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SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Description of The University of Texas System

The University of Texas System has served Texas for more than 135 years, improving the lives of Texans—and people all over the world—through education, health care and research at 13 academic and health institutions across the state. With an enrollment of more than 240,000 students, the UT System is one of the nation’s largest public university systems of higher education.

UT institutions are powerful drivers of economic and social mobility in Texas, producing more than 60,000 degrees annually, including more than one-third of the state’s bachelor’s degrees and more than half of the state’s medical degrees. In 2019, almost half of all undergraduate degrees were awarded to students who qualified for a Pell grant based on financial need while enrolled at a UT institution. Sixty percent of undergraduates who received need-based financial aid double their parents’ income within five years of earning a UT degree.

More than three-fourths of undergraduate students secure jobs in Texas within a year after graduation, providing a skilled workforce and fueling the state’s economy. In fact, UT students who entered the Texas workforce between 2002 and 2017 had cumulative earnings through 2018 of $268 billion. And, according to Georgetown University’s Center on Education and the Workforce, a UT degree’s return on investment is among the best in the nation.

The UT System also is one of the largest employers in the state with 21,000 faculty – including Nobel laureates and members of National Academies – and more than 85,000 health care professionals, researchers and staff.

Creating a healthier Texas is a fundamental mission of UT institutions, which award more than 15,000 health-related degrees annually. The UT System is poised to open its seventh medical school in Tyler within the next several years. UT-owned and affiliated hospitals and clinics – supported by thousands of doctors, physician assistants, nurses and other health care providers – accounted for more than 9.2 million outpatient visits and over 1.8 million hospital days in 2019.

In addition to world-class patient care, UT researchers are on the front lines of advancing treatments and therapies for deadly and debilitating diseases. Life-changing and life-saving research and invention of new technologies regularly place UT institutions among the top 10 world’s most innovative universities, according to Reuters and the National Academy of Inventors. Total research spending across the 13 UT institutions exceeds $3 billion annually, the most in Texas, and the second most nationally among public higher education systems.

1.2 Background and Special Circumstances

University procures insurance when risk transfer appears to be the most effective mean of managing a particular risk. The types of insurance policies that University currently purchases or
which University anticipates that it may purchase in the future include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Accident & Sickness Insurance;
- Aircraft Liability – Owned;
- Aircraft - Non-Owned Aircraft Liability;
- Athletics-Related Insurance;
- Auto Liability and Physical Damage;
- Bonds & Surety;
- Camp Insurance;
- Contractual Bonus Insurance;
- Commercial Crime;
- Commercial Package;
- Cyber Liability Insurance;
- Defense Base Act Insurance;
- Drone Liability and Physical Damage Insurance;
- Directors & Officers / Employment Practices Liability;
- Event Cancellation Insurance
- Excess Workers Compensation (CLASH Coverage);
- Endowment Location Property insurance;
- Fine Arts;
- Garage Liability
- General Liability;
- Inland Marine (Equipment);
- International Package Policy (FVWC, GL, Auto);
- International Travel related insurance (including emergency, medical and security services);
- Local International insurance coverages (as needed for specific countries);
- Marine Insurance;
- Control of Well Insurance and Operator’s Expense (Maritime);
- Maritime General Liability;
- Maritime Excess Liability;
- Maritime Employer’s Liability;
- Maritime Equipment Physical Damage;
- Multi-State Workers’ Compensation;
- Professional Liability;
- Special Event General Liability;
- Tenant User Liability Insurance Program (TULIP);
- Umbrella / Excess;
- University Student Medical Professional Blanket Policy;
- Volunteer Accident;
- Volunteer Liability.

The intent of this RFP is to select multiple insurance brokers to provide services described in Section 5.

In previous iterations of the Broker Pool Agreement, University did solicitations among the Contractors for insurance services associated with certain insurance policies; these solicitations typically result in a Replacement Fee Addendum to the Agreement. For other services, University approached Contractors within the pool for insurance services that did not result in an Addendum to the Agreement. In these instances, compensation was made via commission. University reserves the right to determine how services will be procured for each line of insurance.
Past solicitations for services that resulted in a Replacement Fee Addendum include: Auto Liability and Physical Damage, Commercial Crime, Cyber Liability, Defense Base Act, Drone Liability and Physical Damage, Directors and Officers / Employment Practices Liability, Fine Arts, Inland Marine (Equipment), International Package (FVWC, GL, Auto), International Travel related insurance (including emergency, medical and security services), and University Student Medical Professional Blanket Policy.

1.3 Objective of Request for Proposal

The University of Texas System is soliciting proposals from qualified vendors to perform work (Work) more specifically described in Section 5.4 (Scope of Work) of this Request for Proposal (RFP), including (1) Insurance Program Services and (2) Risk Management Consultative Services (optional).

1.4 Group Purchase Authority

Texas law authorizes institutions of higher education (defined by §61.003, Education Code) to use the group purchasing procurement method (ref. §§51.9335, 73.115, and 74.008, Education Code). Additional Texas institutions of higher education may therefore elect to enter into a contract with the successful Proposer under this RFP. In particular, Proposer should note that University is part of The University of Texas System (UT System), which is comprised of thirteen institutions described at http://www.utsystem.edu/institutions. UT System institutions routinely evaluate whether a contract resulting from a procurement conducted by one of the institutions might be suitable for use by another, and if so, this RFP could give rise to additional purchase volumes. As a result, in submitting its proposal, Proposer should consider proposing a pricing model and other commercial terms that take into account the higher volumes and other expanded opportunities that could result from the eventual inclusion of other institutions in the purchase contemplated by this RFP. Any purchases made by other institutions based on this RFP will be the sole responsibility of those institutions.
SECTION 2
NOTICE TO PROPOSER

2.1 Submittal Deadline

University will accept proposals submitted in response to this RFP until 2:30 p.m., Central Standard Time (“CST”) on Wednesday, March 2, 2022 (the “Submittal Deadline”).

2.2 University Contact Person

Proposers will direct all questions or concerns regarding this RFP via Bonfire portal.

University specifically instructs all interested parties to restrict all contact and questions regarding this RFP to written communications delivered (i) through Bonfire portal, or (ii) if questions relate to Historically Underutilized Businesses, to HUB Coordinator (ref. Section 2.5 of this RFP).

**University must receive all questions or concerns no later than February 8, 2022.** University will have a reasonable amount of time to respond to questions or concerns. It is University’s intent to respond to all appropriate questions and concerns; however, University reserves the right to decline to respond to any question or concern.

2.3 Criteria for Selection

The successful Proposer, if any, selected by University through this RFP will be the Proposer that submits a proposal on or before the Submittal Deadline that is the most advantageous to University. The successful Proposer is referred to as “Contractor.”

Proposer is encouraged to propose terms and conditions offering the maximum benefit to University in terms of (1) service, (2) total overall cost, and (3) project management expertise.

The evaluation of proposals and the selection of Contractor will be based on the information provided in the proposal. University may consider additional information if University determines the information is relevant.

Criteria to be considered by University in evaluating proposals and selecting Contractor, will be these factors:

2.3.1 Threshold Criteria Not Scored

A. Ability of University to comply with laws regarding Historically Underutilized Businesses; and
B. Ability of University to comply with laws regarding purchases from persons with disabilities.

2.3.2 Scored Criteria

A. Cost (5%);
B. Vendor Experience (40%);
C. Approach to Project Services (50%)
D. Exceptions to Terms and Conditions provided in sample agreement (ref. APPENDIX TWO) of this RFP (5%)
2.4 Key Events Schedule

- **Issuance of RFP**: January 28, 2022
- **Pre-Proposal Conference** (ref. Section 2.6 of this RFP): February 4, 2022
- **Deadline for Questions / Concerns** (ref. Section 2.2 of this RFP): February 8, 2022
- **Submittal Deadline** (ref. Section 2.1 of this RFP): 2:30 p.m. CST on Wednesday, March 2, 2022

Historically Underutilized Businesses

2.5.1 All agencies of the State of Texas are required to make a good faith effort to assist historically underutilized businesses (each a “HUB”) in receiving contract awards. The goal of the HUB program is to promote full and equal business opportunity for all businesses in contracting with state agencies. Pursuant to the HUB program, if under the terms of any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP, Contractor subcontracts any of the Services, then Contractor must make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs certified by the Procurement and Support Services Division of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. Proposals that fail to comply with the requirements contained in this Section 2.5 will constitute a material failure to comply with advertised specifications and will be rejected by University as non-responsive. Additionally, compliance with good faith effort guidelines is a condition precedent to awarding any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP. Proposer acknowledges that, if selected by University, its obligation to make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs when subcontracting any of the Services will continue throughout the term of all agreements and contractual arrangements resulting from this RFP. Furthermore, any subcontracting of the Services by Proposer is subject to review by University to ensure compliance with the HUB program.

2.5.2 University has reviewed this RFP in accordance with Title 34, Texas Administrative Code, Section 20.285, and has determined that subcontracting opportunities (HUB and/or Non-HUB) are probable under this RFP. The HUB participation goal for this RFP is 26%.

2.5.3 A HUB Subcontracting Plan (“HSP”) is required as part of, but submitted separately from, Proposer’s proposal. The HSP will be developed and administered in accordance with University’s Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses and incorporated for all purposes.

Each Proposer, whether HUB certified or not, and whether self-performing or planning to subcontract, must complete and return the HSP in accordance with the terms and conditions of this RFP. Proposers that fail to do so will be considered non-responsive to this RFP in accordance with §2161.252, Government Code.

