where the victim is incapable of giving consent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-forcible sex offense</td>
<td>Unlawful, non-forcible sexual intercourse such as incest or statutory rape.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug abuse violation</td>
<td>The violations of laws prohibiting the production, distribution, and/or use of certain controlled substances and the equipment or devices utilized in their preparation and/or use. The unlawful cultivation, manufacture, distribution, sale, purchase, use, possession, transportation, or importation of any controlled drug or narcotic substance. Arrests for violations of state and local laws, specifically those relating to the unlawful possession, sale, use, growing, manufacturing, and making of narcotic drugs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquor law violation</td>
<td>The violation of state or local laws or ordinances prohibiting the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weapons: Carrying, Possessing, Etc.</td>
<td>The violation of laws or ordinances prohibiting the manufacture, sale, purchase, transportation, possession, concealment, or use of firearms, cutting instruments, explosives, incendiary devices, or deadly weapons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hate crimes</td>
<td>An institution must report all crimes reported to local police agencies or to a campus security authority that manifest evidence that the victim was intentionally selected because of the victim’s actual or perceived race, gender, religion, sexual orientation, ethnicity, or disability: any crime above, the crimes of larceny-theft, simple assault, intimidation, and destruction/damage/vandalism of property, or any other crime involving bodily injury.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX II: CRIME STATISTICS REPORTED IN 2013 ANNUAL SECURITY REPORTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offense</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>On-Campus Property</th>
<th>On-Campus Residence Halls</th>
<th>On-Campus Total</th>
<th>Non-Campus Building or Property</th>
<th>Adjacent Public Property</th>
<th>Totals For All Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murder/Non-Negligent Manslaughter</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negligent Manslaughter</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Offenses, Forcible</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex Offenses, Non-Forcible</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robbery</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggravated Assault</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burglary</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Theft</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arson</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larceny Theft*</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Assault*</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offense</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>On-Campus Property</th>
<th>On-Campus Residence Halls</th>
<th>On-Campus Total</th>
<th>Non-Campus Building or Property</th>
<th>Adjacent Public Property</th>
<th>Totals For All Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intimidation *</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandalism *</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arrests</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>On-Campus Property</th>
<th>On-Campus Residence Halls</th>
<th>On-Campus Total</th>
<th>Non-Campus Building or Property</th>
<th>Adjacent Public Property</th>
<th>Totals For All Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illegal Weapons Possession Arrests</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Law Violations Arrests</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquor Law Violations Arrests</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referrals</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>On-Campus Property</th>
<th>On-Campus Residence Halls</th>
<th>On-Campus Total</th>
<th>Non-Campus Building or Property</th>
<th>Adjacent Public Property</th>
<th>Totals For All Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illegal Weapons Possession Arrests</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Law Violations Arrests</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquor Law Violations Arrests</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* Please note Hate Crimes for Larceny-Theft, Simple Assault and Intimidation were added for 2011 reporting in the Higher Education Opportunities Act. The Clery Act did not require data collection for these crimes prior to 2011.

* **Hate Crimes 2012:** Vandalism (Resident Halls) Anti-Male Homosexual (1); and Vandalism (Non-Campus Building or Property) Anti-Jewish (1)

* **Hate Crimes 2011:** Simple Assaults (Residence Halls) Anti-Jewish Bias (2); Simple Assault (Other On-Campus) Anti-Asian Bias (1); Simple Assault (Non-Campus Building or Property) Anti-Black Bias (1); and Vandalism (Other On-Campus) Anti-Multiracial Bias (1)

* **Hate Crimes 2010:** Vandalism (Other On-Campus) Anti-Multiracial Bias (1)