Questions regarding the HSP may be directed to:

- **Contact**: Kyle Hayes
  - **HUB Coordinator**
- **Phone**: 512-322-3745
- **Email**: khayes@utsystem.edu

Proposer will not be permitted to change its HSP after the deadline submittal date unless:

(1) Contractor completes a new HSP, setting forth all modifications requested by
Contractor, (2) Contractor provides the modified HSP to University, (3) University HUB Program Office approves the modified HSP in writing, and (4) all agreements resulting from this RFP are amended in writing to conform to the modified HSP.

Instructions on completing an HSP

Proposer must visit https://www.utsystem.edu/offices/historically-underutilized-business/hub-forms/hub-plan-templates-commodities-services-procurement to download the most appropriate HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) / Exhibit H form for use with this Request for Proposal. Proposer will find, on the HUB Forms webpage, a link to “Guide to Selecting the Appropriate HSP Option”. **Click on this link and read the Guide first before selecting an HSP Option.** Proposer shall select from the four (4) Options available, the Option that is most applicable to Proposer’s subcontracting intentions. These forms are in **fillable** PDF format and must be downloaded and opened with Adobe Acrobat / Reader to utilize the fillable function. **Each Option is accompanied by an HSP Completion Guide.** If Proposer has any questions regarding which Option to use, Proposer shall contact the HUB Coordinator listed in Section 2.5.3.

Proposer must complete the HSP, then print, sign and scan all pages of the HSP Option selected, with additional support documentation*, and submit via Bonfire portal. NOTE: during this time, electronic signatures are acceptable.

Any proposal submitted in response to this RFP that does not have a corresponding HSP meeting the above requirements may be rejected by University and returned to Proposer as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications.

Each Proposer’s HSP will be evaluated for completeness and compliance prior to opening the proposal to confirm Proposer compliance with HSP rules and standards. Proposer’s failure to submit one (1) completed and signed HUB Subcontracting Plan to the Bonfire portal may result in University’s rejection of the proposal as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications.

*If Proposer’s submitted HSP refers to specific page(s) / Sections(s) of Proposer’s proposal that explain how Proposer will perform entire contract with its own equipment, supplies, materials and/or employees, Proposer must submit copies of those pages with the HSP sent to the Bonfire Portal. In addition, all solicitation emails to potential subcontractors must be included as backup documentation to the Proposer’s HSP to demonstrate Good Faith Effort. Failure to do so will slow the evaluation process and may result in DISQUALIFICATION.

2.5.4 University may offer Proposer an opportunity to seek informal review of its draft HSP by University’s HUB Office before the Submittal Deadline. If University extends this offer, details will be provided at the Pre-Proposal Conference (ref. Section 2.6 of this RFP) or by other means. Informal review is designed to help address questions Proposer may have about how to complete its HSP properly. Concurrence or comment on Proposer’s draft HSP by University will not constitute formal approval of the HSP, and will not eliminate the need for Proposer to submit its final HSP to University as instructed by Section 2.5.
2.6 Pre-Proposal Conference

University will hold a pre-proposal conference at 10:00 a.m., Central Time on Friday, February 4, 2022. The pre-proposal conference will allow all Proposers an opportunity to ask University's representatives relevant questions and clarify provisions of this RFP. University will have representatives from the Office of Risk Management, HUB office, and Information Security available during the pre-proposal.

*Potential respondents must RSVP in Bonfire to receive the pre-proposal conference details via a Q&A message within the Bonfire portal.*
SECTION 3

SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL

3.1 Submission

Proposals must be received by University on or before the Submittal Deadline (ref. Section 2.1) Via University Bonfire portal.

3.2 Proposal Validity Period

Each proposal must state that it will remain valid for University’s acceptance for a minimum of one hundred and twenty (120) days after the Submittal Deadline, to allow time for evaluation, selection, and any unforeseen delays.

3.3 Terms and Conditions

3.3.1 Proposer must comply with the requirements and specifications contained in this RFP, including the Agreement (ref. APPENDIX TWO), the Notice to Proposer (ref. Section 2 of this RFP), Proposal Requirements (ref. APPENDIX ONE) and the Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. Section 5 of this RFP). If there is a conflict among the provisions in this RFP, the provision requiring Proposer to supply the better quality or greater quantity of services will prevail, or if such conflict does not involve quality or quantity, then interpretation will be in the following order of precedence:

3.3.1.1. Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. Section 5 of this RFP);

3.3.1.2. Agreement (ref. Section 4 and APPENDIX TWO);

3.3.1.3. Proposal Requirements (ref. APPENDIX ONE);

3.3.1.4. Notice to Proposers (ref. Section 2 of this RFP).

3.4 Submittal Checklist

Proposer is instructed to complete, sign, and upload the following documents as a part of its proposal. If Proposer fails to return each of the following items with its proposal, then University may reject the proposal:

3.4.1 Signed and Completed Execution of Offer (ref. Section 2 of APPENDIX ONE)

3.4.2 Signed and Completed Pricing and Delivery Schedule (ref. Section 6)

3.4.3 Responses to Proposer's General Questionnaire (ref. Section 3 of APPENDIX ONE)

3.4.4 Signed and Completed Addenda Checklist (ref. Section 4 of APPENDIX ONE)

3.4.5 Responses to questions and requests for information in the Specifications and Additional Questions Section (ref. Section 5)

3.4.6 Signed and completed originals of the HUB Subcontracting Plan or other applicable documents (ref. Section 2.5 and Section 5.3).
SECTION 4

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The terms and conditions contained in the attached Agreement (ref. APPENDIX TWO) or, in the sole discretion of University, terms and conditions substantially similar to those contained in the Agreement, will constitute and govern any agreement that results from this RFP. If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions set forth in the Agreement, Proposer will submit a list of the exceptions as part of its proposal in accordance with Section 5.3.1. Proposer’s exceptions will be reviewed by University and may result in disqualification of Proposer’s proposal as non-responsive to this RFP. If Proposer’s exceptions do not result in disqualification of Proposer’s proposal, then University may consider Proposer's exceptions when University evaluates the Proposer's proposal.
SECTION 5
SPECIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

5.1 General

The minimum requirements and the specifications for the Services, as well as certain requests for information to be provided by Proposer as part of its proposal, are set forth below. As indicated in Section 2.3 of this RFP, the successful Proposer is referred to as the “Contractor.”

Contract Term: University intends to enter into an agreement with the Contractor to perform the Services for an initial five (5) year base term, with the option to renew for two (2) additional one (1) year renewal periods, upon mutual written agreement of both parties.

Approval by the Board of Regents: No Agreement resulting from this RFP will be effective for amounts exceeding one million dollars ($1,000,000) until approved by the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System.

Multiple Awards: It has been determined that having Services provided by multiple Contractors is more advantageous to University. University reserves the right to make multiple awards against this RFP.

Disclosure of Existing Agreement: University has existing Insurance Brokerage service agreements, which are scheduled to expire August 31, 2022, with the following vendors:

1) MARSH USA, Inc.
2) Arthur J. Gallagher & Co.
3) AON Risk Solutions
4) Beecher Carlson Holdings, Inc.
5) Southwest Special Risk Insurance
6) Anco Insurance
7) Dissinger Reed (HUB International)
8) Willis Towers Watson

5.2 Service Options

University has defined an optional service for this RFP. Respondent must be able to provide Services described in Section 5.4.1 to be considered for this RFP. Additionally, Proposer may provide the optional Service described in Section 5.4.2. Proposer will note in Section 6 if they are providing the optional Service (ref. Section 5.4.2) and fill the additional pricing request in Section 6.2 if proposing the optional Service (ref. Section 5.4.2).

5.3 Additional Submittals Specific to this RFP

Proposer must submit the following information as part of Proposer’s proposal:

5.3.1 If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions set forth in the Agreement (ref. APPENDIX TWO), Proposer must redline APPENDIX TWO and include APPENDIX TWO as part of its Proposal. If Proposer agrees with terms or conditions set forth in the APPENDIX TWO, Proposer will submit a written statement acknowledging it.

5.3.2 By signing the Execution of Offer (ref. Section 2 of APPENDIX ONE), Proposer agrees to comply with Certificate of Interested Parties laws (ref. §2252.908, Government Code) and 1 TAC §§46.1 through 46.5 as implemented by the Texas Ethics Commission (TEC), including, among other things, providing TEC and University with information required on the form promulgated by TEC and set forth in APPENDIX FIVE. Proposer may learn more about these disclosure requirements,
including applicable exceptions and use of the TEC electronic filing system, by reviewing §2252.908, Government Code, and information on the TEC website at https://www.ethics.state.tx.us/resources/FAQs/FAQ_Form1295.php. The Certificate of Interested Parties must only be submitted by Contractor upon delivery to University of a signed Agreement.

5.3.3 In its proposal, Proposer must indicate whether it will consent to include in the Agreement the “Access by Individuals with Disabilities” language that is set forth in APPENDIX THREE, Access by Individuals with Disabilities. If Proposer objects to the inclusion of the “Access by Individuals with Disabilities” language in the Agreement, Proposer must, as part of its proposal, specifically identify and describe in detail all of the reasons for Proposer’s objection. NOTE THAT A GENERAL OBJECTION IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE RESPONSE TO THIS QUESTION. NOTE THAT PROPOSER MAY BE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT COMPLETED VPAT (VOLUNTARY PRODUCT ACCESSIBILITY TEMPLATE) UPON AWARD. VPAT document to complete is located at the following website: https://www.itic.org/dotAsset/47d8492f-a78a-46b8-b41a-fd656d773c5a.doc.

5.3.4 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in APPENDIX FOUR, Higher Education Vendor Assessment Tool (HECVAT).

5.3.5 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in APPENDIX SEVEN, Security Characteristics and Functionality of Contractor’s Information Resources. APPENDIX SEVEN will establish specifications, representations, warranties and agreements related to the EIR that Proposer is offering to provide to University. Responses to APPENDIX SEVEN will be incorporated into the Agreement and will be binding on Contractor.

5.3.6 Proposer must supply a Capability Summary of the services provided. (ref. APPENDIX SIX)

5.4 Scope of Work

Contractor will provide the following services to University:

5.4.1 Insurance Program Services

A. Administrative Services, including but not limited to:

1. Answer phone calls and emails, and field questions during regular business hours.

2. When requested by University, organize and attend meetings and document meeting discussions by taking meeting minutes. Send minutes to University within forty-eight (48) hours of the meeting for review and comment.

3. Notify University of any proposed changes to key personnel who will be providing services under the Agreement resulting from this RFP with University. Contractor shall submit a staffing plan and resumes for prospective replacement personnel to University for review and approval.

4. Notify University of any pending or actual material changes to Contractor’s business or insurer's business with whom Contractor is insuring University (examples: changes in financial status or changes in organization structure at local or corporate office).

B. Ongoing Policyholder Services, including but not limited to:

1. Act as an advocate on behalf of the University.
2. When requested by University, notify University of new insurance products that address University’s exposures.

3. Work proactively to identify potential exposures and emerging trends, and to provide actionable information to University.

4. Respond to coverage questions and any other information requests in writing when requested by University.

5. Develop a comprehensive action plan for placement, design and marketing of new or renewal business, including recommended coverage, retention levels, a timeline identifying major milestones and necessary data needed to obtain quote(s) and produce complete proposal packages.

6. Identify the insurance carriers, wholesalers, Managing General Agents, Surplus Lines Brokers, alternative risk financing markets that your firm intends to approach for quotes on the University’s program, including the amount of business your firm currently conducts with each, the underwriter(s) / contact(s) your firm will be working with, and your firm’s assessment of the competitive advantage each may provide.

7. Identify necessary information to develop market submissions and assist University with collection and presentation of data.

8. Assemble and format University’s data into a marketing submission for consideration by insurance carriers, wholesalers, Managing General Agents, Surplus Lines Brokers, alternative risk financing markets.

9. When requested by University, supply a draft of the submission to University for review and approval prior to sending the marketing submission to carriers.

10. Communicate with and secure all data required by insurance carrier and/or wholesaler, Managing General Agent, Surplus Lines Broker, and alternative risk financing markets.

11. As appropriate, solicit quotes and negotiate insurance coverage terms and conditions and / or alternative risk financing arrangements, including, terms, services, and premiums from markets with input from University.

12. Provide updates to University on status of placement action plan in a format and frequency acceptable to University.

13. Present complete proposal package to include quotes and declinations, coverage options, specimen policy form(s), broker commission received for placement, and/or risk finance solutions to University in a detailed manner. Documents should be in a form that can be shared with University executive management and should include evaluation of any proposed endorsements.

14. Provide a detailed description of responses received from insurance carriers, wholesalers, Managing General Agents, Surplus Lines Brokers, alternative risk financing markets. If carriers decline to quote policies, University requests a summary of all responses and explanations of any actions taken by Contractor to reverse the declination decision.

15. Notify University of adequate A.M. Best Rating Company financial strength and size levels to require of outside contracting parties in order to determine their insurance company’s claims paying ability, suitability, service record, and financial stability. Notify University when a rating change occurs with a carrier providing coverage to the University.
16. If requested by University, bind alternative risk financing plans or insurance coverage and maintain financing agreements, insurance policies, and coverage without lapses.

17. Confirm policies issued conform to University specifications. When requested by University, forward copy of completed Policy Review Checklist used to review policy.

18. Provide insurance binders, certificates, and policies when requested.

19. When necessary, communicate information between University and selected insurance carriers and, or wholesalers, Managing General Agents, Surplus Lines Brokers, alternative risk financing markets, including information regarding policy renewals, premium pay-ins, audit returns and buy-out provisions.

20. When necessary, schedule meetings between University and selected insurance carriers and, or wholesalers, Managing General Agents, Surplus Lines Brokers, alternative risk financing markets.

21. Maintain and provide University with an Open Task Report that details all outstanding tasks related to University placements / projects. Examples of items to be reported would be endorsement requests, policy issuance, upcoming renewals and pending coverage questions. The frequency for the Open Task Report will be determined by University based upon Contractor’s placement activity level.

22. Complete periodic file reviews, claim audits, and claim surveys and provide findings to the carrier and the University.

23. Request, implement, monitor, and verify coverage changes requested by University.

C. Claims Management, including but not limited to:

1. Provide claims advocacy services if a loss occurs, including but not limited to working with insurance carriers, alternative financing markets, and applicable federal and state agencies.

2. When requested by University, communicate information to insurance carrier, report claims to the carrier or administrator, and monitor claims activity.

3. Confirm to University that all deductible billings (and other claims billings) are accurate and allocate deductible billings on an institution by institution basis when requested by University.

4. Provide loss runs, including electronic data feeds, when requested. Reports must include, but not be limited to, loss date, report date, status, loss type, incurred total, paid total, reserve total, recoveries, cause code, litigation claims.

5. Provide on-site claims presence within twenty-four (24) hours if requested by University.

D. Billing, Budgeting, and Payment, including but not limited to:

1. University and Contractor will agree on whether a policy is direct billed or agency billed. University prefers to pay premiums through Contractor rather than direct bill.

2. When premiums are billed through Contractor, forward premiums due to insurance carrier on University’s behalf.

3. Verify that rates, premiums, bills, audits and other premium adjustments are properly calculated and charged and confirm to University in writing that invoiced premiums are correct.
4. When appropriate, allocation for the University's individual institutions will be required. Proposer will allocate billings for each of the University's institutions and supply University with electronic invoices on a per institution basis.

5. Provide University with estimated premiums annually for budget projections when requested.

6. Disclose any compensation received by the Contractor, any of its affiliates, or any other intermediary during the term of this agreement, including, but not limited to, commissions, fees, contingent commissions, enhanced or supplemental commissions, excess and surplus lines and reinsurance commissions, profit sharing, volume over-rides, work transfer payments, service income, fiduciary funds income, sales incentives, and gifts.

7. When requested by University, provide benchmarking and best practice information regarding risk and insurance management.

8. All insurance program services will be negotiated by University. University will authorize Contractor services under this Section 6 in writing (ref. APPENDIX TWO). Payment for premium and services may be made by University or University Institutions. Invoices for premium and services made by University Institution must name requesting University Institution.

9. At its discretion, University may authorize Contractor services through a Replacement Fee Addendum at University's request. Payment for services may be made by University and/or University institutions.

10. When requested by University, identify for University's consideration any Fees, as more specifically defined in Section 6 (ref. APPENDIX TWO), that Contractor proposes to charge University for any insurance policies University contemplates procuring under this Section 5.4.

11. If policies are placed on a fee basis, obtain documentation from insurers that premiums are net of commissions.

E. Loss Control Services

1. When requested by University prior to the purchase or renewal of a policy, conduct inspections, tests and other safety and loss prevention services.

F. Miscellaneous Provisions

1. Other services required in order to achieve new business or renewal objectives.

2. In the best interest of University, University may conduct a solicitation within the pool or can reach out to individual service providers as needed.

3. Notify University of any new or pending legislation or regulations that could impact University insurance premiums or coverage. Assist University with analysis and implementation of legislative and regulatory requirements.

4. When a related service is required as part of the implementation of the recommended insurance policy placement, Contractor will provide cost and qualification information for the related service as part of the insurance policy quote/proposal information. A separate contract may be required between the University and the related service vendor (example, claims administrator for policies subject to an aggregate deductible), and information supplied as part of the insurance policy selection will be used to assist in the contracting process.
5.4.2 **Risk Management Consultative Services (OPTIONAL)**

Proposers do not have to be able to provide the following services in order to be considered for this RFP.

1. University will authorize Contractor services under this Section 5.4.2 through a Risk Management Services Addendum. Payment for services may be made by University or University institutions.

2. When requested by University, Contractor will perform other risk management consulting services not already included in Section 5.4.1 of this RFP. Examples of such services would include, but are not limited to, Market Analyses, Business Continuity Planning, Crisis Management, Catastrophe Modeling, Captive Feasibility Study, Risk Management Reviews and Risk Assessments.

5.5 **Additional Questions Specific to this RFP**

Proposer must submit the following information as part of Proposer's proposal:

**Vendor Experience (40%)**

1. Provide references from a minimum of two (2) current higher education clients and two (2) former higher education clients who have terminated services with your company in the past two (2) years.

   Provide the following information for each customer:
   - Institution name and address;
   - Department name
   - Department contact name with email address and phone number;
   - Brief description of work performed.

2. Has Proposer worked with University institutions in the past five (5) years? If “yes,” state University Institution name, department name, department contact, and provide a brief description of work performed.

3. Provide responses for the office or branch that will service the University account, including (without limitation) the following qualifications:
   - Total number of Employees;
   - Approximate Total Number of Clients;
   - Number of *Private* College, University, Academic, Medical Center and Healthcare Clients;
   - Number of *Public* College, University, Academic, Medical Center and Healthcare Clients;
   - Premium Volume (Property and Casualty only);
   - Approximate Average Size of Accounts (premium size);
   - Largest Single Account (premium size);
   - If Direct Writer, A.M. Best’s Rating
4. List the services that are provided by Proposer’s in-house staff.

5. List the services that are provided through an affiliate.

6. Describe Proposer’s experience and capabilities in providing Property & Casualty Insurance Brokerage and risk management services for other clients with coverages and / or programs comparable to University’s. Be specific and identify services performed within the past three (3) years.

7. Provide a proposed organizational chart indicating specific individuals who will service the University account. Include the number of clients serviced by each individual and the number of higher education clients. Examples of key personnel would include account executives, account managers, marketing personnel and key service representatives.

8. Provide summary resumes for proposed key personnel who will be providing services to University, including their specific experiences with similar service projects, and number of years of employment with Proposer.

9. Indicate the location of Proposer’s office designated to service University and describe the services and staff available at that office. If Proposer intends to use other offices, describe the services they would provide. Indicate, if applicable, where any subcontractor offices are located.

10. Describe Proposer’s service support philosophy, how it is implemented, and how Proposer measures its success in maintaining this philosophy.

**Approach to Project Services (50%)**

11. Provide a statement of Proposer’s service approach and describe any unique benefits to University from doing business with Proposer.

12. Describe Proposer’s approach to the required services identified in **Section 5.3 Scope of Work** of this RFP. Please be specific in in addressing each of the service categories (ie: A. Administrative; B. Ongoing Policyholder Services; etc.).

13. Describe Proposer’s strategy for ensuring that University receives all information regarding coverage terms and conditions prior to purchasing a policy. Provide a sample coverage comparison.

14. Describe Proposer’s strategy for ensuring that University receives a policy that is issued correctly and according to specifications. Provide a sample Policy Review Checklist.

15. Describe Proposer’s qualifications and abilities to perform the claims handling services.

16. When appropriate, allocation of billings for the University’s individual institutions will be required. Confirm that Proposer will allocate billings for each of the University’s institutions and supply University with electronic invoices on a per institution basis.

17. Describe the major types of risks and exposures faced by public institutions of higher education and healthcare both in Texas and throughout the nation. Provide details of Proposer’s strategy to identify, evaluate, assess and mitigate these risks and exposures.
18. Describe any difficulties Proposer anticipates in performing the duties described in this RFP and how Proposer plans to manage these difficulties. Proposer must describe the assistance it will require from University.

19. Describe Proposer's quality assurance program, its quality requirements, and how they are measured.

20. Provide details regarding (a) any special services, product characteristics, or other benefits (for example, software, web-based information services, electronic mail capabilities, and audit programs), offered to University, (b) any other advantages to University in selecting Proposer, and (c) any related costs of such goods, services or advantages.

Exceptions to Terms and Conditions provided in sample agreement (ref. APPENDIX TWO) of this RFP (5%)

21. Does Proposer take exception to any part of the Agreement as described in Section 4 and Section 5.2.1?

Proposer must explain the redlines made to any part of the Agreement as described in Section 4 and Section 5.3.1.
SECTION 6

PRICING AND DELIVERY SCHEDULE

Proposal of: ___________________________________
(Proposer Company Name)

To: The University of Texas System

RFP No.: 720-2209 - Non-Exclusive Insurance Broker Services

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Having carefully examined all the specifications and requirements of this RFP and any attachments thereto, the undersigned proposes to furnish the required pursuant to the above-referenced Request for Proposal upon the terms quoted below. The University will not accept proposals which include assumptions or exceptions to the work identified in this RFP.

6.1 Pricing for Services Offered (5%)

Describe Proposer’s general pricing methodology for services described in Section 5.4.1.

6.2 Optional Services

Describe Proposer’s general pricing methodology for services described in Section 5.4.2.

6.3 Discounts

Describe all discounts that may be available to University, including, educational, federal, state and local discounts.

6.4 Delivery Schedule of Events and Time Periods

Indicate number of calendar days needed to commence the Services from the execution of the services agreement:

______________________ Calendar Days

6.5 Payment Terms

University’s standard payment terms are “net 30 days” as mandated by the Texas Prompt Payment Act (ref. Chapter 2251, Government Code).

University will be entitled to withhold _________ percent (___%) of the total payment due under the Agreement until after University’s acceptance of the final work product.

Indicate below the prompt payment discount that Proposer offers:

Prompt Payment Discount: _____%_____days / net 30 days.

Section 51.012, Education Code, authorizes University to make payments through electronic funds transfer methods. Proposer agrees to accept payments from University through those methods, including the automated clearing house system (“ACH”). Proposer agrees to provide Proposer’s banking information to University in writing on Proposer letterhead signed by an authorized
representative of Proposer. Prior to the first payment, University will confirm Proposer’s banking information. Changes to Proposer’s bank information must be communicated to University in writing at least thirty (30) days before the effective date of the change and must include an IRS Form W-9 signed by an authorized representative of Proposer.

University, an agency of the State of Texas, is exempt from Texas Sales & Use Tax on goods and services in accordance with §151.309, Tax Code, and Title 34 TAC §3.322. Pursuant to 34 TAC §3.322(c)(4), University is not required to provide a tax exemption certificate to establish its tax exempt status.

Respectfully submitted,

Proposer: ______________________________

By: ______________________________
   (Authorized Signature for Proposer)

Name: ______________________________

Title: ______________________________

Date: ______________________________
SECTION 7

INFORMATION SECURITY REQUIREMENTS ATTESTATION

Proposal of: _____________________________________________

(Proposer Company Name)

To: The University of Texas System

RFP No.: 

This completed document, signed by Proposer’s designated Chief Information Security Officer (CISO) or equivalent is attestation that Proposer will be able to meet UT System Information Security Requirements in APPENDIX SEVEN and that the answers to questions in APPENDIX SEVEN and APPENDIX FOUR are valid and accurate.

By my signature below, I hereby certify that:

- I have the necessary authority to execute this agreement between my Agency and UT System.
- I have read, understand and confirm that Proposer is able to comply with all of the terms and conditions of UT System Information Security Requirements in APPENDIX SEVEN.
- I confirm that Proposer responses to questions in APPENDIX SEVEN and APPENDIX FOUR are valid and accurate.
- Post award, I agree that Proposer will comply with UT System Information Security Requirements in APPENDIX SEVEN.

Proposer: ____________________________

By: ______________________________________

(Authorized Signature of Chief Information Security Officer or Equivalent)

Name: ____________________________

Title: ____________________________

Date: ____________________________
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SECTION 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Purpose

University is soliciting competitive sealed proposals from Proposers having suitable qualifications and experience providing services in accordance with the terms, conditions and requirements set forth in this RFP. This RFP provides sufficient information for interested parties to prepare and submit proposals for consideration by University.

By submitting a proposal, Proposer certifies that it understands this RFP and has full knowledge of the scope, nature, quality, and quantity of the services to be performed, the detailed requirements of the services to be provided, and the conditions under which such services are to be performed. Proposer also certifies that it understands that all costs relating to preparing a response to this RFP will be the sole responsibility of the Proposer.

PROPOSER IS CAUTIONED TO READ THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS RFP CAREFULLY AND TO SUBMIT A COMPLETE RESPONSE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS AND QUESTIONS AS DIRECTED.

1.2 Inquiries and Interpretations

University may in its sole discretion respond in writing to written inquiries concerning this RFP and mail its response as an Addendum to all parties recorded by University as having received a copy of this RFP. Only University’s responses that are made by formal written Addenda will be binding on University. Any verbal responses, written interpretations or clarifications other than Addenda to this RFP will be without legal effect. All Addenda issued by University prior to the Submittal Deadline will be and are hereby incorporated as a part of this RFP for all purposes.

Proposers are required to acknowledge receipt of each Addendum as specified in this Section. The Proposer must acknowledge all Addenda by completing, signing and returning the Addenda Checklist (ref. Section 4 of APPENDIX ONE). The Addenda Checklist must be received by University prior to the Submittal Deadline and should accompany the Proposer’s proposal.

Any interested party that receives this RFP by means other than directly from University is responsible for notifying University that it has received an RFP package, and should provide its name, address, telephone and facsimile (FAX) numbers, and email address, to University, so that if University issues Addenda to this RFP or provides written answers to questions, that information can be provided to that party.

1.3 Public Information

Proposer is hereby notified that University strictly adheres to all statutes, court decisions and the opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of public information.

University may seek to protect from disclosure all information submitted in response to this RFP until such time as a final agreement is executed.

Upon execution of a final agreement, University will consider all information, documentation, and other materials requested to be submitted in response to this RFP, to be of a non-confidential and non-proprietary nature and, therefore, subject to public disclosure under the Texas Public Information Act (ref. Chapter 552, Government Code). Proposer will be advised of a request for public information that implicates their materials and will have the opportunity to raise any objections to disclosure to the Texas Attorney General. Certain information may be protected from release under §§552.101, 552.104, 552.110, 552.113, and 552.131, Government Code.

1.4 Type of Agreement

Contractor, if any, will be required to enter into a contract with University in a form substantially similar to the Agreement between University and Contractor (the “Agreement”) attached to this RFP as APPENDIX TWO, incorporated for all purposes and otherwise acceptable to University in all respects.

1.5 Proposal Evaluation Process

University will select Contractor by using the competitive sealed proposal process described in this Section. Any proposals that are not submitted by the Submittal Deadline or that are not accompanied by required number of completed and signed originals of the HSP will be rejected by University as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with this RFP (ref. Section 2.5.4 of this RFP). Upon completion of the initial review and evaluation of proposals, University may invite one or more selected Proposers to participate in oral presentations. University will use commercially reasonable efforts to avoid public disclosure of the contents of a proposal prior to selection of Contractor.

University may make the selection of Contractor on the basis of the proposals initially submitted, without discussion, clarification or modification. In the alternative, University may make the selection of Contractor on the basis of negotiation with any of the Proposers. In conducting negotiations, University will use commercially reasonable efforts to avoid disclosing the contents of competing proposals.

University may discuss and negotiate all elements of proposals submitted by Proposers within a specified competitive range. For purposes of negotiation, University may establish, after an initial review of the proposals, a competitive range of acceptable or potentially acceptable proposals composed of the highest rated proposal(s). In that event, University may defer further action on proposals not included within the competitive range pending the selection of Contractor; provided, however, University reserves the right to include additional proposals in the competitive range if deemed to be in the best interest of University.
After the Submittal Deadline but before final selection of Contractor, University may permit Proposer to revise its proposal in order to obtain the Proposer's best and final offer. In that event, representations made by Proposer in its revised proposal, including price and fee quotes, will be binding on Proposer. University will provide each Proposer within the competitive range with an equal opportunity for discussion and revision of its proposal. University is not obligated to select the Proposer offering the most attractive economic terms if that Proposer is not the most advantageous to University overall, as determined by University.

University reserves the right to (a) enter into an agreement for all or any portion of the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP with one or more Proposers, (b) reject any and all proposals and re-solicit proposals, or (c) reject any and all proposals and temporarily or permanently abandon this selection process, if deemed to be in the best interests of University. Proposer is hereby notified that University will maintain in its files concerning this RFP a written record of the basis upon which a selection, if any, is made by University.

1.6 Proposer's Acceptance of RFP Terms

Proposer (1) accepts [a] Proposal Evaluation Process (ref. Section 1.5 of APPENDIX ONE), [b] Criteria for Selection (ref. 2.3 of this RFP), [c] Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. Section 5 of this RFP), [d] terms and conditions of the Agreement (ref. APPENDIX TWO), and [e] all other requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP; and (2) acknowledges that some subjective judgments must be made by University during this RFP process.

1.7 Solicitation for Proposal and Proposal Preparation Costs

Proposer understands and agrees that (1) this RFP is a solicitation for proposals and University has made no representation written or oral that one or more agreements with University will be awarded under this RFP; (2) University issues this RFP predicated on University’s anticipated requirements for the Services, and University has made no representation, written or oral, that any particular scope of services will actually be required by University; and (3) Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost that arises from Proposer’s preparation of a proposal in response to this RFP.

1.8 Proposal Requirements and General Instructions

1.8.1 Proposer should carefully read the information contained herein and submit a complete proposal in response to all requirements and questions as directed.

1.8.2 Proposals and any other information submitted by Proposer in response to this RFP will become the property of University.

1.8.3 University will not provide compensation to Proposer for any expenses incurred by the Proposer for proposal preparation or for demonstrations or oral presentations that may be made by Proposer. Proposer submits its proposal at its own risk and expense.

1.8.4 Proposals that (i) are qualified with conditional clauses; (ii) alter, modify, or revise this RFP in any way; or (iii) contain irregularities of any kind, are subject to disqualification by University, at University’s sole discretion.

1.8.5 Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward, concise description of Proposer’s ability to meet the requirements and specifications of this RFP. Emphasis should be on completeness, clarity of content, and responsiveness to the requirements and specifications of this RFP.

1.8.6 University makes no warranty or guarantee that an award will be made as a result of this RFP. University reserves the right to accept or reject any or all proposals, waive any formalities, procedural requirements, or minor technical inconsistencies, and delete any requirement or specification from this RFP or the Agreement when deemed to be in University’s best interest. University reserves the right to seek clarification from any Proposer concerning any item contained in its proposal prior to final selection. Such clarification may be provided by telephone conference or personal meeting with or writing to University, at University’s sole discretion. Representations made by Proposer within its proposal will be binding on Proposer.

1.8.7 Any proposal that fails to comply with the requirements contained in this RFP may be rejected by University, in University’s sole discretion.
1.9 Preparation and Submittal Instructions

1.9.1 Specifications and Additional Questions

Proposals must include responses to the questions in Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. Section 5 of this RFP). Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N/A (Not Applicable) or N/R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer should explain the reason when responding N/A or N/R.

1.9.2 Execution of Offer

Proposer must complete, sign and return the attached Execution of Offer (ref. Section 2 of APPENDIX ONE) as part of its proposal. The Execution of Offer must be signed by a representative of Proposer duly authorized to bind the Proposer to its proposal. Any proposal received without a completed and signed Execution of Offer may be rejected by University, in its sole discretion.

1.9.3 Pricing and Delivery Schedule

Proposer must complete and return the Pricing and Delivery Schedule (ref. Section 6 of this RFP), as part of its proposal. In the Pricing and Delivery Schedule, the Proposer should describe in detail (a) the total fees for the entire scope of the Services; and (b) the method by which the fees are calculated. The fees must be inclusive of all associated costs for delivery, labor, insurance, taxes, overhead, and profit.

University will not recognize or accept any charges or fees to perform the Services that are not specifically stated in the Pricing and Delivery Schedule.

In the Pricing and Delivery Schedule, Proposer should describe each significant phase in the process of providing the Services to University, and the time period within which Proposer proposes to be able to complete each such phase.

1.9.4 Proposer’s General Questionnaire

Proposals must include responses to the questions in Proposer’s General Questionnaire (ref. Section 3 of APPENDIX ONE). Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N/A (Not Applicable) or N/R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer should explain the reason when responding N/A or N/R.

1.9.5 Addenda Checklist

Proposer should acknowledge all Addenda to this RFP (if any) by completing, signing and returning the Addenda Checklist (ref. Section 4 of APPENDIX ONE) as part of its proposal. Any proposal received without a completed and signed Addenda Checklist may be rejected by University, in its sole discretion.

1.9.6 Submission

Proposer should submit all proposal materials as instructed in Section 3 of this RFP. RFP No. (ref. Title Page of this RFP) and Submittal Deadline (ref. Section 2.1 of this RFP) should be clearly shown (1) in the Subject line of any email transmitting the proposal, and (2) in the lower left-hand corner on the top surface of any envelope or package containing the proposal. In addition, the name and the return address of the Proposer should be clearly visible in any email or on any envelope or package.

University will not under any circumstances consider a proposal that is received after the Submittal Deadline or which is not accompanied by the HSP as required by Section 2.5 of this RFP. University will not accept proposals submitted by email, telephone or FAX transmission.

Except as otherwise provided in this RFP, no proposal may be changed, amended, or modified after it has been submitted to University. However, a proposal may be withdrawn and resubmitted at any time prior to the Submittal Deadline. No proposal may be withdrawn after the Submittal Deadline without University’s consent, which will be based on Proposer’s written request explaining and documenting the reason for withdrawal, which is acceptable to University.
SECTION 2
EXECUTION OF OFFER

THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH PROPOSER’S PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER WITH THE PROPOSER’S PROPOSAL MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF THE PROPOSAL.

2.1 Representations and Warranties. Proposer represents, warrants, certifies, acknowledges, and agrees as follows:

2.1.1 Proposer will furnish the Services to University and comply with all terms, conditions, requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP and any resulting Agreement.

2.1.2 This RFP is a solicitation for a proposal and is not a contract or an offer to contract. Submission of a proposal by Proposer in response to this RFP will not create a contract between University and Proposer. University has made no representation or warranty, written or oral, that one or more contracts with University will be awarded under this RFP. Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost arising from Proposer’s preparation of a response to this RFP.

2.1.3 Proposer is a reputable company that is lawfully and regularly engaged in providing the Services.

2.1.4 Proposer has the necessary experience, knowledge, abilities, skills, and resources to perform the Services.

2.1.5 Proposer is aware of, is fully informed about, and is in full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and ordinances relating to performance of the Services.

2.1.6 Proposer understands (i) the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP and (ii) the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement under which Proposer will be required to operate.

2.1.7 Proposer will not delegate any of its duties or responsibilities under this RFP or the Agreement to any sub-contractor, except as expressly provided in the Agreement.

2.1.8 Proposer will maintain any insurance coverage required by the Agreement during the entire term.

2.1.9 All statements, information and representations prepared and submitted in response to this RFP are current, complete, true and accurate. University will rely on such statements, information and representations in selecting Contractor. If selected by University, Proposer will notify University immediately of any material change in any matters with regard to which Proposer has made a statement or representation or provided information.

2.1.10 Proposer will defend with counsel approved by University, indemnify, and hold harmless University, UT System, the State of Texas, and all of their regents, officers, agents and employees, from and against all actions, suits, demands, costs, damages, liabilities and other claims of any nature, kind or description, including reasonable attorneys’ fees incurred in investigating, defending or settling any of the foregoing, arising out of, connected with, or resulting from any negligent acts or omissions or willful misconduct of Proposer or any agent, employee, subcontractor, or supplier of Proposer in the execution or performance of any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP.

2.1.11 Pursuant to §§2107.008 and 2252.903, Government Code, any payments owing to Proposer under the Agreement may be applied directly to any debt or delinquency that Proposer owes the State of Texas or any agency of the State of Texas, regardless of when it arises, until such debt or delinquency is paid in full.

2.1.12 Any terms, conditions, or documents attached to or referenced in Proposer’s proposal are applicable to this procurement only to the extent that they (a) do not conflict with the laws of the State of Texas or this RFP, and (b) do not place any requirements on University that are not set forth in this RFP. Submission of a proposal is Proposer’s good faith intent to enter into the Agreement with University as specified in this RFP and that Proposer’s intent is not contingent upon University’s acceptance or execution of any terms, conditions, or other documents attached to or referenced in Proposer’s proposal.

2.1.13 Pursuant to Chapter 2271, Texas Government Code, Contractor certifies Contractor (1) does not currently boycott Israel; and (2) will not boycott Israel during the Term of this Agreement. Contractor acknowledges this Agreement may be terminated and payment withheld if this certification is inaccurate.

2.1.14 Pursuant to Subchapter F, Chapter 2252, Texas Government Code, Proposer certifies it is not engaged in business with Iran, Sudan, or a foreign terrorist organization. Proposer acknowledges any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if this certification is inaccurate.

2.1.15 Pursuant to Chapter 2274, Texas Government Code (enacted by SB 19, 87th Texas Legislature, Regular Session (2021)), Proposer verifies (1) it does not have a practice, policy, guidance, or directive that discriminates against a firearm entity or firearm trade association and (2) it will not discriminate during the term of any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP against a firearm entity or firearm trade association. Proposer acknowledges any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if this verification is inaccurate.

2.1.16 Pursuant to Chapter 2274, Texas Government Code (enacted by SB 13, 87th Texas Legislature, Regular Session (2021)), Proposer verifies (1) it does not boycott energy companies and (2) it will not boycott energy companies during the term of any
contract or agreement resulting from this RFP. Proposer acknowledges any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if this verification is inaccurate.

2.1.17 Pursuant to Section 161.0085, Texas Health and Safety Code (enacted by SB 968, 87th Texas Legislature, Regular Session (2021)), Proposer certifies that it does not require a customer to provide any documentation certifying the customer's COVID-19 vaccination or post-transmission recovery on entry to, to gain access to, or to receive service from Proposer's business. Proposer acknowledges any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if this verification is inaccurate.

2.2 No Benefit to Public Servants. Proposer has not given or offered to give, nor does Proposer intend to give at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to a public servant in connection with its proposal. Failure to sign this Execution of Offer, or signing with a false statement, may void the submitted proposal or any resulting Agreement, and Proposer may be removed from all proposer lists at University.

2.3 Tax Certification. Proposer is not currently delinquent in the payment of any taxes due under Chapter 171, Tax Code, or Proposer is exempt from the payment of those taxes, or Proposer is an out-of-state taxable entity that is not subject to those taxes, whichever is applicable. A false certification will be deemed a material breach of any resulting contract or agreement and, at University's option, may result in termination of any resulting Agreement.

2.4 Antitrust Certification. Neither Proposer nor any firm, corporation, partnership or institution represented by Proposer, nor anyone acting for such firm, corporation or institution, has violated the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, codified in §15.01 et seq., Business and Commerce Code, or the Federal antitrust laws, nor communicated directly or indirectly the proposal made to any competitor or any other person engaged in such line of business.

2.5 Authority Certification. The individual signing this document and the documents made a part of this RFP, is authorized to sign the documents on behalf of Proposer and to bind Proposer under any resulting Agreement.

2.6 Child Support Certification. Under §231.006, Family Code, relating to child support, the individual or business entity named in Proposer's proposal is not ineligible to receive award of the Agreement, and any Agreements resulting from this RFP may be terminated if this certification is inaccurate.

2.7 Relationship Certifications.
- No relationship, whether by blood, marriage, business association, capital funding agreement or by any other such kinship or connection exists between the owner of any Proposer that is a sole proprietorship, the officers or directors of any Proposer that is a corporation, the partners of any Proposer that is a partnership, the joint venturers of any Proposer that is a joint venture, or the members or managers of any Proposer that is a limited liability company, on one hand, and an employee of any member institution of UT System, on the other hand, other than the relationships which have been previously disclosed to University in writing.
- Proposer has not been an employee of any member institution of UT System within the immediate twelve (12) months prior to the Submittal Deadline.
- No person who, in the past four (4) years served as an executive of a state agency was involved with or has any interest in Proposer's proposal or any contract resulting from this RFP (ref. §669.003, Government Code).
- All disclosures by Proposer in connection with this certification will be subject to administrative review and approval before University enters into any Agreement resulting from this RFP with Proposer.

2.8 Compliance with Equal Employment Opportunity Laws. Proposer is in compliance with all federal laws and regulations pertaining to Equal Employment Opportunities and Affirmative Action.

2.9 Compliance with Safety Standards. All products and services offered by Proposer to University in response to this RFP meet or exceed the safety standards established and promulgated under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Law (Public Law 91-596) and the Texas Hazard Communication Act, Chapter 502, Health and Safety Code, and all related regulations in effect or proposed as of the date of this RFP.

2.10 Exceptions to Certifications. Proposer will and has disclosed, as part of its proposal, any exceptions to the information stated in this Execution of Offer. All information will be subject to administrative review and approval prior to the time University makes an award or enters into any Agreement with Proposer.

2.11 Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act Certification. If Proposer will sell or lease computer equipment to University under any Agreement resulting from this RFP then, pursuant to §361.955(c), Health & Safety Code, Proposer is in compliance with the Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act set forth in Chapter 361, Subchapter Y, Health & Safety Code, and the rules adopted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality under that Act as set forth in 30 TAC Chapter 328, §361.952(2), Health & Safety Code, states that, for purposes of the Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act, the term "computer equipment" means a desktop or notebook computer and includes a computer monitor or other display device that does not contain a tuner.

2.12 Conflict of Interest Certification.
- Proposer is not a debarred vendor or the principal of a debarred vendor (i.e. owner, proprietor, sole or majority shareholder, director, president, managing partner, etc.) either at the state or federal level.
- Proposer’s provision of services or other performance under any Agreement resulting from this RFP will not constitute an actual or potential conflict of interest.
- Proposer has disclosed any personnel who are related to any current or former employees of University.
- Proposer has not given, nor does Proposer intend to give, at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to an officer or employee of University in connection with this RFP.
2.15 **Proposer Compliance and Certification Relating to Cloud Computing Services.** Section 2054.0593 of the *Texas Government Code* (enacted by SB 475, 87th Texas Legislature, Regular Session (2021)) requires the Texas Department of Information Resources (DIR) to establish and implement a state risk and authorization management program to provide a standardized approach for security assessment, authorization, and continuous monitoring of cloud computing services that process the data of Texas state agencies. DIR by rule shall prescribe (1) the categories and characteristics of cloud computing services subject to the state risk and authorization management program and (2) the requirements for certification through the program of vendors that provide cloud computing services. DIR shall evaluate vendors to determine whether a vendor qualifies for a certification issued by DIR reflecting compliance with program requirements. Texas state agencies must ensure that each contract for cloud computing services that the agency enters into or renews on or after January 1, 2022, complies with Section 2054.0593.

As a result, Proposer certifies that if selected it will comply with the requirements of such a state risk and authorization management program and maintain program compliance and certification throughout the term of any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP. Proposer understands and agrees that the University may not enter into or renew any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP with Proposer to purchase cloud computing services for the University that are subject to the state risk and authorization management program unless the Proposer demonstrates compliance with program requirements. Proposer acknowledges that any contract or agreement resulting from this RFP may be terminated and payment withheld if Proposer does not comply with this Section.

2.16 **Proposer should complete the following information:**

If Proposer is a Corporation, then State of Incorporation: ______________________

If Proposer is a Corporation, then Proposer’s Corporate Charter Number: __________

RFP No.: 720-2209 - Non-Exclusive Insurance Broker Services

**NOTICE:** With few exceptions, individuals are entitled on request to be informed about the information that governmental bodies of the State of Texas collect about such individuals. Under §§552.021 and 552.023, Government Code, individuals are entitled to receive and review such information. Under §559.004, Government Code, individuals are entitled to have governmental bodies of the State of Texas correct information about such individuals that is incorrect.

Submitted and Certified By:

(Proposer Institution’s Name)

(Signature of Duly Authorized Representative)

(Printed Name / Title)

(Date Signed)

(Proposer’s Street Address)

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Telephone Number)

(FAX Number)

(Email Address)
SECTION 3
PROPOSER'S GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE: WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED ON REQUEST TO BE INFORMED ABOUT THE INFORMATION THAT GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS COLLECT ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS. UNDER §§552.021 AND 552.023, GOVERNMENT CODE, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE AND REVIEW SUCH INFORMATION. UNDER §559.004, GOVERNMENT CODE, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS CORRECT INFORMATION ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS THAT IS INCORRECT.

Proposals must include responses to the questions contained in this Proposer's General Questionnaire. Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N / A (Not Applicable) or N / R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer will explain the reason when responding N / A or N / R.

3.1 Proposer Profile

3.1.1 Legal name of Proposer company:

______________________________________________________________

Address of principal place of business:

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

Address of office that would be providing service under the Agreement:

______________________________________________________________

______________________________________________________________

Number of years in Business: ________________________________

State of incorporation: _______________________________________

Number of Employees: ________________________________

Annual Revenues Volume: ________________________________

Name of Parent Corporation, if any

NOTE: If Proposer is a subsidiary, University prefers to enter into a contract or agreement with the Parent Corporation or to receive assurances of performance from the Parent Corporation.

3.1.2 State whether Proposer will provide a copy of its financial statements for the past two (2) years, if requested by University.

3.1.3 Proposer will provide a financial rating of the Proposer entity and any related documentation (such as a Dunn and Bradstreet analysis) that indicates the financial stability of Proposer.

3.1.4 Is Proposer currently for sale or involved in any transaction to expand or to become acquired by another business entity? If yes, Proposer will explain the expected impact, both in organizational and directional terms.

3.1.5 Proposer will provide any details of all past or pending litigation or claims filed against Proposer that would affect its performance under the Agreement with University (if any).

3.1.6 Is Proposer currently in default on any loan agreement or financing agreement with any bank, financial institution, or other entity? If yes, Proposer will specify the pertinent date(s), details, circumstances, and describe the current prospects for resolution.

3.1.7 Proposer will provide a customer reference list of no less than three (3) organizations with which Proposer currently has contracts and / or to which Proposer has previously provided services (within the past five (5) years) of a type and scope similar to those required by University's RFP. Proposer will include in its customer reference list the customer's company name, contact person, telephone number, project description, length of business relationship, and background of services provided by Proposer.
3.1.8 Does any relationship exist (whether by family kinship, business association, capital funding agreement, or any other such relationship) between Proposer and any employee of University? If yes, Proposer will explain.

3.1.9 Proposer will provide the name and Social Security Number for each person having at least 25% ownership interest in Proposer. This disclosure is mandatory pursuant to §231.006, Family Code, and will be used for the purpose of determining whether an owner of Proposer with an ownership interest of at least 25% is more than 30 days delinquent in paying child support. Further disclosure of this information is governed by the Texas Public Information Act (ref. Chapter 552, Government Code), and other applicable law.

3.2 **Approach to Project Services**

3.2.1 Proposer will provide a statement of the Proposer’s service approach and will describe any unique benefits to University from doing business with Proposer. Proposer will briefly describe its approach for each of the required services identified in Section 5.4 Scope of Work of this RFP.

3.2.2 Proposer will provide an estimate of the earliest starting date for services following execution of the Agreement.

3.2.3 Proposer will submit a work plan with key dates and milestones. The work plan should include:

3.2.3.1 Identification of tasks to be performed;

3.2.3.2 Time frames to perform the identified tasks;

3.2.3.3 Project management methodology;

3.2.3.4 Implementation strategy; and

3.2.3.5 The expected time frame in which the services would be implemented.

3.2.4 Proposer will describe the types of reports or other written documents Proposer will provide (if any) and the frequency of reporting, if more frequent than required in this RFP. Proposer will include samples of reports and documents if appropriate.

3.3 **General Requirements**

3.3.1 Proposer will provide summary resumes for its proposed key personnel who will be providing services under the Agreement with University, including their specific experiences with similar service projects, and number of years of employment with Proposer.

3.3.2 Proposer will describe any difficulties it anticipates in performing its duties under the Agreement with University and how Proposer plans to manage these difficulties. Proposer will describe the assistance it will require from University.

3.4 **Service Support**

Proposer will describe its service support philosophy, how it is implemented, and how Proposer measures its success in maintaining this philosophy.

3.5 **Quality Assurance**

Proposer will describe its quality assurance program, its quality requirements, and how they are measured.

3.6 **Miscellaneous**

3.6.1 Proposer will provide a list of any additional services or benefits not otherwise identified in this RFP that Proposer would propose to provide to University. Additional services or benefits must be directly related to the goods and services solicited under this RFP.

3.6.2 Proposer will provide details describing any unique or special services or benefits offered or advantages to be gained by University from doing business with Proposer. Additional services or benefits must be directly related to the goods and services solicited under this RFP.

3.6.3 Does Proposer have a contingency plan or disaster recovery plan in the event of a disaster? If so, then Proposer will provide a copy of the plan.
SECTION 4

ADDENDA CHECKLIST

Proposal of: __________________________________________ (Proposer Company Name)

To: The University of Texas System

Ref.: 720-2209

RFP No.: Non-Exclusive Insurance Broker Services

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The undersigned Proposer hereby acknowledges receipt of the following Addenda to the captioned RFP (initial if applicable).

Note: If there was only one (1) Addendum, initial just the first blank after No. 1, not all five (5) blanks below.

No. 1 _____ No. 2 _____ No. 3 _____ No. 4 _____ No. 5 _____

Respectfully submitted,

Proposer: __________________________

By: ____________________________________

(Authorized Signature for Proposer)

Name: ______________________________

Title: ________________________________

Date: ________________________________
APPENDIX TWO

SAMPLE AGREEMENT

(INCLUDED AS SEPARATE ATTACHMENT)
Access by Individuals with Disabilities: Contractor represents and warrants (EIR Accessibility Warranty) the electronic and information resources and all associated information, documentation, and support Contractor provides to University under this Agreement (EIRs) comply with applicable requirements in 1 TAC Chapter 213 and 1 TAC §206.70 (ref. Subchapter M, Chapter 2054, Texas Government Code). To the extent Contractor becomes aware the EIRs, or any portion thereof, do not comply with the EIR Accessibility Warranty, then Contractor represents and warrants it will, at no cost to University, either (1) perform all necessary remediation to make the EIRs satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty or (2) replace the EIRs with new EIRs that satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty. If Contractor fails or is unable to do so, University may terminate this Agreement and, within thirty (30) days after termination, Contractor will refund to University all amounts University paid under this Agreement.
APPENDIX FOUR
HIGHER EDUCATION VENDOR ASSESSMENT TOOL (HECVAT)
(INCLUDED AS SEPARATE ATTACHMENT)
# APPENDIX FIVE

## CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES
(Texas Ethics Commission Form 1295)

This is a sample Texas Ethics Commission’s FORM 1295 – CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES. If not exempt under Section 2252.908(c), Government Code, Contractor must use the Texas Ethics Commission electronic filing web page (at https://www.ethics.state.tx.us/data/forms/1295/1295.pdf) to complete the most current Certificate of Interested Parties form and submit the form as instructed to the Texas Ethics Commission and University. The Certificate of Interested Parties will be submitted only by Contractor to University with the signed Agreement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES</th>
<th>FORM 1295</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete Nos. 1 - 4 and 6 if there are interested parties.</td>
<td>OFFICE USE ONLY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 if there are no interested parties.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Name of business entity filing form, and the city, state and country of the business entity’s place of business.**

2. **Name of governmental entity or state agency that is a party to the contract for which the form is being filed.**

3. Provide the identification number used by the governmental entity or state agency to track or identify the contract, and provide a description of the services, goods, or other property to be provided under the contract.

4. | Name of Interested Party | City, State, Country (place of business) | Nature of Interest (check applicable) |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Controlling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Check only if there is NO Interested Party. [ ]

6. **AFFIDAVIT**

   I swear, or affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the above disclosure is true and correct.

   Signature of authorized agent of contracting business entity

   Sworn to and subscribed before me, by the said this the ______ day of ____________, 20____, to certify which, witness my hand and seal of office.

   Signature of officer administering oath  Printed name of officer administering oath  Title of officer administering oath

   ADD ADDITIONAL PAGES AS NECESSARY

Form provided by Texas Ethics Commission  www.ethics.state.tx.us  Revised 4/9/2016
APPENDIX SIX

SAMPLE CAPABILITIES SUMMARY

Capability Statement

BUSINESS SUMMARY:
The Summary should a paragraph that tells your reader who you are and what you do. If you wish, the paragraph can include a brief history of the business.

CAPABILITIES:
Areas of expertise – types of work you can do for your client. Use short sentences or bullet statements. This should not be a long narrative about your capabilities or areas of expertise.

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT:
List all facilities, equipment and resources used to manufacture the products or provide the services(s). Include unique qualifications, techniques and approaches used to perform work, including any state-of-the-art equipment or capabilities that are part of your business.

EXPERTISE:
A brief summary of your expertise, as well as that of your key personnel/staff, highlighting their education and technical experience as it relates to your business.

CUSTOMERS:
Provide a list of at least three or four of your key customers, past or present. Company names are sufficient.

SUMMARY
Capability Statement should be no more than 2 pages – remember, keep it simple, but tell the reader what makes you special and why they should choose to do business with you. This can be used as a stand-alone document to market your business, or attached to any pertinent literature you may already have, which will complete the story you want to tell about your business. This along with a simple cover letter can introduce your company to any government agency or large business.
APPENDIX SEVEN

INFORMATION SECURITY REQUIREMENTS AND QUESTIONS

UT System Information Security Requirements

1. Multi-factor Authentication (MFA or also known as two-factor authentication-2FA), as defined by NIST SP 800-63, must be applied during the following: Access to University Confidential data by any System or Application Administrators or user with elevated privileges (i.e., super users).

2. Contractor must use encryption standards approved by UT System or defined in NIST SP 800-175B Rev. 1 for confidential data at rest, in motion, during processing, and for all mobile applications, websites, and portals.

3. Required by Section 2054.517 of the Texas Government Code and defined in UTS 165 Standard 11.8: Before deploying an Internet website (or portal) and mobile applications that process UT System confidential data, the developer or third-party responsible for development must:
   a. Submit the following documentation
      i. the architecture of the website and mobile applications;
      ii. the authentication mechanism(s) for the website and applications;
      iii. the Administrator level access to data included in or accessed by the website and applications;
   b. Subject the website, portal and applications to a vulnerability and penetration test as described; this test must be repeated every year during the contract period.
   c. Utilize approved access and authentication mechanisms.
   d. Apply MFA for Administrative or privileged user access.

4. If the Contractor/Vendor is providing a cloud-based service, the State of Texas requires certification of TxRAMP status. See https://dir.texas.gov/texas-risk-and-authorization-management-program-tx-ramp for more information. UT System can work with the Contractor/Vendor for an Agency Sponsored Provisional Status. TX-RAMP Provisional Status may not be requested after January 1, 2023.

5. If Contractor is responsible for credit card processing, the current version of PCI-DSS requirements must be met.

Additional Requirements:
- UT System is required to conduct annual security risk assessments and the UT Information Security staff will request updated information from the Contractor each year. Information may include, but not limited to: Certification and Audit Reports, vulnerability scans, updated policies and the like.
- RFP Proposer finalists must be prepared to have appropriate technical security and privacy experts available to address responses in a separate presentation session for UT System.

Questions
Note: Answer the following questions as they apply to the people, processes and technology and data pursuant to this RFP.

General Security
1. Provide the name of the Chief Information Security Officer (CISO), Chief Information Officer (CIO) or equivalent positions along with a short description of his or her qualifications.
2. Are background checks and screening conducted on employees and subcontractors? If yes, what is the frequency?
3. Is a specific security framework or collection of industry standards applied to harden information systems that will interact with the services proposed, including systems that store, transmit, process, or serve UT System data to users? If so, describe these standards and their source.
4. Does the Contractor have documented policies and procedures that cover the following:
A. Information Security
B. Security Incident Response and supporting procedures
C. Change Control and supporting procedures
D. Acceptable/Responsible Use
E. Privacy
F. Risk Management
G. Patch and Vulnerability Management
H. Cloud Security
I. Software Development Security

5. How often are security and privacy policies updated?
6. Is there a formal Change Management process for updating policies?
7. If application(s), whether mobile or other, are provided as part of this Proposal:
   A. Are industry standards or a specific method/model followed for software development? If yes, what standard/model?
   B. Are multiple, staged software development environments used for development, testing and production? If yes, describe how the environments are isolated from each other and what access controls are in place to minimize the risk of code corruption or unauthorized exposure.

8. List an example of an administrative safeguard or best practice employed to prevent unauthorized access to UT System data.
9. Where will UT System data be stored (answer all that apply):
   a. In a physical (on-premise) data center, owned and/or managed by the Contractor.
   b. In third-party storage locations not managed by the Contractor.
   c. in Public or Private Cloud locations.
   d. in a Cloud location outside of the United States.
   e. Other.
10. If UT System data will be stored in physical data center, what physical controls are in place? What level of redundancy tiering is the data center rated at?
11. How will UT System’s data be segregated from the data of other customers to prevent accidental or unauthorized access? (applies to all locations of data storage).

Cloud Security (Answer applicable questions)
1. Are any services provided hosted in a Public or Private Cloud? If yes, indicate which services and what type of hosting.
2. What industry standards, best practices or Cloud Security frameworks are followed to minimize risk to customer data, including accidental or deliberate exposure?
3. Provide an example of how Contractor’s virtual systems are segregated and protected from risks.

Access and Identity Management
12. UT institutions use Microsoft’s Multi-factor authentication product or Duo. Does the proposed product or service integrate with those products?
13. UT System requires multi-factor authentication (MFA, also known as two-factor Authentication-2FA) and recommends using it where possible.
15. Recommendation: Any individual accessing a system that contains or has access to Confidential University Data should use 2FA.
16. Does the Contractor’s service provide the option to require MFA for ‘super users’ and not require it for other users? Does it provide the option of applying MFA for all users?
17. Does the Contractor’s implementation of MFA meet the standards as defined by NIST SP 800-63?
18. If MFA is not currently available, is there a timeframe when it will be. If so, provide estimated roadmap schedule.
19. Describe the Identity and Access Management (IAM) components of the Contractor’s services including how the platform ensures accurate and consistent secure identity management of all uniquely identified individuals?

20. Summarize how IAM components are kept in sync and how they integrate with each other.

21. Summarize the process for user account provisioning and de-provisioning, including super user accounts.

22. How does the Contractor detect an account compromise of UT System-issued credentials? Provide two examples.

23. If a UT System user’s password is confirmed to be compromised, what is the process to reset/disable or otherwise protect UT System data from exposure or malicious attacks?

**Technical Security**

24. Explain the general encryption method and algorithm (e.g. AES 256) in use for:
   a. Data at rest
   b. Data in motion
   c. Data in Session state (in process)
   d. Application data exchange and APIs (whether cloud or on premise)
   e. Application and platform external connections
   f. Database
   g. Data backups
   h. Mobile applications

25. Explain how cryptographic keys are managed, what protection mechanisms are in place, and who has access to them.

26. Summarize the process for security patch management, including roles and responsibilities, frequency, testing plan and system maintenance.

27. Are periodic vulnerability scans performed? If yes:
   a. How often are scans conducted?
   b. What is the process to escalate and/or prioritize and remediate identified vulnerabilities?
   c. Do scans include databases?
   d. Are applications scanned to detect specific code related vulnerabilities prior moving to Production?

**Disaster Recovery and Business Continuity**

28. Does the Contractor have a Disaster Recovery Plan (DRP). If so:
   a. Is it supported by policies and procedures?
   b. Is it updated periodically, if yes, how frequently?
   c. Is it tested periodically? If yes, how frequently and what type of tests are performed?
   d. Do all staff with a role or responsibility know about the DRP and how to access it in case of a declared disaster?
   e. Does it include systems and services provided to customers, including UT System?
   f. If in place, provide an outline of the DRP
   g. If no DRP exists, describe the controls and methodology used to ensure the restoration and availability of UT System data.

29. Is a Business Continuity Plan (BCP) in place that ensures minimal disruption of services provided to UT System? If yes, what is the maximum amount of time that services may be unavailable?

30. Does a Data Backup and Recovery plan procedures exist? If yes, summarize or provide an outline of the plan. If not, describe what alternative methods will be used to ensure the restoration and availability of UT System data.

31. Will critical UT System data be backed up to an offline (completely isolated) location that can be restored in the case of a successful Ransomware attack?

32. Does the Contractor utilize an air gap or vaulted backup strategy?
33. Explain how UT System data is reliably destroyed upon request or under the terms of the contractual agreement? What evidence will be provided to System after data has been successfully destroyed?

Security Logging, Monitoring and Incident Response

34. Do the proposed services allow administrative or ‘super user’ level changes to UT System data that isn’t tracked through audit logs?
35. Does the Contractor utilize a formal Security Operations Center (SOC), either internally staffed or contracted to a third party? If yes, where is it (or if multiple, describe) geographically located? Does it operate on a 24x7x365 schedule?
36. Are adequate logs generated and stored to validate security controls function as designed, including MFA requirements?
37. What is the average log retention period?
38. Are all systems that support or connect to services and systems provided in this Proposal configured to generate logs to a central storage location? If not, how is visibility into anomalous activity ensured?
39. Summarize how multiple security logs and event data are correlated, analyzed and acted upon.
40. Provide an example of technology controls (e.g. DLP, firewall, IDS/IPS, Endpoint Detection, etc..) coupled with a process that is used to monitor the confidentiality, integrity and availability of the service proposed.
41. Provide two examples of a procedure in place to ensure timely mitigation of detected vulnerabilities and security incidents?
42. Provide the Table of Contents or an overview of the Security Incident Response Plan (IRP) and one example for each category: protection, detection, identification, and recovery.
43. Is there a component of the IRP that addresses how the Contractor will work with customers and subcontractors when a security or privacy incident involving UT System data is detected?
44. Summarize the procedures in place to isolate or disable suspicious or compromised systems that interact with the Service proposed?
45. When a significant incident that requires digital forensic investigation is declared, could UT System data be retained for forensic purposes? If so, how will this affect business processes for UT System?
46. Describe two examples of a method or process used to detect and prevent actions taken by an unauthorized entity attempting to access data, e.g., auto-generated audit reports or alerts.
47. Are there automated alerts or reports that monitor unauthorized access to confidential data? If yes, is the Contractor willing to provide these to UT System?
48. Are controls in place to detect Ransomware or precursor events to a Ransomware attack? If yes, describe these.
49. If Ransomware is discovered in the Contractor’s systems, what is the first step to mitigation?
50. If UT System discovers that a serious vulnerability exists in the Contractor’s platform, describe the process for reporting, how and when the risk will be remediated.

i Website architecture. A diagram and narrative of website logical structure, data flow, and design of the technical, security, functional, and visual components.
ii Penetration and vulnerability test. Contractor may choose to either allow UT System to conduct a vulnerability scan on a test environment that mirrors the actual production environment or provide an attestation of a third-party vulnerability assessment. Review and acceptance of the findings shall comply with UTS 165 Standard 10.8.
Approved access and authentication mechanisms. Reference NIST 800-53R and UTS 165 Standard 4: Access Management for approved standards. A unique identifier that does not include the individual's social security number, in full or part per UTS 165 Standard 13: Use and Protection of Social Security Numbers.